



## Research Article

# SOIL PROPERTIES AND YIELD OF DIRECT SEEDED UPLAND AUTUMN RICE (*Oryza sativa*) VARIETIES AS INFLUENCED BY INTEGRATED WEED AND NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

GAYATREE GOSWAMI<sup>\*1</sup>, NILAY BORAH<sup>2</sup>, DEBASHISH BARUAH<sup>3</sup> AND DEBAHASH BURAGOHAIN<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Project Scientist, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, 785013, Assam, India

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Soil Science, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, 785013, Assam, India

<sup>3</sup>Farm Manager, ICAR-Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Sivasagar, 785687, Assam, India

\*Corresponding Author: Email - tridishat@gmail.com, gayatree.goswami@aau.ac.in

Received: April 03, 2023; Revised: April 26, 2023; Accepted: April 28, 2023; Published: April 30, 2023

**Abstract:** During the autumn season a field experiment was conducted at Assam Agricultural University, ICR Farm, Jorhat, Assam to compare three different direct seeded upland rice varieties under different integrated weed and nutrient management practices. The experiment was carried out in factorial randomized block design replicated thrice with 15 treatments involving 3 varieties; Inglongkiri, Maizubiron and Rasi adopting 5 treatments of weed and nutrient management, i.e. 20-10-10 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O + pretilachlor @ 750 g/ha followed by grubber 30 DAS (W<sub>1</sub>), 30-15-15 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O + pretilachlor @ 750 g/ha followed by grubber 30 DAS (W<sub>2</sub>), 10-5-5 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O + pretilachlor @ 750 g/ha followed by grubber 30 DAS + Vermicompost @ 1 t/ha (at sowing & 30 DAS) + Sesbania (*Sesbania aculeata*) green mulch (up to 30 days) (W<sub>3</sub>), 10-5-5 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O + pretilachlor @ 750 g/ha followed by grubber 30 DAS with intra-row spacing 15cm (W<sub>4</sub>), and 20-10-10 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O + Weedy check (W<sub>5</sub>). The physico-chemical properties of soil at harvest were not significantly influenced by variety as well as weed and nutrient management practices. Application of 10-5-5 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O, vermicompost @ 1t/ha, Sesbania green mulch, pretilachlor @ 750g a.i./ha and grubber 30 DAS showed significantly higher soil microbial biomass carbon (323.72) at 30 DAS, significantly higher bacterial count at 75 DAS (23.07) and higher fungal count at 60 DAS (10.67), significantly higher NO<sub>3</sub>-N at 35, 55 and 70 DAS, and NH<sub>4</sub>-N at 15 DAS and 70 DAS. An increase in yields was revealed as evident by higher grain and straw yield for all the three varieties observed with pre-emergence application of pretilachlor (750 g a.i./ha) + grubber 30 days after sowing + 30-15-15 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O.

**Keywords:** Direct seeded rice, Variety, Integrated weed and nutrient management, Physico-chemical properties, Microbial properties, Yield

**Citation:** Gayatree Goswami, et al., (2023) Soil Properties and Yield of Direct Seeded Upland Autumn Rice (*Oryza sativa*) Varieties as influenced by Integrated Weed and Nutrient Management Practices. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 15, Issue 4, pp.- 12312-12316.

**Copyright:** Copyright©2023 Gayatree Goswami, et al., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Academic Editor / Reviewer:** Altino Choupina, Dr R. S. Umakanth

## Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important food crops in the world, and staple food for more than 50% of the global population. With increasing population and increasing incomes, the demand for rice will continue to grow in coming decades. Presently, rice is cultivated in 43.79 Mha area with 112.91 Mt. production while wheat is cultivated in 29.58 Mha area with a production of 99.70 Mt. [1]. Introduction of high-yielding varieties along with improved crop management practices, access to irrigation water and chemical inputs during the green revolution period has led to impressive increase in system productivity. Transplanting after repeated puddling is the conventional method of rice (*Oryza sativa*) growing which is not only intensive water user but also cumbersome and laborious. Different problems like lowering water table, scarcity of labour during peak periods, deteriorating soil health demands some alternative establishment method to sustain productivity of rice as well as natural resources. Increasing water scarcity, water loving nature of rice and increasing labour wages trigger to switch for such alternative crop establishment methods which can increase water productivity. The process of change has begun to transform the paradigm of agricultural research and development. Direct seeded rice crop has a higher nutrient requirement as compared to a transplanted crop because of the higher plant density and greater production of biomass in the vegetative phase [2]. Proper weed management practices along with integrated nutrient management [3], more particularly with major nutrients, significantly influence the crop productivity in upland situations. Fertilizer management can definitely alter the competitive balance between crops and weeds, but methods to incorporate it into integrated weed management are yet to be developed [4].

Integrated use of chemical fertilizers with manures, compost and green manure crops is very important for sustainable rice production especially under rainfed upland conditions [5].

Chemical fertilizers sustain short-term productivity of agro-ecosystems, while their indiscriminate use reduces soil fertility [6]. Soil microbial activities are enhanced by application of manure compost that improve the crop growth, and restrain the pests and diseases. In increasing nutrient availability to crops manure compost has been found to be better than chemical fertilizers thereby improving grain yield in a cost-effective and environmental friendly manner [7,8]. Addition of the same can also increase levels of organic matter and improve soil porosity, structural stability, moisture, and nutrient availability, as well as biological activity [9].

## Materials and methods

A field experiment was conducted at ICR farm of Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam during the autumn season. The soil of experimental plot was sandy loam in texture with pH 4.95, organic carbon of 0.53% and 263.87, 22.10 and 134.71 kg/ha N, P and K, respectively. The experiment was carried out in factorial randomized block design replicated thrice with 15 treatments and 3 varieties; Inglongkiri, Maizubiron and Rasi adopting 5 treatments of weed and nutrient management, i.e. 20-10-10 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O + pretilachlor @ 750 g/ha followed by grubber 30 DAS (W<sub>1</sub>), 30-15-15 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O + pretilachlor @ 750 g/ha followed by grubber 30 DAS (W<sub>2</sub>), 10-5-5 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O + pretilachlor @ 750 g/ha followed by grubber 30 DAS + Vermicompost @ 1 t/ha (at sowing & 30 DAS) + Sesbania (*Sesbania aculeata*) green mulch (up to 30 days) (W<sub>3</sub>), 10-5-5 kg/ha

Table-1 Effect of variety, weed and nutrient management practices on available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O, organic carbon and soil pH after harvest of rice

Treatment	Available N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Available K <sub>2</sub> O (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Organ Carbon (%)	Soil pH
Variety					
V <sub>1</sub> : <i>Inglongkiri</i>	247.53	21.4	136.44	0.5	4.97
V <sub>2</sub> : <i>Maizubiron</i>	250.67	20.75	136.69	0.51	4.95
V <sub>3</sub> : Rasi	251.21	21.07	138.78	0.5	4.93
S.Em ±	6.68	0.23	4.25	0.12	0.05
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Weed and nutrient management					
W <sub>1</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	244.47	20.72	138.42	0.51	4.96
W <sub>2</sub> : 30-15-15 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	241.12	21.22	144.55	0.51	4.94
W <sub>3</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + vermicompost @ 1t/ha + sesbania green mulch + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	256.11	21.44	137.76	0.51	5.03
W <sub>4</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + intra-row spacing 15 cm + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	258.55	21.28	134.69	0.49	4.94
W <sub>5</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + weedy check	248.79	20.7	131.09	0.5	4.91
S.Em ±	8.63	0.3	5.49	0.1	0.06
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Interaction (V×W)					
S.Em ±	14.94	0.52	9.51	0.13	0.11
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table-2 Effect of variety, weed and nutrient management practices on soil moisture content at different days after sowing (DAS) and at harvest of rice (without statistical analysis)

Treatment	Soil moisture (%) at 0-15 cm depth			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest
Variety				
V <sub>1</sub> : <i>Inglongkiri</i>	19.26	19.96	20.54	20.63
V <sub>2</sub> : <i>Maizubiron</i>	19.57	20.39	20.75	19.96
V <sub>3</sub> : Rasi	19.39	20.39	20.77	20.35
Weed and nutrient management				
W <sub>1</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	19.17	20.03	20.27	20.8
W <sub>2</sub> : 30-15-15 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	19.09	20.29	21	20.29
W <sub>3</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + vermicompost @ 1t/ha + sesbania green mulch + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	19.59	20.37	20.64	20.6
W <sub>4</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + intra-row spacing 15 cm + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	19.47	20.32	20.88	19.79
W <sub>5</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + weedy check	19.72	20.2	20.66	20.08

Table-3 Effect of variety, weed and nutrient management practices on soil microbial biomass carbon at initial, 30 days after sowing (DAS) and at harvest of rice

Treatment	Soil Microbial Biomass Carbon (µg g <sup>-1</sup> soil)		
	Initial	30 DAS	Harvest
Variety			
V <sub>1</sub> : <i>Inglongkiri</i>	153.92	274.3	133.24
V <sub>2</sub> : <i>Maizubiron</i>	153.1	275.89	129.8
V <sub>3</sub> : Rasi	155.93	281.02	139.34
S.Em ±	9.05	14.36	7.27
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS
Weed and nutrient management			
W <sub>1</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	166.66	275.32	139.5
W <sub>2</sub> : 30-15-15 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	169.89	308.53	138.28
W <sub>3</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + vermicompost@ 1t/ha + sesbania green mulch + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	144.12	323.72	134.67
W <sub>4</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + intra-row spacing 15 cm + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	137.98	250.72	129.24
W <sub>5</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + weedy check	152.93	227.06	128.97
S.Em ±	11.69	18.54	9.39
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	53.7	NS

Table-4 Effect of variety, weed and nutrient management practices on NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N at different days after sowing (DAS) of rice

Treatment	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N (kg/ha soil)			
	15 DAS	35 DAS	55 DAS	70 DAS
Variety				
V <sub>1</sub> : <i>Inglongkiri</i>	28.67	44.48	43.2	35.14
V <sub>2</sub> : <i>Maizubiron</i>	25.61	44.61	42.94	32.51
V <sub>3</sub> : Rasi	26.84	46.88	45.34	33.67
S.Em ±	0.97	1.12	2.05	0.91
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Weed and nutrient management				
W <sub>1</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	27.35	46.24	43.57	33.91
W <sub>2</sub> : 30-15-15 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	29.32	47.11	45.4	36.17
W <sub>3</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + vermicompost @ 1t/ha + sesbania green mulch + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	31.72	47.12	42.14	37.99
W <sub>4</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + intra-row spacing 15 cm + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	26.64	44.3	45.52	33.64
W <sub>5</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O+ weedy check	20.16	41.83	42.49	27.16
S.Em ±	1.25	1.45	2.65	1.18
CD (P = 0.05)	3.63	NS	2.8	7.21

N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O + pretilachlor @ 750 g/ha followed by grubber 30 DAS with intra-row spacing 15cm (W<sub>4</sub>), and 20-10-10 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O + Weedy check (W<sub>5</sub>). The nutrients N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O were applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate (SSP) and muriate of potash (MOP), respectively. The required amounts of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fertilizers, as per treatment, were applied as basal in the lines

one day prior to sowing and thoroughly mixed with the soil. The required amounts of N and K<sub>2</sub>O fertilizers, as per treatment, were applied in two splits. Half of nitrogenous and potassic fertilizers was applied 20 days after sowing. The second top dressing with the remaining quantities of nitrogenous and potassic fertilizers was done in 40 days after sowing.

Table-5 Effect of variety, weed and nutrient management practices on  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  at different growth stages of rice

Treatment	$\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ (kg/ha soil)			
	15 DAS	35 DAS	55 DAS	70 DAS
Variety				
V <sub>1</sub> : <i>Inglongkiri</i>	38.67	53.28	49.21	38.48
V <sub>2</sub> : <i>Maizubiron</i>	35.61	53.21	50.09	38.61
V <sub>3</sub> : Rasi	36.84	54.81	51.46	40.88
S.Em $\pm$	0.97	1.17	1.14	1.12
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Weed and nutrient management				
W <sub>1</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	37.35	56.24	50.24	40.24
W <sub>2</sub> : 30-15-15 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	39.32	57.11	51.11	41.11
W <sub>3</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + vermicompost @ 1t/ha + sesbania green mulch + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	41.72	57.12	51.12	41.12
W <sub>4</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + intra-row spacing 15 cm + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	36.64	50.64	50.62	38.3
W <sub>5</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + weedy check	30.16	47.72	48.17	35.83
S.Em $\pm$	1.25	1.52	1.47	1.45
CD (P = 0.05)	3.62	4.39	NS	NS

Table-6 Effect of variety, weed and nutrient management practices on bacterial count at different days after sowing (DAS) of rice

Treatment	Bacterial count		
	(-log x 10 <sup>-6</sup> cfu/g soil)		
	40 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS
Variety			
V <sub>1</sub> : <i>Inglongkiri</i>	16.26	18.89	21.23
V <sub>2</sub> : <i>Maizubiron</i>	15.83	18.73	20.8
V <sub>3</sub> : Rasi	16.83	19.53	21.27
S.Em $\pm$	0.52	0.68	0.67
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS
W <sub>1</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	16.68	19.89	22.07
W <sub>2</sub> : 30-15-15 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	17.34	20.11	23.07
W <sub>3</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + vermicompost @ 1t/ha + sesbania green mulch + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	16.31	19.41	21.69
W <sub>4</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + intra-row spacing 15 cm + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	15.75	18.29	20.25
W <sub>5</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + weedy check	15.46	17.56	18.4
S.Em $\pm$	0.68	0.81	0.87
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	2.52

Table-7 Effect of variety, weed and nutrient management practices on fungal count at different days after sowing (DAS) of rice

Treatment	Fungal count		
	(-log x 10 <sup>-6</sup> cfu/g soil)		
	40 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS
Variety			
V <sub>1</sub> : <i>Inglongkiri</i>	9.4	11.2	12.48
V <sub>2</sub> : <i>Maizubiron</i>	8.87	11.2	12.31
V <sub>3</sub> : Rasi	10.53	12.13	14.1
S.Em $\pm$	0.51	0.42	0.72
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS
W <sub>1</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	10.17	11.72	13.64
W <sub>2</sub> : 30-15-15 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	10.67	12.56	14.57
W <sub>3</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + vermicompost @ 1t/ha + sesbania green mulch + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	10.06	11.83	13.11
W <sub>4</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + intra-row spacing 15 cm + pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	9.22	11.22	12.11
W <sub>5</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + weedy check	7.89	10.22	11.39
S.Em $\pm$	0.65	0.54	0.94
CD (P = 0.05)	1.9	NS	NS

The vermicompost @ 1 t/ha was applied in rows in two equal splits i.e. at basal and 30 DAS. *Sesbania aculeata* as green mulch was grown and incorporated in soil at 30 days DAS. The pre-emergence application of pretilachlor (Craze 50 EC) was made by spraying the herbicide spray solution on the soil surface uniformly, one day after sowing of rice seed. The spray solution, on the basis of spray volume of 500 l/ha, was sprayed as per the treatments by using knapsack sprayer. While applying the pre-emergence herbicide, care was taken to ensure that the herbicide drift dose not reaches to adjacent experimental plots. Mechanical weeding, as per treatment, was done on 30 DAS by using manually operated grubber [10-12].

## Results and discussion

### Physico-chemical properties of soil

The soil physico-chemical properties like, pH, organic carbon, available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O did not differ significantly amongst the varieties as well as weed and nutrient management practices.

This might be due to the fact that these physico-chemical properties of soil, generally, do not change significantly over short period of time [13].

### Soil moisture content (%)

The data on soil moisture content at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest of crop are presented in [Table-2]. Soil moisture content varied from 19.09 % to 20.88 % throughout the crop growth period.

### $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ and $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ content in soil

Most plants absorb both  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  mineral forms of N [14].  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  predominates in the upland rice cultivation [15]. No significant influence was observed on  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  due to varieties. Application of 10-5-5 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O along with vermicompost @ 1t/ha, sesbania green mulch, pretilachlor @ 750g a.i./ha and grubber at 30 DAS showed significantly higher  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  at 15 and 35 DAS, and  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  at 15 DAS, 55 DAS and 70 DAS. This might be due to application of fertilizers at sowing, 15 DAS and 40 DAS along with incorporation of

Table-8 Effect of variety, weed and nutrient management practices on grain yield, straw yield and harvest index of rice

Treatment	Grain yield	Straw yield
	(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)
Variety		
V <sub>1</sub> : Inglongkiri	1596	2105
V <sub>2</sub> : Maizubiron	1550	2103
V <sub>3</sub> : Rasi	1605	2017
S.Em ±	20	11
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	31
Weed and nutrient management		
W <sub>1</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	1877	2533
W <sub>2</sub> : 30-15-15 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	2087	2631
W <sub>3</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + vermicompost @ 1t/ha + sesbania green mulch + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	1679	2256
W <sub>4</sub> : 10-5-5 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + intra-row spacing 15 cm + pretilachlor @ 750g a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> + grubber 30 DAS	1573	2092
W <sub>5</sub> : 20-10-10 kg/haN-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O + weedy check	703	863
S.Em ±	26	14
CD (P = 0.05)	75	40
Interaction (V×W)		
S.Em ±	45	24
CD (P = 0.05)	131	70

vermicompost at sowing and 30 DAS. Moreover, this treatment also recorded significantly higher microbial population as well as soil microbial biomass carbon. Less amount of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N in upland condition might be due to its oxidation to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and higher NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N is attributed to nitrification of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N under upland condition [16].

#### Soil microbial biomass carbon

There was no significant difference in soil microbial biomass carbon at all three periods i.e. at initial, 30 DAS and at harvest of crop growth due to varieties. At 30 DAS, weed and nutrient management practice of application of 10-5-5 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O, vermicompost @ 1t/ha, sesbania green mulch, pretilachlor @ 750g a.i./ha and use of grubber 30 DAS showed significantly higher soil microbial biomass carbon (323.72) followed by that (308.53) in application of 30-15-15 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O along with pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha and grubber 30 DAS. This might be due to application of organic source of nutrients including growing sesbania up to 30 DAS and then incorporated into soil which may improve the microbial activities. A similar finding was also reported by Shen *et al.*, (1984) [17].

Table-8.1 Interaction effect of variety with weed and nutrient management practices on grain yield (kg/ha) of rice

Variety	Weed and nutrient management				
	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>3</sub>	W <sub>4</sub>	W <sub>5</sub>
V <sub>1</sub>	1900	2068	1676	1573	765
V <sub>2</sub>	1782	2005	1619	1553	789
V <sub>3</sub>	1950	2187	1742	1593	555
S.Em±	45				
C.D. (P=0.05)	131				

Table-8.2 Interaction effect of variety with weed and nutrient management practices on straw yield (kg/ha) of rice

Variety	Weed and nutrient management				
	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>3</sub>	W <sub>4</sub>	W <sub>5</sub>
V <sub>1</sub>	2550	2624	2275	2126	951
V <sub>2</sub>	2542	2658	2265	2121	929
V <sub>3</sub>	2507	2612	2228	2029	710
S.Em±	24				
C.D. (P=0.05)	70				

#### Bacterial and fungal populations

Varieties could not bring about significant difference in bacterial and fungal population at all the three stages i.e. initial, 30 DAS and at harvest of crop. Application of 10-5-5 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O along with vermicompost @ 1t/ha, sesbania green mulch, pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha and working with grubber 30 DAS showed significantly higher bacterial population at 75 DAS and fungal population at 40 DAS. This might be due to application of organic manure i.e. (i) vermicompost at initial and 30 DAS (ii) growing of sesbania as green mulch which was incorporated into soil at 30 DAS. Similar findings were reported by Zia *et al.* (2001) and D'Andrea *et al.* (2004).

#### Grain yield and straw yield (kg/ha)

A perusal of the findings revealed that there was no significant difference in grain yield amongst the three varieties tested while Inglongkiri showed significantly higher straw yield. It might be due to significantly higher plant height at harvest in Inglongkiri. Regarding the factor, weed and nutrient management, application of 30-15-15 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O along with pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha and use of grubber 30 DAS (W<sub>2</sub>) showed significantly higher grain yield and straw yield. The higher grain yield might be due to better nutrition of rice crop owing to application of higher dose of major nutrients as well as reduction in crop weed competition due to combined methods of weed control i.e. chemical and mechanical, that resulted in statistically superior growth characters (LAI, number of tillers and dry matter accumulation) and yield attributing characters (number of panicles, panicle length and number of filled grains). Kavitha *et al.*, (2010) [18] reported that application of pretilachlor suppressed the weed in the early growth stages of autumn rice leading to higher yield. The higher straw yield might be due to higher amount of dry matter production at 30 and 60 DAS in W<sub>2</sub>. The improved cultivars produced higher yields than traditional cultivars in both high and low fertility conditions [19]. The grain and straw yield were affected significantly by the interaction effect of varieties and weed and nutrient management practices. The results revealed that higher grain yield was given by Rasi, when combined with application of 30-15-15 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O along with pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha and use of grubber 30 DAS (W<sub>2</sub>) while Inglongkiri showed significantly higher straw yield when combined with W<sub>2</sub>.

#### Conclusion

The pH, organic carbon, available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O in soil at harvest were not significantly influenced by variety or weed and nutrient management practices. Varieties showed no significant effect on soil microbial biomass carbon, bacterial and fungal count, and NO<sub>3</sub>-N and NH<sub>4</sub>-N content in soil. However, application of 10-5-5 kg/haN-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O, vermicompost @ 1t/ha, Sesbania green mulch, pretilachlor @ 750g a.i./ha and grubber 30 DAS showed significantly higher soil microbial biomass carbon (323.72) at 30 DAS and also gave significantly higher bacterial count at 75 DAS (23.07) and higher fungal count at 60 DAS (10.67). This was followed by that in 30-15-15 kg/haN-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O along with pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i./ha and grubber 30 DAS. Application of 10-5-5 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O along with vermicompost @ 1 t/ha, Sesbania green mulch, pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. /ha and grubber 30 DAS gave significantly higher NO<sub>3</sub>-N at 35, 55 and 70 DAS, and NH<sub>4</sub>-N at 15 DAS and 70 DAS. Variety Inglongkiri resulted significantly higher straw yield (21.05) but was at par with that in Maizubiron (21.03) but both were significantly superior to that in Rasi (20.17). The grain yield (2087) and straw yield (2631) recorded with application of 30-15-15 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O along with pretilachlor @ 750 g a.i. /ha and grubber 30 DAS was significantly higher than that (1877 and 2533) in application of 20-10-10 kg/haN-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O along with pretilachlor @ 750 g/ha and working with grubber 30 DAS.



**Application of research:** Study of different direct seeded upland rice varieties under different integrated weed and nutrient management practices

(2010) *Indian J. Agril. Res.*, 44(4), 294-299.  
[19] Saito K., Linquist B., Atlin G. N., Phanthaboon K., Shiraiwa T. and Horie T. (2006) *Field Crops Research*, 96, 216-223.

**Research Category:** Soil Science

**Acknowledgement / Funding:** Authors are thankful to Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, 785013, Assam, India

**\*\*Minor Research Guide or Chairperson of research: Dr Nilay Borah**

University: Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, 785013, Assam, India

Research project name or number: MSc Thesis

**Author Contributions:** All authors equally contributed

**Author statement:** All authors read, reviewed, agreed and approved the final manuscript. Note-All authors agreed that- Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to publish / enrolment

**Study area / Sample Collection:** ICR Farm, Jorhat, Assam

**Cultivar / Variety / Breed name:** *Oryza sativa* - Inglongkiri, Maizubiron, Rasi

**Conflict of Interest:** None declared

**Ethical approval:** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.  
Ethical Committee Approval Number: Nil

## References

- [1] Government of India (2018) *Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare Directorate of Economics & Statistics.*
- [2] Dingkuhn M., Schnier H.F. and Dorffling K. (1990) *Aus. J. Pl. Phy.*, 17, 119-34.
- [3] Sarkar A. and Gangwar B. (2001) *Oryza*, 38, 35-37.
- [4] Buhler D. D. (2002) *Weed Sci.*, 50, 273-280.
- [5] Meelu O. P. (1996) *Integrated nutrient management for ecologically sustainable agriculture. In 23<sup>rd</sup> Tamhane Memorial Lecture, National seminar on developments in soil science. Gujarat Agric. University, Anand*
- [6] Ansari R.A., Mahmood I. (2017) *Sci. Hortic.*, 226, 1-9.
- [7] Ahmad R., Jilani G., Arshad M., Zahir Z.A. and Khalid A. (2007) *Ann Microbiol.*, 57, 471-479.
- [8] Leite L.F.C., Oliveira F.C., Araujo A.S.F., Galvao S.R.S. and Lemos J.O. (2010) *Soil Res.*, 48, 258-265.
- [9] Wang W., Niu J., Zhou X. and Wang Y. (2011) *Pol J Eco.*, 59, 37-44.
- [10] Mohanty S. (2014) *Rice Today*, 13 (2), 40-41.
- [11] Pandey S. and Velasco L. (2002) *Economics of direct seeding in Asia: Patterns of adoption and research priorities. In: Direct Seeding: Research Strategies and Opportunities, (Eds.). International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines*, 3-14.
- [12] Kaur J. and Singh A. (2017) *Current Agri. Res.*, 5(1), 201-206.
- [13] Ian G. and Kulvadee K. (2006) *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 3(4), 458-460.
- [14] Nascente A. S., Crusciol C. A. C. & Cobucci T. (2012) *J. of Crop Sci.*, 47(12), 1700-1706
- [15] D'Andréa A. F., Silva M. L. N., Curi N. and Guilherme L.R.G. (2004) *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira (Brazil)*, 39(2), 179-186.
- [16] Zia M. S., Mahmood I. A., Aslam M., Yasin M. and Khan M. A. (2001) *Int. J. of Agric. and Biology*, 3(4), 458-460.
- [17] Shen S. M., Pruden G. and Jenkinson D. S. (1984) *Soil Biol Biochem.*, 16, 437-444.
- [18] Kavitha M. P., Ganesaraja V., Paulpandi V. K. and Subramanian R. B.