

Research Article SCREENING OF PEARL-MILLET GERMPLASMS FOR RESISTANCE AGAINST SCLEROSPORA GRAMINICOLA CAUSING DOWNEY MILDEW

BISHNOI J.P.*1, TARA SATYAVATHI C.2, KHANDELWAL V.3, MEENA R.C.1, KUMAR M.1, AMBAWAT S.1 AND SHARMA J.K.4

¹ICAR-AICRP on Pearl millet, Agricultural University, Jodhpur, 342304, India ²Project Coordinator (ICAR), ICAR-AICRP on Pearl millet, Agricultural University, Jodhpur, 342304, India ³Senior Scientist (ICAR), ICAR-AICRP on Pearl millet, Agricultural University, Jodhpur, 342304, India ⁴Agriculture Research Sub-Station, Sumerpur, Pali, 306902, Agricultural University, Jodhpur, 342304, India *Corresponding Author: Email - jpbishnoi2015@gmail.com

Received: November 08, 2022; Revised: November 26, 2022; Accepted: November 28, 2022; Published: November 30, 2022

Abstract: The total of 115 germplasm of pearl millet were screened against the highly susceptible check 7042S in sick fields. Among one hundred fifteen entries, three entries viz., MH 2466, MH 2504 and Dhanshati were expressed highly resistant (0-5%) reaction against downy mildew disease with the lowest per cent disease incidence; while, the sixty seven entries showed resistant (5.1-10%) reaction viz., MH 2458, MH 2459, MH 2460, MH 2461, MH 2462, MH 2463, MH 2467, MH 2468, MH 2470, MH 2472, MH 2473, MH 2474, MH 2477, MH 2480, MH 2481, MH 2482, MH 2483, MH 2484, MH 2485, MH 2486, MH 2489, MH 2491, MH 2493, MH 2494, MH 2495, MH 2498, MH 2500, MH 2503, MH 2505, MH 2506, MH 2512, MH 2513, MH 2517, MH 2518, MH 2519, MH 2520, MH 2521, MH 2523, MH 2525, MH 2526, MH 2528, MH 2529, MH 2530, MH 2533, MH 2535, MH 2539, MH 2540, MH 2541, MH 2542, MP 596, MP 599, MP 600, RHB 177, HHB 67 Improved, HHB 272, MPMH 17, 86M01, PB 1705, KBH 108, MP-7792, Kaveri S. Boss, NBH 4903, ICMV 221, Pusa Comp. 383, Pusa Comp. 701 and Pusa Comp. 612. Forty five entries exhibited moderately resistant (10.1-20%) reaction viz., MH 2457, MH 2464, MH 2465, MH 2465, MH 2469, MH 2471, MH 2475, MH 2476, MH 2478, MH 2479, MH 2487, MH 2488, MH 2490, MH 2492, MH 2496, MH 2497, MH 2499, MH 2501, MH 2502, MH 2507, MH 2508, MH 2509, MH 2509, MH 2511, MH 2515, MH 2516, MH 2522, MH 2527, MH 2532, MH 2532, MH 2536, MH 2497, MH 2499, MH 2457, MH 2464, MH 2465, MH 2469, MH 2471, MH 2475, MH 2476, MH 2477, MH 2477, MH 2488, MH 2490, MH 2492, MH 2496, MH 2497, MH 2499, MH 2501, MH 2502, MH 2507, MH 2508, MH 2509, MH 2501, MH 2511, MH 2515, MH 2516, MH 2522, MH 2524, MH 2527, MH 2532, MH 2532, MH 2536, MH 2537, MH 2538, MP 595, MP 597, MP 598, MPMH 21, PRATAP, NBH 5767, 86M86, Raj 171, JBV 2, ICMV 155 and ABV 04. The susceptible check (7042 S and Nokha Local) showed above 90 per cent downy mildew incidence at the location. None of the entries were found susceptible and highly susceptible to *Sclerospora graminicola* downy mildew.

Keywords: Pearl millet, Sclerospora graminicola, Screening

Citation: Bishnoi J.P., et al., (2022) Screening of Pearl-Millet Germplasms for Resistance Against Sclerospora Graminicola Causing Downey Mildew. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 14, Issue 11, pp.- 11931-11933.

Copyright: Copyright©2022 Bishnoi J.P., *et al.*, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Academic Editor / Reviewer: Dr Pratik Joshi, Dr R. S. Umakanth, Dr S. Usha

Introduction

Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) is a staple food for millions of poor people living in the semi-arid tropical regions of Africa and Asia [1]. India is the largest country in Asia in terms of production and area. It has an area of 7.57 m ha with a production of 10.8 MT and productivity of 1436 kg/ha [2]. Rajasthan, Gujarat, Maharashtra and Uttar Pradesh are the major pearl millet growing states of India. Rajasthan is the leading state with an area of 4.31 m ha with a productivity of 1337 kg/ha [3]. Major bajra producing areas in Rajasthan are Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Bikaner, Churu, Ganganagar, SawaiMadhopur, Alwar, Kota, Tonk, Jhunjhunu, Pali and Jaisalmer.

Pearl millet is attacked by many diseases however; economically important diseases include downy mildew, blast, rust, ergot and smut. There are so many diseases affecting pearl millet production among them Downy mildew [*Sclerospora graminicola*, (Sacc).Schroet] is a serious threat in most pearl millet growing areas of Asia and Africa. Downy mildew of pearl millet was first reported by Butler, (1907) [4] in India and described it the disease of ill-drained lands where it developed into epidemics of severity. The first symptoms of the disease were noticed in two weeks old seedling as chlorotic strips on the upper surface of the leaves which progresses from based to top, some produces malformed earhead (green ear). Structural variations have been observed in green ears.

In 1971, popular hybrid HB 3 due to the disease appeared in an epidemic form in the Indian sub-continent which had contributed to a record harvest of 8 million. In 1970-71[5]. Subsequent to this epidemic, grain yield losses continued to occur quite frequently due to downy mildew epidemics in India [6].

Williams *et al.*, (1981) [7] developed improved field screening technique for downy mildew resistance in pearl millet which is followed all over the world. Singh *et al.*, (1990) [8] have registered five germplasm with stable resistance to downy mildew in crop science society of America. In the present study and attempt was made to screen 100 selected high yielding pearl millet genotypes against downy mildew as per the procedure suggested by William *et al.*, (1981) [7] and Singh & Gopinath (1975) [9] evaluation of genotype was done at 30 days at dough stage at sick plot.

Materials and Methods

Screening for resistance to downy mildew a total of 115 pearl millet entries were procured from ICAR- All India Coordinated Research Project on pearl millet, Section of Genetics and Plant Breeding Agricultural University, Jodhpur. These entries were evaluated against downy mildew using field screening technique William *et al.*, (1981) [7] under sick experimental field at research farm, ICAR-AICRP on Pearl millet, Mandor, Jodhpur during Kharif 2019-20. For this purpose, a highly susceptible cultivar 7042S was used as infector row and sown three weeks before the test material. At the time of sowing, a fine powder of oosporic material was added to the furrows. Between every two infector rows five lines of five meter each, test entries were sown. Two replications were maintained for each test entry. The pearl millet downy mildew incidence was recorded twice *viz.*, first at 30 days and second at 60 days after sowing by formula mentioned below and the reaction of varieties were categorized as given in [Table-1]. *Per cent disease incidence = [Number of infected plant/Total number of plant] X 100*

Table-1 Screening of entries were categorized for disease assessment as follows.

Disease rating	Incidence (%)	Disease reaction		
1	0-5	Highly Resi	stant (HR)	
2	5.1-10	Resista	nt (R)	
3	10 1-20	Moderately Re	sistant (MR)	
1	20 1-50	Succest		
4	20.1-30	Susceptible (5)		
J 2 Evoluation of near	>0U		puble (HS)	
Germplasms	Disease inci	dence (%)	Disease reaction	
MH 2457	30 DAS 5.00 (8.13)	60 DAS 11.25 (12.22)	MR	
MH 2458 MH 2459	3.41 (6.93)	7.95 (10.75)	R	
MH 2459 MH 2460	3.57 (6.93)	8.33 (10.75)	R	
MH 2461 MH 2462	3.49 (6.93)	6.98 (9.97)	R	
MH 2463	3.45 (6.93)	8.05 (10.75)	R	
MH 2464 MH 2465	7.46 (9.05) 4.65 (8.13)	10.45 (10.75) 10.47 (12.22)	MR	
MH 2466	0.00 (0.00)	2.63 (5.74)	HR	
MH 2468	3.85 (6.93)	6.41 (9.05)	R	
MH 2469 MH 2470	5.06 (8.13)	11.39 (12.22) 6 17 (9.05)	MR	
MH 2471	6.85 (9.05)	10.96 (11.53)	MR	
MH 2472 MH 2473	4.82 (8.13) 5.43 (9.05)	8.43 (10.75) 8.70 (11.44)	R	
MH 2474 MH 2475	4.21 (8.13) 5.88 (8.64)	6.32 (9.83)	R	
MH 2476	6.67 (9.05)	10.57 (12.22)	MR	
MH 2477 MH 2478	7.14 (9.05) 7.32 (9.83)	10.00 (10.75) 10.98 (12.22)	R	
MH 2479	6.02 (9.05)	10.84 (12.07)	MR	
MH 2480 MH 2481	3.49 (6.93) 6.25 (9.05)	5.81 (9.05) 8.75 (10.75)	R	
MH 2482 MH 2483	5.13 (8.13)	8.97 (10.75)	R	
MH 2484	4.17 (6.93)	6.94 (9.05)	R	
MH 2485 MH 2486	2.53 (5.74) 6.94 (9.05)	7.59 (9.83) 9.72 (10.75)	R	
MH 2487	3.45 (5.74)	10.34 (9.97)	MR	
MH 2488 MH 2489	5.95 (9.05) 7.69 (9.05)	9.23 (9.83)	R	
MH 2490	4.05 (6.93)	10.81 (11.44)	MR	
MH 2491 MH 2492	5./ I (0.13) 7.50 (9.83)	12.50 (12.85)	MR	
MH 2493 MH 2494	4.82 (7.85) 5 13 (8 13)	8.43 (10.75) 7.69 (9.83)	R	
MH 2495	5.56 (9.05)	8.89 (11.44)	R	
MH 2496 MH 2497	4.76 (6.93) 8.82 (9.83)	11.11 (10.75) 14.71 (12.85)	MR	
MH 2498	6.02 (9.05)	8.43 (10.75)	R	
MH 2500	3.41 (6.93)	6.82 (9.83)	R	
MH 2501 MH 2502	7.27 (8.13) 5.26 (6.93)	14.55 (11.44) 10.53 (9.83)	MR	
MH 2503	4.88 (8.13)	9.76 (11.53)	R	
MH 2504 MH 2505	2.22 (5.74) 3.75 (6.93)	4.44 (8.13) 6.25 (9.05)	HR	
MH 2506	8.33 (9.05)	10.00 (9.83)	R	
MH 2508	9.52 (9.83)	14.29 (12.07)	MR	
MH 2509 MH 2510	7.14 (8.13)	14.29 (11.53) 10.39 (11.44)	MR	
MH 2511	7.23 (9.83)	12.05 (12.85)	MR	
MH 2512 MH 2513	2.44 (5.74) 3.33 (6.93)	6.10 (9.05) 5.56 (9.05)	R	
MH 2514	8.20 (9.05)	11.48 (10.75)	MR	
MH 2515 MH 2516	6.85 (9.05) 8.22 (9.97)	10.96 (11.44) 12.33 (12.22)	MR	
MH 2517 MH 2518	5.00 (7.85)	7.50 (9.83)	R	
MH 2519	6.25 (9.05)	10.00 (11.15)	R	
MH 2520 MH 2521	6.25 (9.05) 6.49 (8.64)	8.75 (10.75) 6.49 (9.05)	R	
MH 2522	4.60 (7.85)	10.34 (12.22)	MR	
MH 2523 MH 2524	5.48 (8.13) 11.11 (10.75)	8.22 (9.83) 12.70 (11.44)	MR	
MH 2525 MH 2526	5.00 (8.13)	6.25 (9.05) 9.86 (10.75)	R	
MH 2527	5.26 (6.93)	12.28 (10.75)	MR	
MH 2528 MH 2529	8.64 (10.75) 8.11 (9.83)	7.41 (9.83) 9.46 (10.75)	R	
MH 2530	3.70 (6.93)	8.64 (10.52)	R	
MH 2531 MH 2532	7.79 (9.97) 6.56 (8.13)	7.79 (9.83) 11.48 (10.75)	R	
MH 2533	6.41 (9.05)	8.97 (10.75)	R	
MH 2535	7.32 (9.83)	7.32 (9.83)	R	
MH 2536 MH 2537	5.45 (6.93)	10.91 (9.97) 10.14 (10.52)	MR	
MH 2538	5.97 (8.13)	10.45 (10.75)	MR	
MH 2540	2.56 (5.74) 5.17 (6.93)	0.97 (10.52) 8.62 (8.64)	R	
MH 2541	5.71 (7.85)	7.14 (9.05)	R	
MP 595	4.05 (6.93)	13.24 (12.07)	MR	
MP 596 MP 597	6.41 (9.05)	7.69 (9.83)	R	
MP 598	12.50 (10.75)	17.86 (12.85)	MR	
MP 599 MP 600	4.92 (6.93) 4.35 (6.93)	8.20 (9.05) 5.80 (7.85)	R	
RHB 177	2.35 (5.74)	7.06 (9.83)	R	
MPMH 21	5.88 (7.85)	5.75 (9.05) 10.29 (10.52)	MR	
HHB 272 MPMH 17	2.53 (5.74)	7.59 (9.83)	R	
86M01	6.41 (9.05)	7.69 (9.83)	R	
PB 1705 PRATAP	7.50 (9.83) 7.25 (9.05)	10.00 (11.44) 10.14 (10.75)	R	
NBH 5767	7.46 (9.05)	10.45 (10.75)	MR	
KBH 108 86M86	4.11 (6.93) 10.34 (9.83)	9.59 (10.75) 10.34 (9.83)	R	
MP-7792	5.33 (7.85)	9.33 (10.75)	R	
Kaveri S. Boss NBH 4903	4.82 (8.13) 6.38 (9.83)	8.43 (10.75) 8.51 (11.44)	R	
Raj 171	7.84 (7.85)	11.76 (9.83)	MR	
Pusa Comp. 383	6.94 (9.05) 7.14 (9.83)	6.94 (9.05) 8.33 (10.75)	R	
JBV 2 Dbanebakt	6.41 (8.64)	11.54 (12.22)	MR	
Pusa Comp. 701	4.88 (7.85)	6.10 (9.05)	R	
ICMV 155 Pusa Comp. 612	7.55 (8.13) 4.11 (6.93)	11.32 (9.97) 6.85 (9.05)	MR	
ABV 04	11.29 (10.75)	14.52 (12.22)	MR	
7042S (check) Nokha local (check)	73.08 (32.52) 71.34 (29.22)	93.25 (31.92) 93.15 (34.40)	HS	
C.D. SE(m)	3.88	3.07		
SE(d)	1.96	1.55		
C.V.	22.97	14.22		

Results and Discussion

In the present study following symptoms of downy mildew were recorded on the downy mildew susceptible pearl millet hybrids and varieties planted in the downy mildew sick experimental field. A total of 115 pearl millet lines were evaluated in downy mildew sick field under infector rows systems to identify the downy mildew resistance lines. The downy mildew cultivars "7042S" and local susceptible were also planted in between the test lines to measure the progress of downy mildew. It is obvious from the data presented in [Table-2], that out of 115 entries, 3 lines viz., MH 2466, MH 2504 and Dhanshati were expressed highly resistant (0-5%) reaction against downy mildew disease with the lowest per cent disease incidence; respectively while, the sixty seven entries showed resistant (5.1-10%) reaction viz., MH 2458, MH 2459, MH 2460, MH 2461, MH 2462, MH 2463, MH 2467, MH 2468, MH 2470, MH 2472, MH 2473, MH 2474, MH 2477, MH 2480, MH 2481, MH 2482, MH 2483, MH 2484, MH 2485, MH 2486, MH 2489, MH 2491, MH 2493, MH 2494, MH 2495, MH 2498, MH 2500, MH 2503, MH 2505, MH 2506, MH 2512, MH 2513, MH 2517, MH 2518, MH 2519, MH 2520, MH 2521, MH 2523, MH 2525, MH 2526, MH 2528, MH 2529, MH 2530, MH 2531, MH 2533, MH 2535, MH 2539, MH 2540, MH 2541, MH 2542, MP 596, MP 599, MP 600, RHB 177, HHB 67 Improved, HHB 272, MPMH 17, 86M01, PB 1705, KBH 108, MP-7792, Kaveri S. Boss, NBH 4903, ICMV 221, Pusa Comp. 383, Pusa Comp. 701 and Pusa Comp. 612. Forty five entries exhibited moderately resistant (10.1-20%) reaction viz., MH 2457, MH 2464, MH 2465, MH 2469, MH 2471. MH 2475. MH 2476. MH 2478. MH 2479. MH 2487. MH 2488. MH 2490. MH 2492, MH 2496, MH 2497, MH 2499, MH 2501, MH 2502, MH 2507, MH 2508, MH 2509, MH 2510, MH 2511, MH 2514, MH 2515, MH 2516, MH 2522, MH 2524, MH 2527, MH 2532, MH 2534, MH 2536, MH 2537, MH 2538, MP 595, MP 597, MP 598, MPMH 21, PRATAP, NBH 5767, 86M86, Raj 171, JBV 2, ICMV 155 and ABV 04. The susceptible check (7042 S and Nokha Local) showed above 93.25 & 93.15 per cent downy mildew incidence at the location. None of the entries were found susceptible and highly susceptible to Sclerospora graminicola downy mildew. All the tested lines were significantly superior over "7042S". Under Sclerospora graminicola sick field condition, the disease shows severe symptoms on highly susceptible cultivars (7042S), in that most of the infected plants were dried and died prematurely. Similarly, Shivkumar et al., (2003) [10], Thakur et al., (2004) [11], Sharma et al., (2007) [12], Latake et al., (2008) [13] and Ati et al., (2013) [14] evaluated 147 germplasm lines for their resistance and found that, 25 were highly resistant and amongst them, 10 lines were completely free from downy mildew, 32 were resistant, 52 were susceptible and 38 were highly susceptible to downy mildew infection at both 30 and 60 days after planting. Rajput et al. (2013) evaluated twenty-two locally cultivated hybrids/varieties, among these seven entries were completely free from downy mildew at 30 days after sowing. Out of these seven, four entries remained free at 60 days after sowing also while four entries showed more than 10 per cent downy mildew incidence at 60 days after sowing. Raini Singh Sasode et al., 2017 [15] screened 100 pearl millet genotypes for resistance to downy mildew and found that, 35 remained free from downy mildew at 60 days after sowing, while 96.87 per cent disease incidence was recorded in 7042S. All the tested lines were significantly superior over "7042S". More than 10 per cent downy mildew was recorded in four lines viz., ICMB 92777, BRBL 4, JMSB 20143, 7042R at 60 days after sowing. KK Saini et al., 2022 [16] evaluated 62 germplasm lines for their resistance and found that, 36 were highly resistant, 7 lines were resistant to downy mildew, 14 were moderately resistant and 5 were susceptible to downy mildew infection.

Conclusion

Out of 115 pearl millet lines, three entries were expressed highly resistant (0-5%) reaction against downy mildew while, the sixty-seven entries showed resistant (5.1-10%) reaction. 45 entries exhibited moderately resistant (10.1-20%) to downy mildew disease. None of the entry was found susceptible & highly susceptible.

Application of research: To management of downy mildew of pearl millet resistant superiority may be useful genetic resources for improvement of pearl millet. In addition, three entries were expressed highly resistant reaction which can be used for pearl millet production at downy mildew prone area.

Table-3 Reaction of pearl millet entries against downy mildew under sick field conditions

Disease	Incidence	Disease reaction	Entries	Total
rating	(%)			
1	0-5	Highly Resistant (HR)	MH 2466, MH 2504, Dhanshati	3
2	5.1-10	Resistant (R)	MH 2458, MH 2459, MH 2460, MH 2461, MH 2462, MH 2463, MH 2467, MH 2468, MH 2470, MH 2472, MH 2473, MH 2474, MH 2477, MH 2480, MH 2481, MH 2482, MH 2483, MH 2484, MH 2485, MH 2486, MH 2489, MH 2491, MH 2493, MH 2494, MH 2495, MH 2498, MH 2500, MH 2503, MH 2505, MH 2506, MH 2512, MH 2513, MH 2517, MH 2518, MH 2519, MH 2520, MH 2522, MH 2525, MH 2526, MH 2528, MH 2529, MH 2530, MH 2513, MH 2533, MH 2535, MH 2525, MH 2542, MH 2542, MP 590, MP 600, RHB 177, HHB 67 Improved, HHB 272, MPMH 17, 86M01, PB 1705, KBH 108, MP-7792, Kaveri S. Boss, NBH 4903, ICMV 221, Pusa Comp. 383, Pusa Comp. 701, Pusa Comp. 612	67
3	10.1-20	Moderately Resistant (MR)	MH 2457, MH 2464, MH 2465, MH 2469, MH 2471, MH 2475, MH 2476, MH 2478, MH 2479, MH 2487, MH 2488, MH 2490, MH 2492, MH 2496, MH 2497, MH 2499, MH 2501, MH 2502, MH 2507, MH 2508, MH 2509, MH 2510, MH 2511, MH 2514, MH 2515, MH 2516, MH 2522, MH 2524, MH 2532, MH 2534, MH 2534, MH 2536, MH 2537, MH 2538, MP 595, MP 597, MP 598, MPMH 21, PRATAP, NBH 5767, 86M86, Raj 171, JBV 2, ICMV 155, ABV 04	45
4	20.1-50	Susceptible (S)	None	0
5	>50	Highly Susceptible (HS)	None	0

Research Category: Plant Pathology

Acknowledgement / Funding: Authors are thankful to Project Coordinator, ICAR-AICRP on Pearl millet, Agricultural University, Jodhpur, 342304, India for providing necessary facilities to carry out the experiment.

**Principal Investigator or Chairperson of research: Dr J. P. Bishnoi University: Agricultural University, Jodhpur, 342304, India Research project name or number: ICAR-AICRP on Pearl millet station trial

Author Contributions: All authors equally contributed

Author statement: All authors read, reviewed, agreed and approved the final manuscript. Note-All authors agreed that- Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to publish / enrolment

Study area / Sample Collection: Research farm, ICAR-AICRP on Pearl millet, Mandor, Jodhpur

Cultivar / Variety / Breed name: 115 germplasm of pearl millet

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors. Ethical Committee Approval Number: Nil

References

- [1] Rachie K.O. and Majmudar Y.V. (1980) Pearl millet. University Park, Pennsylvania, USA: Pennsylvania State University Press, 307.
- [2] Agriculture statistics at glance (2021a) Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Govt. of India.
- [3] Rajasthan Agriculture statistics at glance (2021b) Department of Agriculture, Govt. of Rajasthan.
- [4] Butler E.J. (1907) Mem. Agric. Indian Bot. Ser., 2, 1-24.
- [5] Anonymous (1972) All India Coordinated Pearl Millets Improvement Project Progress report. ICAR, Pune, Maharashtra, India.
- [6] Singh S.D. and Singh G. (1987) Indian Phytopath., 40, 178-180.
- [7] William R.J., Singh S.D. and Pawar M.N. (1981) Plant Disease, 65, 239-241.
- [8] Singh S.D. (1990) Plant Disease, 74, 871-874.
- [9] Singh S.D. and Gopinath R.A. (1975) *Plant Disease*, 69, 582-584.
- [10] Shivkumar P.D., Geeta H.M. and Shetty H.S. (2003) Plant Science, 1, 85-93.
- [11] Thakur R.P., Rao V.P., Wu B.M., Subbarao K.V., Shetty H.S. and Singh G. (2004) Crop Protection, 23(10), 901-908.
- [12] Sharma Y.K., Yadav S.K. and Khairwal I.S. (2007) J Sat. Agric. Res.,

3(1), 1-2.

- [13] Latake S.B., Bhosale D.M. and Chirame B.B. (2008) J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ., 33(2), 273-274.
- [14] Ati H.M., Aba D.A., Ishiyaku M.F. and Katung M D. (2013) Aust. J Basic & Appl. Sci., 7(6), 82-588.
- [15] Sasode R.S., Fatehpuria P.K., Patidar A. and Pandya R.K. (2018) Int. J. Pure App. Biosci., 6(2), 1158-1162.
- [16] Saini K.K., Mathur A.C., Sharma R.S., Kumar V., Bagri R.K., Sharma Y.K. and Gupta K.C. (2022) *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(2), 1453-1456.