

# International Journal of Genetics

ISSN: 0975-2862 & E-ISSN: 0975-9158, Volume 12, Issue 9, 2020, pp.-773-775. Available online at https://bioinfopublication.org/pages/jouarchive.php?id=BPJ0000226

# Research Article STUDIES ON GENETIC VARIABILITY IN F<sub>2</sub> POPULATION OF CUCUMBER (CUCUMIS SATIVUS L.) FOR YIELD AND QUALITY ATTRIBUTES

# M.R. BHOOMIKA1\*, N. ADIVAPPAR1, D. THIPPESHA1, S. GANGAPRASAD2 AND SHARANABASAPPA3

<sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, 577 204, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, 577 204, India <sup>3</sup>Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, 577 204, India \*Corresponding Author: Email - mrbhoomika2375@gmail.com

#### Received: September 05, 2020; Revised: September 25, 2020; Accepted: September 26, 2020; Published: September 30, 2020

Abstract: The present investigation was conducted to known the nature and magnitude of genetic variability among the 150 F<sub>2</sub> segregating population along with their parents and standard check. The experiment was laid out at ZAHRS, Shivamogga, during *Kharif* 2019. The recorded data was statistically analyzed by using randomized block augmented design. The analysis of variance showed significant difference in the experimental material used for all the different characters under study. Results also revealed that the estimates of PCV were higher than the GCV for all traits. The highest PCV and GCV were recorded for trait *viz.*, yield per vine, number of branches per vine, days to first male flower appearance, fruit diameter, number of nodes at which first male flower appear and rind thickness. The high heritability with high genetic advance as percent of mean was observed for the traits *viz.*, number of seeds per fruit, days to first harvest, diameter of vine, days to first male flower appear, fruit length, number of branches per vine, vine length and number of fruits per vine. Hence, genotypes are selected based on the phenotypic performance of these traits would be more rewarding for selection.

#### Keywords: Cucumber, Segregating population, Yield, Variability

Citation: M.R. Bhoomika, et al., (2020) Studies on Genetic Variability in F<sub>2</sub> Population of Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) for Yield and Quality Attributes. International Journal of Genetics, ISSN: 0975-2862 & E-ISSN: 0975-9158, Volume 12, Issue 9, pp.- 773-775.

**Copyright:** Copyright©2020 M.R. Bhoomika, *et al.*, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# Introduction

Cucumber is the most important vegetable crop of Cucurbitaceae family extensively grown in tropical and subtropical parts of India. It is grown for its tender fruits and it has both internal and external export value. The primary center of origin of cucumber is India.

It has wide range of variation for all the horticultural traits and this accumulated variability evitable for crop improvement programme. In the present study the variability observed in  $F_2$  population is due to segregation and recombination of genes. This might be helpful for the breeder to get a desirable traits combination for improving the yield. Hence, the present study was conducted to know the extent of variability in segregating population and it can be estimated through the different genetic parameters *viz.*, PCV, GCV, heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean.

# Material and Method

The present investigation was conducted with 150 F<sub>2</sub> population (Dharwad x Belgaum Local) of cucumber along with their parents (Dharwad and Belgaum Local) and standard check 'Chitra' during *Kharif* 2019. The experiment was carried out at Zonal Agriculture and Horticulture Research Station, Shivamogga.

The experimental design followed for data analysis was randomized block augmented design and the crop was raised with a spacing of 90 cm x 75 cm. For better growth and development of genotypes a good cultural practice was followed as per the package of practices of cucumber. The observations were recorded to know the variability for various growth, flowering, yield and quality parameters of cucumber. The observed variability can be estimated by the formula given by [1]. The heritability and the genetic advance were determined based on the formula by [2] and [3].

# **Results and Discussion**

Analysis of variance revealed that, significant difference among 150  $F_2$  genotypes for each character indicating that sufficient variability existed in the population for the traits studied in the experiment [Table-1]. The mean performance of genotypes revealed a wide range of variability for all the traits except for a trait number of locules present in the fruit [Table-2]. The highest range of variation was observed for the traits *viz.*, number of seeds per fruit (142.01-379.40), vine length (120.00-376.00 cm), days to last harvest (56.00-86.00), number of leaves per vine (34.00-99.00) and fruit length (7.24-19.74 cm). This indicates that range of variation was quite high for most of the characters in a population and suggesting that these traits are improved by individual plant selection. The obtained results are in accordance with [4] and [5].

# Coefficient of variation

# Phenotypic coefficient of variation

In the present investigation the results of phenotypic coefficient of variation was relatively high for the characters *viz.*, yield per vine (39.14 %), number of branches per vine (35.64 %), days to first male flower appearance (33.11 %), fruit diameter (29.73 %), number of node at which first male flower appear (27.64 %), rind thickness (27.10 %), number of fruits per vine (25.55 %), fruit length (22.72 %) and vine length (21.52 %) [Table-3]. Hence, this PCV estimates recommend that higher levels of variability present in the population. It also suggested that genetic factors were predominantly responsible for expression of those attributes and selection could be made effectively on the basis of phenotypic performance. The moderate PCV estimates were observed for characters *viz.*, internodal length (10.15 %), number of leaves per vine (11.44 %), diameter of vine (11.82 %), days to first female flower appearance (19.92 %), number of nodes at which first female

## Studies on Genetic Variability in F2 Population of Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) for Yield and Quality Attributes

| Mean sum of squares                               |     |             |                   |                         |                       |                          |   |   |  |  |
|---|-----|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Source of variation                               | DF  | Vine length | Internodal length | No. of<br>branches/vine | No. of<br>leaves/vine | Diameter of<br>vine (mm) | Days to first male<br>flower appearance | Days to first female<br>flower appearance | No. of node at which first<br>male flower appear |  |
| Blocks  | 9   | 17364.15**  | 1.81**            | 67.51**                 | 214.38**              | 1.23**                   | 73.26**                                 | 78.17**                                   | 0.65**   |  |
| Entries (Varieties + checks)                      | 150 | 2171.91**   | 0.69**            | 3.81**                  | 79.923**              | 0.48**                   | 11.14**                                 | 6.12**                                    | 0.46**   |  |
| Varieties   | 149 | 2883.25**   | 0.77**            | 7.72**                  | 79.63**               | 0.52**                   | 15.20**                                 | 10.88**                                   | 0.36**   |  |
| Checks  | 2   | 7564.38**   | 55.48**           | 3.63**                  | 1290.53**             | 1.70**                   | 9.03**                                  | 74.10**                                   | 8.40**   |  |
| Varieties vs Check                                | 1   | 40588.81**  | 2.15**            | 21.46**                 | 2046.05**             | 5.78**                   | 64.00**                                 | 1.36                                      | 19.65**  |  |
| Error   | 18  | 326.06      | 0.13              | 0.55                    | 1.49                  | 0.005                    | 0.21                                    | 0.32                                      | 0.14   |  |
| *significant @ 5% level ** significant @ 1% level |     |             |                   |                         |                       |                          |   |   |  |  |

#### Table-1 Analysis of variance for quantitative traits of F<sub>2</sub> population (Dharwad × Belgaum Local) of cucumber

significant @ 5% level significant @ 1% level

| Source of variation          |     |  |                          | Mean sum of squares     |                       |                       |                    |                      |                        |                        |                                 |                     |
|------------------------------|-----|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
|                              | DF  | No. of node at which first<br>female flower appear | Days to first<br>harvest | Days to last<br>harvest | No. of<br>seeds/fruit | No. of<br>fruits/vine | Yield/vine<br>(kg) | Fruit length<br>(cm) | Fruit diameter<br>(cm) | Rind thickness<br>(mm) | Total soluble<br>solids (°Brix) | Total<br>sugars (%) |
| Blocks                       | 9   | 1.67**   | 49.94**                  | 144.98**                | 4523.44**             | 19.16**               | 2.41**             | 10.51**              | 15.20**                | 0.58**                 | 0.32**                          | 0.65**              |
| Entries (Varieties + checks) | 150 | 0.68**   | 26.52**                  | 26.08**                 | 1388.97**             | 5.58**                | 0.53**             | 18.40**              | 3.66**                 | 0.25**                 | 0.14**                          | 0.23**              |
| Varieties                    | 149 | 0.60**   | 28.31**                  | 32.45**                 | 1658.36**             | 3.63**                | 0.64**             | 9.82**               | 4.27**                 | 0.28**                 | 0.16**                          | 0.26**              |
| Checks                       | 2   | 112.23**   | 335.83**                 | 1710.63**               | 10800.90**            | 110.63**              | 0.60**             | 236.44**             | 4.20**                 | 0.15**                 | 2.75**                          | 2.20**              |
| Varieties vs Check           | 1   | 25.59**  | 208.32**                 | 380.25**                | 1855.77**             | 457.96**              | 4.77**             | 1383.41**            | 48.60**                | 2.07**                 | 0.05**                          | 2.70**              |
| Error                        | 18  | 0.12   | 0.2                      | 0.22                    | 10.25                 | 0.74                  | 0.02               | 0.42                 | 0.08                   | 0.0008                 | 0.008                           | 0.008               |

\*significant @ 5% level \*\* significant @ 1% level

# Table-2 Descriptive statistics for yield and quality attributes of F<sub>2</sub> population (Dharwad × Belgaum Local) of cucumber

| SN | Character   |                           | Range  |       | Mear    | of Parents    | Mean of Standard check |  |
|----|---|---------------------------|--------|-------|---------|---------------|------------------------|--|
|    |   | F <sub>2</sub> Mean ± SEm | Mini.  | Max.  | Dharwad | Belgaum Local | Chitra                 |  |
| 1  | Vine length (cm)                                    | 235.44 ± 4.38             | 120    | 376   | 281.22  | 245.90        | 300.08                 |  |
| 2  | Internodal length (cm)                              | 8.22 ± 0.07               | 6.00   | 9.70  | 7.20    | 6.02          | 10.56                  |  |
| 3  | Number of branches per vine                         | 7.34 ± 0.22               | 3.00   | 15.00 | 8.90    | 7.70          | 8.20                   |  |
| 4  | Number of leaves per vine                           | 73.12 ± 0.72              | 34.00  | 99.00 | 87.70   | 69.10         | 89.70                  |  |
| 5  | Diameter of vine (mm)                               | 5.72 ± 0.05               | 3.24   | 7.59  | 5.710   | 5.10          | 4.92                   |  |
| 6  | Days to first male flower appearance                | 11.03 ± 0.31              | 5.00.  | 19.00 | 12.60   | 13.60         | 11.70                  |  |
| 7  | Days to first female flower appearance              | 15.53 ± 0.26              | 11.00  | 23.00 | 15.70   | 17.80         | 12.40                  |  |
| 8  | Number of nodes at which first male flower appear   | 2.11 ± 0.04               | 1.00   | 4.00  | 2.80    | 2.20          | 4.00                   |  |
| 9  | Number of nodes at which first female flower appear | 4.14 ± 0.06               | 2.00   | 6.00  | 3.70    | 2.80          | 9.00                   |  |
| 10 | Days to first harvest                               | 32.25 ± 0.43              | 25.00  | 39.00 | 30.20   | 34.70         | 23.20                  |  |
| 11 | Days to last harvest                                | 76.86 ± 0.46              | 56.00  | 86.00 | 83.40   | 80.20         | 65.70                  |  |
| 12 | Number of seeds per fruit                           | 271.01 ± 3.32             | 142.01 | 379.4 | 349.10  | 290.90        | 147.20                 |  |
| 13 | Number of fruits per vine                           | 7.08 ± 0.15               | 5.00   | 15.00 | 13.90   | 12.60         | 7.60                   |  |
| 14 | Fruit length (cm)                                   | 12.95 ± 0.255             | 7.24   | 19.74 | 14.78   | 23.09         | 23.31                  |  |
| 15 | Fruit diameter (cm)                                 | 6.51 ± 0.16               | 3.00   | 10.19 | 5.78    | 5.09          | 4.48                   |  |
| 16 | Rind thickness (mm)                                 | 0.57 ± 0.04               | 0.06   | 0.9   | 0.42    | 0.24          | 0.18                   |  |
| 17 | Number of locules                                   | $3.00 \pm 0.00$           | 3.00   | 3.00  | 3.00    | 3.00          | 3.00                   |  |
| 18 | Total soluble solids (°B)                           | $3.03 \pm 0.03$           | 0.34   | 3.62  | 2.54    | 2.84          | 3.57                   |  |
| 19 | Total sugars (%)                                    | 2.64 ± 0.04               | 0.27   | 3.44  | 2.31    | 2.80          | 3.50                   |  |
| 20 | Yield/vine (kg)                                     | 1.93 ± 0.065              | 0.931  | 7.276 | 2.64    | 2.18          | 2.27                   |  |

Table-3 Genetic variability for yield and quality attributes of F2 population (Dharwad × Belgaum Local) of cucumber

| SN | Character   | Mean   | Vp      | Vg      | PCV (%) | GCV (%) | h²bs (%) | GA    | GAM (%) |
|----|---|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-------|---------|
| 1  | Vine length (cm)                                    | 235.44 | 2567.36 | 2241.29 | 21.52   | 20.10   | 87.30    | 91.12 | 38.70   |
| 2  | Internodal length (cm)                              | 8.22   | 0.69    | 0.56    | 10.15   | 9.14    | 81.07    | 1.33  | 16.96   |
| 3  | Number of branches per vine                         | 7.34   | 6.84    | 6.28    | 35.64   | 34.15   | 91.83    | 4.94  | 67.41   |
| 4  | Number of leaves per vine                           | 73.12  | 69.98   | 68.49   | 11.44   | 11.31   | 97.86    | 16.86 | 23.06   |
| 5  | Diameter of vine (mm)                               | 5.72   | 0.45    | 0.45    | 11.82   | 11.75   | 98.75    | 1.37  | 24.05   |
| 6  | Days to first male flower appearance                | 11.03  | 13.34   | 13.13   | 33.11   | 32.84   | 98.36    | 7.4   | 67.10   |
| 7  | Days to first female flower appearance              | 15.53  | 9.54    | 9.25    | 19.92   | 19.58   | 96.64    | 6.16  | 39.66   |
| 8  | Number of nodes at which first male flower appear   | 2.11   | 0.34    | 0.2     | 27.64   | 21.19   | 58.77    | 0.7   | 33.46   |
| 9  | Number of nodes at which first female flower appear | 4.14   | 0.54    | 0.42    | 17.76   | 15.64   | 77.55    | 1.17  | 28.37   |
| 10 | Days to first harvest                               | 32.25  | 24.83   | 24.63   | 15.45   | 15.38   | 99.18    | 10.18 | 31.57   |
| 11 | Days to last harvest                                | 76.86  | 28.47   | 28.24   | 6.94    | 6.91    | 99.21    | 10.9  | 14.18   |
| 12 | Number of seeds per fruit                           | 271.01 | 1454.77 | 1444.51 | 14.07   | 14.02   | 99.29    | 78.01 | 28.78   |
| 13 | Number of fruits per vine                           | 7.08   | 3.27    | 2.53    | 25.55   | 22.46   | 77.30    | 2.88  | 40.69   |
| 14 | Fruit length (cm)                                   | 12.95  | 8.66    | 8.24    | 22.72   | 22.15   | 95.09    | 5.76  | 44.51   |
| 15 | Fruit diameter (cm)                                 | 6.51   | 3.75    | 3.67    | 29.73   | 29.40   | 97.79    | 3.9   | 59.90   |
| 16 | Rind thickness (mm)                                 | 0.57   | 0.24    | 0.24    | 27.10   | 26.84   | 82.64    | 1.01  | 18.79   |
| 17 | Number of locules                                   | 3      | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0        | 0     | 0       |
| 18 | Total soluble solids (°Brix)                        | 3.03   | 0.142   | 0.134   | 12.45   | 12.06   | 93.86    | 0.73  | 24.08   |
| 19 | Total sugars (%)                                    | 2.64   | 0.23    | 0.22    | 18.11   | 17.76   | 96.14    | 0.95  | 35.88   |
| 20 | Yield/vine (kg)                                     | 1.93   | 0.57    | 0.54    | 39.14   | 38.19   | 95.20    | 1.48  | 76.77   |

flower appear (17.76 %), days to first harvest (15.45 %) and number of seeds per fruit (14.07 %) Thus, it indicates that the characters were least influenced by the environment. The character day to last harvest (6.94 %) recorded a low PCV level. Hence, it suggests that the probability of genetic improvement of these traits is low due to the greater influence of the environment in the expression of these traits.

The results of high PCV estimates were in accordance with the Das, et al., (2003) [6], Kanwar and Rana (2006) [7] and Khan, et al., (2015) [8]. The evaluated PCV for the traits days to first female flower appearance and days to first harvest were high in the present study and confirm with the results obtained by Bhave, et al., (2003) [9] and Kumari, et al., (2017).

#### Genotypic coefficient of variation

The high estimates of GCV for the traits *viz.*, yield per vine (38.19 %), number of branches per vine (34.15 %), days to first male flower appearance (32.84 %), fruit diameter (29.40 %), number of nodes at which first male flower appear, rind thickness, number of fruits per vine, fruit length and vine length [Table-3]. This indicates higher magnitude of variability present in the population for selection. The experiment results are in agreement with the evidences of Veena, *et al.*, (2012) [10], Rajawath and Collis (2017) [11] and Shet, *et al.*, (2018) [12].

#### Heritability

The relative magnitude of genotypic and phenotypic variability was computed by estimating heritability which is contributed through environmental factors. Heritability and genetic advance are important selection parameters. The high magnitude of heritability indicates that the role of environmental effects is less on expression of characters and selection is made based on the phenotypic performance. A low value of heritability of a character reveals that trait is majorly influenced by environmental effects and selection of trait may be difficult due to masking effects of the environment on the genotypic effects.

In the present investigation, the high heritability estimates were observed for all the traits except for a trait number of nodes at which first male flower appear (58.77 %) [Table-3]. Often, the heritability estimates mislead sometimes due to additive components and more genetic variance transferred from generation to next generation. So, heritability along with genetic advance as per cent of mean is reliable for selecting the traits. The high magnitude of heritability accompanied with high genetic advance as per cent of mean were observed in F2 population of (Dharwad × Belgaum Local) cucumber for the characters study viz., number of seeds per fruit, days to first harvesting, diameter of vine, days to first male flower appear, number of leaves per vine, fruit diameter, days to first female flower appear, fruit length, number of branches per vine, vine length, fruit diameter, number of fruits per vine and node at which first female flower appear. This indicates that high heritability accompanied with high genetic advance is more rewarding. Selection of a trait is effective and gives a more precise in predicting the genetic gain under selection. The obtained results are in agreement with the evidences of Pradhan, et al., (2018) [13], Alekar, et al., (2019) [14], Deepa, et al., (2018) [15], and Pushpalatha, et al., (2016) [16].

#### Conclusion

In the present study, the observed mean performance was high with wider range of variation and showed a significant difference for all the traits except for number of locules present in the fruit. The experiment also revealed that high PCV estimates recorded for the traits viz., yield per vine, number of branches per vine, days to first male flower appearance, fruit diameter, number of nodes at which first male flower appear, rind thickness, number of fruits per vine, fruit length and vine length. The high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean were recorded for the traits viz., number of seeds per fruit, days to first harvesting, days to first male flower appear, days to first female flower appear, node at which first female flower appear, number of leaves per vine, fruit length, fruit diameter, number of branches per vine, vine length and number of fruits per vine.

**Application of research:** It is found that there is a good source of variability in the segregating population of cucumber and which helps in identification of promising lines for yield and quality attributes.

# Research Category: Horticulture

# Abbreviations:

ZAHRS:- Zonal Agricultural and Horticultural Research Station UAHS :- University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences PCV :- Phenotypic coefficient of variation GCV :- Genotypic coefficient of variation

Acknowledgement / Funding: Authors are thankful to Department of

Horticulture, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, 577 204, India. Authors are also thankful to Directorate of Research, University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, 577 204, India for providing the financial assistance under staff research project (No: 6.7) during the year 2019-20.

#### \*\*Principal Investigator or Chairperson of research: Dr N. Adivappar

University: University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, 577 204, India

Research project name or number: Staff research project

Author Contributions: All authors equally contributed

Author statement: All authors read, reviewed, agreed and approved the final manuscript. Note-All authors agreed that- Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to publish / enrolment

Study area / Sample Collection: Zonal Agriculture and Horticulture Research Station, Shivamogga

Cultivar / Variety / Breed name: Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.)

## Conflict of Interest: None declared

**Ethical approval:** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors. Ethical Committee Approval Number: Nil

#### References

- [1] Burton G.W. and De Vane E. M. (1959) *Agronomy Journal*, 51, 515-518.
- [2] Hanson C.H., Robinson H. F. and Comstock R.E. (1956) Agronomy Journal, 48, 267-282.
- [3] Johnson H.W., Robinson H.F. and Comstock R.E. (1955) Agronomy Journal, 47, 477-483.
- [4] Kumar A.S., Kumar and Pal A.K. (2008) Indian Journal of Horticulture, 65(4), 423-428.
- [5] Kumari A., Singh K.A., Moharan D.P., Kumar A. and Chandel S.S. (2017) International Journal of Pure Applied Bioscience, 5(6), 585-589.
- [6] Das S., Maurya K.R. and Chaudhary D.N. (2003) Journal of Applied Biology and Biotechnology, (13), 54-57.
- [7] Kanwar M.S. and Rana M. (2006) Indian Journal of Horticulture, 19, 221-225.
- [8] Khan M.H., Bhuiyan S.R., Saha K.C., Bhuyin M.R. and Ali A.S.M.Y. (2015) *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 40(4), 607-618.
- [9] Bhave S. G., Bendale V.W., Pethe U.B., Berde S.A. and Metha J. L. (2003) *Journal of Soil and Crop*, 13(1), 33-40.
- [10] Veena R., Sidhu A.S., Pitchaimuthu M. and Souravi K. (2012) Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 3(3), 945-948.
- [11] Rajawath K.S. and Collis J.P. (2017) *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6(4), 882-885.
- [12] Shet R.M., Shantappa T., Ashok and Gurumurthy S.B. (2018) International Journal of Chemical Studies, 6(5), 236-238.
- [13] Pradhan S.R., Dharmatti P.R., Sahu G.S. and Sahoo S. (2018) Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 7(5), 1315-1318.
- [14] Alekar A.N., Shinde K.G. and Khamkar M.B. (2019) International Journal of Chemical Studies, 7(3), 1155-1159.
- [15] Deepa S.K., Hadimani H.P., Hanchinamani C.N., Shet R., Koulgi S. and Ashok. (2018) *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6(6), 115-118.
- [16] Pushpalatha N., Anjanappa M., Devappa V. and Pitchaimuthu M. (2016) *Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, 11(1), 123-127.