Research Article

EFFECT OF SEED PRIMING ON SHOOT AND ROOT LENGTH IN MARIGOLD SEEDS

SINDHU K.* AND SEHRAWAT S.K.

Department of Horticulture, Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, 125004, Haryana, India *Corresponding Author: Email - sindhuhorti846@gmail.com

Received: September 03, 2019; Revised: September 25, 2019; Accepted: September 26, 2019; Published: September 30, 2019

Abstract: Marigold is one of the important annual flowers grown for commercial purpose all over the world. Non availability of high-quality seeds of marigold is one of the major constraints in its cultivation. Priming of Marigold seeds plays crucial role in its germination, shoot length and root length. Hence a laboratory study was carried out to investigate the influence of various priming treatments on shoot and root length of French marigold seeds. This study was carried out on marigold seeds of four lines and nine treatments. Seeds treated with 0.5% KNO₃ exhibited significantly higher shoot length (10.950cm) during 0 month storage. In 2, 4, 6, 8- and 10-months storage duration, significantly maximum shoot length was recorded in G-4(9.36cm) which was followed by G-1 (8.40 cm) and G-3 (7.85cm) during 0-month storage. In 2, 4, 6, 8- and 10-months storage duration, significantly maximum shoot length was observed in G-4 (8.03cm, 6.763cm, 5.752cm, 4.785cm and 2.956cm) respectively. Seeds treated with 0.5% KNO₃ exhibited significantly higher root length (4.625cm) over the other treatments during 0-month storage. In 2, 4, 6, 8- and 10-months storage duration, significantly maximum root length was recorded in G-4(4.877cm) which was followed by G-1 (4.709 cm) and G-3 (4.350 cm) during 0-month storage. In 2, 4, 6, 8- and 10-months storage duration, significantly maximum root length was recorded in G-4(4.877cm) which was followed by G-1 (4.709 cm) and G-3 (4.350 cm) during 0-month storage. In 2, 4, 6, 8- and 10-months storage duration, significantly maximum root length was observed in G-4 (3.933 cm, 3.315 cm, 2.644cm, 1.959 cm and 0.937 cm) respectively.

Keywords: Marigold, Seed, Priming, Shoot length, Root length

Citation: Sindhu K. and Sehrawat S.K. (2019) Effect of Seed Priming on Shoot and Root Length in Marigold Seeds. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 11, Issue 18, pp.- 9082-9085.

Copyright: Copyright©2019 Sindhu K. and Sehrawat S.K. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Academic Editor / Reviewer: Dr Aruna G.R., Dr Tanweer Ahmed

Introduction

Marigold (*Tagetes patula* L.), a member of family compositae is an important loose flower crop cultivated in India. It is one of the important annual flowers grown for commercial purpose all over the world. The marigold is also grown as trap crop to control pest activity. Poultry industry is extensively using marigold petals as a natural source of xanthophylls pigment. Tagetes oil, mainly from T. minuta, is used in perfume and as savouring constituent. Thus, cultivation of marigold gaining popularity day by day in agriculture business owing to its commercial usage. Marigold seeds exhibit a rapid decline in vigour and viability under ambient conditions of storage from April to October in Northern plains [1]. Non availability of high-quality seeds of marigold is one of the major constraints in its cultivation. Seed possesses maximum vigour at the time of physiological maturity, thereafter in viability and vigour of seed decline gradually Thus, the preparation of seed with high vigour is essential to improve seed storability and seedling establishment which ultimately enhance the productivity under wide range of field conditions. Pre sowing seed treatments with growth regulators, agro-chemicals, fungicides, water etc. have been reported to increase seed quality initially in terms of higher germination and vigour of several crops. Priming treatments have been reported to offer promising means for maintaining the quality of different crop species. Various treatments involving hydration-dehydration or pre sowing treatments with different chemicals – CaCl₂ and KNO₃ for increasing the storage of seeds life have been found beneficial. Therefore, a laboratory study was carried out to assess the influence of various priming treatments on shoot and root length of French marigold seeds.

Materials and Methods

A good quality seed is an essential requirement for obtaining higher yields per unit area. To assess the seed quality during storage this research was conducted in

laboratory of Seed Science and Technology department, CCS Haryana Agricultural University. The research was planned to determine the ageing, priming and enzyme activity on seed quality parameters of marigold seeds under natural and artificial aged conditions. An effort was made to assess effect of priming treatments on seed quality during storage and the effect of accelerated ageing on primed seed genotypes during storage. The seed material for the present investigation was collected from Horticulture farm, Department of Horticulture CCS Haryana Agricultural University Hisar. The study was carried out on marigold seeds of four lines which were harvested during April. The harvested seeds of different lines (MGH208, MGH205, MGH207 and Hissar Jafri) were designated as Genotype-1; Genotype-2; Genotype-3; and Genotype-4, respectively.

Treatments

The seeds of various genotypes (G-1, G-2, G-3 and G-4) were harvested in 16th April and after processing the seeds were primed and observations were recorded at two months interval upto February (2017).

T0 - Untreated (control)

T1 – Hydration (Soaking for 6 h) and dehydration at room temperature

T2 – 2%CaCl₂ (Soaking for 6 h) and dehydration at room temperature.

T3 – 4% CaCl₂ (Soaking for 6 h) and dehydration at room temperature.

T4 – 0.5% KNO₃ (Soaking for 6 h) and dehydration at room temperature.

T5 – 1% KNO₃ (Soaking for 6 h) and dehydration at room temperature.

T6 – 2% Mannitol (Soaking for 6 h) and dehydration at room temperature.

T7–4% Mannitol (Soaking for 6 h) and dehydration at room temperature.

T8 – 6% Mannitol (Soaking for 6 h) and dehydration at room temperature.

International Journal of Agriculture Sciences

Table-1 Effect of priming treatments on shoot length of marigold seeds

Name	Storage duration	Seed genotypes	Shoot length (cm)									Mean (cm)	
O	In months												
G-2			To	T ₁	T ₂	Тз		T ₅	T ₆	T ₇	T8		
G-3	0	G-1	8	7.1	8.1	9.1	11.2	10	8.5	7.1	6.5	8.4	
Near			7.833	6.1	7.6	7.9	9.2	8.7	7.1	7.1	6.1	7.515	
Mean		G-3	7.833	6.4		8.5		9.4	7.4	6.2	6.47	7.856	
C2 G-1 7 6.1 7.1 8.1 9.267 9 7.5 6.1 5.5 7.296 G-2 6.9 5.1 6.6 6.9 8.2 7.7 6.1 5.1 5.1 6.411 G-3 7.1 5.4 6.6 7.5 8.9 8.4 6.4 5.467 5.467 6.804 G-4 7.8 6.8 7.8 8.7 10.5 9.2 8 7.5 6 8.033 Mean 7.2 5.85 7.025 7.8 9.217 8.575 7 6.042 5.517 Mean 6-1 5.7 5 6 6.7 7.2 6 6.7 5.4 5.2 5.989 Mean 5.675 4.78 5.4 6.2 7 6.3 5.3 6.113 4.1 5.604 G-2 5.33 4.13 4.7 5.7 7.225 6.475 6.03 5.608 7.733 6.62 G-2 4.1 3.133 4.7 5.		G-4	8.8	7.8	8.8	10.7	12.5	11.2	9	8.5	7	9.367	
G-2	Me	an	8.117	6.85	8.025	9.05	10.95	9.825	8	7.225	6.518		
G-3	2	G-1	7	6.1		8.1	9.267	9	7.5		5.5	7.296	
Ne		G-2	6.9	5.1	6.6	6.9	8.2	7.7	6.1	5.1	5.1	6.411	
Mear			7.1	5.4	6.6	7.5	8.9	8.4	6.4	5.467	5.467	6.804	
4 G-1 5.7 5 6 6.7 7.2 6 6.7 5.4 5.2 5.989 G-2 5.3 4.1 5.1 5.8 6.6 6.3 4.9 4.2 3.733 5.115 G-3 5.3 4.7 5.4 6.2 7 6.3 5.3 6.133 4.1 5.604 G-4 6.4 6.4 5.333 6.7 7 8.1 7.23 6.9 5.9 6.763 Mean 5.675 4.783 5.8 6.425 7.225 6.475 6.033 5.684 4.733 G-2 4.1 3.133 3.9 4.8 5.8 5.133 4.2 3.8 3.4 4.252 G-3 4.2 3.3 4.1 5.133 7.2 5.23 5.633 5.067 4.1 5.152 Mean 4.875 3.875 4.65 5.442 6.5 5.492 5.183 4.692 3.725 B G-1 3.233 2.8 4.2 4.7 5.5 4.333 4.3<		G-4	7.8	6.8	7.8	8.7	10.5	9.2	8	7.5	6	8.033	
G-2 5.3 4.1 5.1 5.8 6.6 6.3 4.9 4.2 3.733 5.115 G-3 5.3 4.7 5.4 6.2 7 6.3 5.3 6.133 4.1 5.604 G-4 6.4 5.333 6.7 7 8.1 7.3 7.233 6.9 5.9 6.763 Mean 5.675 4.783 5.8 6.425 7.225 6.475 6.033 5.658 4.733 6 G-1 5.133 4.13 4.7 5.7 6.7 5.2 5.633 5.067 4.1 5.152 G-2 4.1 3.133 3.9 4.8 5.8 5.133 4.2 3.8 3.4 4.252 G-3 4.2 3.3 4.1 5.133 6.3 5.4 4.8 4.2 3.9 4.593 Mean 4.875 3.875 4.65 5.442 6.5 5.492 5.183 4.692 3.725 8 G-1 3.233 2.8 4.2 4.7 5.5	Me	an	7.2	5.85	7.025		9.217	8.575	7	6.042	5.517		
G-3 5.3 4.7 5.4 6.2 7 6.3 5.3 6.133 4.1 5.604 G-4 6.4 5.333 6.7 7 8.1 7.3 7.233 6.9 5.9 6.763 Mean 5.675 4.783 5.8 6.425 7.225 6.475 6.033 5.665 4.733 G-1 5.133 4.133 4.7 5.7 6.7 5.2 5.633 5.067 4.1 5.152 G-2 4.1 3.133 3.9 4.8 5.8 5.133 4.2 3.8 3.4 4.252 G-3 4.2 3.3 4.1 5.133 6.3 5.4 4.8 4.2 3.9 4.593 Mean 4.875 3.875 4.65 5.442 6.5 5.492 5.183 4.692 3.725 8 G-1 3.233 2.8 4.2 4.7 5.5 4.333 4.3 4.3 3.467 4.093			5.7	5	6	6.7	7.2	6	6.7	5.4	5.2	5.989	
G-3		G-2	5.3	4.1	5.1	5.8	6.6	6.3		4.2	3.733	5.115	
Mean 6.4 5.333 6.7 7 8.1 7.3 7.233 6.9 5.9 6.763 Mean 5.675 4.783 5.8 6.425 7.225 6.475 6.033 5.658 4.733 6 G-1 5.133 4.133 4.7 5.7 6.7 5.2 5.633 5.067 4.1 5.152 G-2 4.1 3.133 3.9 4.8 5.8 5.133 4.2 3.8 3.4 4.252 G-3 4.2 3.3 4.1 5.133 6.3 7.2 6.23 6.1 5.7 3.5 5.752 Mean 4.875 3.875 4.65 5.442 6.5 5.492 5.183 4.692 3.725 8 G-1 3.233 2.8 4.2 4.7 5.5 4.333 4.3 4.4 4.093 8 G-1 3.233 1.567 3.2 3.233 3.2 3.1 1.8 1 <th< td=""><td></td><td>G-3</td><td>5.3</td><td>4.7</td><td>5.4</td><td>6.2</td><td>7</td><td>6.3</td><td>5.3</td><td>6.133</td><td></td><td>5.604</td></th<>		G-3	5.3	4.7	5.4	6.2	7	6.3	5.3	6.133		5.604	
G-1 5.133 4.133 4.7 5.7 6.7 5.2 5.633 5.067 4.1 5.152 G-2 4.1 3.133 3.9 4.8 5.8 5.133 4.2 3.8 3.4 4.252 G-3 4.2 3.3 4.1 5.133 6.3 5.4 4.8 4.2 3.9 4.593 Mean 4.875 3.875 4.65 5.442 6.5 5.492 5.183 4.692 3.725 8 G-1 3.233 2.8 4.2 4.7 5.5 4.333 4.3 4.3 3.467 4.093 6-2 2.133 1.567 3.2 3.233 3.2 3.1 1.8 1 1 2.248 6-3 2.5 1.7 3.2 3.233 3.2 3.1 1.8 1 1 2.248 6-3 2.5 1.7 3.2 3.333 4.2 3.5 2.7 2.133 1.5 2.752 6-4 4.133 3.3 4.7 5.2 6.133 6.167 <td< td=""><td></td><td>G-4</td><td>6.4</td><td>5.333</td><td>6.7</td><td>7</td><td>8.1</td><td>7.3</td><td></td><td>6.9</td><td>5.9</td><td></td></td<>		G-4	6.4	5.333	6.7	7	8.1	7.3		6.9	5.9		
G-2 4.1 3.133 3.9 4.8 5.8 5.133 4.2 3.8 3.4 4.252 G-3 4.2 3.3 4.1 5.133 6.3 5.4 4.8 4.2 3.9 4.593 G-4 6.067 4.933 5.9 6.133 7.2 6.233 6.1 5.7 3.5 5.752 Mean 4.875 3.875 4.65 5.442 6.5 5.492 5.183 4.692 3.725 8 G-1 3.233 2.8 4.2 4.7 5.5 4.333 4.3 4.3 3.467 4.093 6-2 2.133 1.567 3.2 3.233 3.2 3.1 1.8 1 1 2.248 G-3 2.5 1.7 3.2 3.333 4.2 3.5 2.7 2.133 1.5 2.752 Mean 3 2.342 3.825 4.117 4.758 4.275 3.508 3.033 2.367 10 G-1 1.5 1.833 2.8 3.2 4.3 2.7	Me	Mean		4.783	5.8	6.425	7.225	6.475	6.033	5.658	4.733		
G-3 4.2 3.3 4.1 5.133 6.3 5.4 4.8 4.2 3.9 4.593 G-4 6.067 4.933 5.9 6.133 7.2 6.233 6.1 5.7 3.5 5.752 Mean 4.875 3.875 4.65 5.442 6.5 5.492 5.183 4.692 3.725 5.752 8 G-1 3.233 2.8 4.2 4.7 5.5 4.333 4.3 4.3 3.467 4.093 6-2 2.133 1.567 3.2 3.233 3.2 3.1 1.8 1 1 2.248 6-3 2.5 1.7 3.2 3.333 4.2 3.5 2.7 2.133 1.5 2.752 Mean 3 2.342 3.825 4.117 4.758 4.275 3.508 3.033 2.367 10 G-1 1.5 1.833 2.8 3.2 4.3 2.7 2.933 1.5 1 2.419 G-2 1.5 1.4 1.8 2.033	6	G-1	5.133	4.133	4.7		6.7	5.2	5.633	5.067	4.1	5.152	
G-4 6.067 4.933 5.9 6.133 7.2 6.233 6.1 5.7 3.5 5.752 Mean 4.875 3.875 4.65 5.442 6.5 5.492 5.183 4.692 3.725 3.725 8 G-1 3.233 2.8 4.2 4.7 5.5 4.333 4.3 4.3 3.467 4.093 G-2 2.133 1.567 3.2 3.233 3.2 3.1 1.8 1 1 2.248 G-3 2.5 1.7 3.2 3.333 4.2 3.5 2.7 2.133 1.5 2.752 G-4 4.133 3.3 4.7 5.2 6.133 6.167 5.233 4.7 3.5 4.785 Mean 3 2.342 3.825 4.117 4.758 4.275 3.508 3.033 2.367 10 G-1 1.5 1.833 2.8 3.2 4.3 2.7 2.933 1.5 1 2.419 G-2 1.5 1.4 1.8 <td< td=""><td>G-2</td><td>4.1</td><td>3.133</td><td>3.9</td><td>4.8</td><td>5.8</td><td>5.133</td><td>4.2</td><td>3.8</td><td>3.4</td><td>4.252</td></td<>		G-2	4.1	3.133	3.9	4.8	5.8	5.133	4.2	3.8	3.4	4.252	
Mean 4.875 3.875 4.65 5.442 6.5 5.492 5.183 4.692 3.725 8 G-1 3.233 2.8 4.2 4.7 5.5 4.333 4.3 4.3 3.467 4.093 G-2 2.133 1.567 3.2 3.233 3.2 3.1 1.8 1 1 2.248 G-3 2.5 1.7 3.2 3.333 4.2 3.5 2.7 2.133 1.5 2.752 G-4 4.133 3.3 4.7 5.2 6.133 6.167 5.233 4.7 3.5 4.785 Mean 3 2.342 3.825 4.117 4.758 4.275 3.508 3.033 2.367 10 G-1 1.5 1.833 2.8 3.2 4.3 2.7 2.933 1.5 1 2.419 G-2 1.5 1.4 1.8 2.033 2.2 1.833 1.5 0.9 0.9 1.563 G-3 1.8 1.267 2.133 2.3 2.8		G-3	4.2	3.3	4.1	5.133	6.3	5.4	4.8	4.2	3.9	4.593	
Mean 4.875 3.875 4.65 5.442 6.5 5.492 5.183 4.692 3.725 8 G-1 3.233 2.8 4.2 4.7 5.5 4.333 4.3 4.3 3.467 4.093 G-2 2.133 1.567 3.2 3.233 3.2 3.1 1.8 1 1 2.248 G-3 2.5 1.7 3.2 3.333 4.2 3.5 2.7 2.133 1.5 2.752 G-4 4.133 3.3 4.7 5.2 6.133 6.167 5.233 4.7 3.5 4.785 10 G-1 1.5 1.833 2.8 3.2 4.3 2.7 2.933 1.5 1 2.419 G-2 1.5 1.4 1.8 2.033 2.2 1.833 1.5 0.9 0.9 1.563 G-3 1.8 1.267 2.133 2.3 2.8 2.267 1.8 1.5 1.4 1.919 G-4 1.333 2.7 3.133 3.7		G-4	6.067	4.933	5.9	6.133	7.2	6.233	6.1	5.7	3.5	5.752	
G-2	Me	an	4.875	3.875	4.65	5.442	6.5	5.492	5.183	4.692			
G-3 2.5 1.7 3.2 3.333 4.2 3.5 2.7 2.133 1.5 2.752 G-4 4.133 3.3 4.7 5.2 6.133 6.167 5.233 4.7 3.5 4.785 Mean 3 2.342 3.825 4.117 4.758 4.275 3.508 3.033 2.367 10 G-1 1.5 1.833 2.8 3.2 4.3 2.7 2.933 1.5 1 2.419 G-2 1.5 1.4 1.8 2.033 2.2 1.833 1.5 0.9 0.9 1.563 G-3 1.8 1.267 2.133 2.3 2.8 2.267 1.8 1.5 1.4 1.919 G-4 1.333 2.7 3.133 3.7 4.533 3.9 3.3 2.5 1.5 2.956 Mean 1.533 1.8 2.467 2.808 3.458 2.675 2.383 1.6 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.	8	G-1	3.233	2.8	4.2	4.7	5.5	4.333	4.3	4.3	3.467	4.093	
G-4 4.133 3.3 4.7 5.2 6.133 6.167 5.233 4.7 3.5 4.785 Mean 3 2.342 3.825 4.117 4.758 4.275 3.508 3.033 2.367 10 G-1 1.5 1.833 2.8 3.2 4.3 2.7 2.933 1.5 1 2.419 G-2 1.5 1.4 1.8 2.033 2.2 1.833 1.5 0.9 0.9 1.563 G-3 1.8 1.267 2.133 2.3 2.8 2.267 1.8 1.5 1.4 1.919 G-4 1.333 2.7 3.133 3.7 4.533 3.9 3.3 2.5 1.5 2.956 Mean 1.533 1.8 2.467 2.808 3.458 2.675 2.383 1.6 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.5 1.4 1.919 1.5 1.5 2.956 1.5 2.956 1.5 2.956 1.5 2.956 1.5 2.956 1.5 2.956 1		G-2	2.133	1.567	3.2	3.233	3.2	3.1	1.8	1	1	2.248	
G-4 4.133 3.3 4.7 5.2 6.133 6.167 5.233 4.7 3.5 4.785 Mean 3 2.342 3.825 4.117 4.758 4.275 3.508 3.033 2.367 10 G-1 1.5 1.833 2.8 3.2 4.3 2.7 2.933 1.5 1 2.419 G-2 1.5 1.4 1.8 2.033 2.2 1.833 1.5 0.9 0.9 1.563 G-3 1.8 1.267 2.133 2.3 2.8 2.267 1.8 1.5 1.4 1.919 G-4 1.333 2.7 3.133 3.7 4.533 3.9 3.3 2.5 1.5 2.956 Mean 1.533 1.8 2.467 2.808 3.458 2.675 2.383 1.6 1.2 2.956 C.D. at 5% Genotype=0.617 Treatments = 0.101 Treatments = 0.925 Genotype = 0.862 Genotype=0.879 <td co<="" td=""><td></td><td>G-3</td><td>2.5</td><td>1.7</td><td>3.2</td><td>3.333</td><td>4.2</td><td>3.5</td><td>2.7</td><td>2.133</td><td>1.5</td><td>2.752</td></td>	<td></td> <td>G-3</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>3.333</td> <td>4.2</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>2.133</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>2.752</td>		G-3	2.5	1.7	3.2	3.333	4.2	3.5	2.7	2.133	1.5	2.752
Ne		G-4		3.3	4.7		6.133	6.167	5.233				
10	Mean		3		3.825	4.117		4.275	3.508	3.033	2.367		
G-2	10	G-1					4.3		2.933		1	2.419	
G-3		G-2								0.9	0.9		
G-4 1.333 2.7 3.133 3.7 4.533 3.9 3.3 2.5 1.5 2.956 Mean 1.533 1.8 2.467 2.808 3.458 2.675 2.383 1.6 1.2 2.956 C.D. at 5% Genotype = 1.464 Genotype = 0.734 Genotype=0.617 Treatments = 2.196 Treatments=0.101 Treatments = 0.925 Genotype X Treatments = 1.850 Genotype = 0.862 Genotype=0.879 Genotype=0.866 Treatments = 1.299		G-3		1.267		2.3			1.8	1.5	1.4		
Mean 1.533 1.8 2.467 2.808 3.458 2.675 2.383 1.6 1.2 C.D. at 5% Genotype = 1.464 Genotype= 0.734 Genotype=0.617 Freatment = 2.196 Treatments=0.101 Treatments = 0.925 Treatments = 0.925 Genotype X Treatments=1.850 Genotype = 0.866 Genotype=0.879 Genotype=0.866 Treatment = 0.319 Treatments=1.318 Treatments = 1.299 Treatments = 1.299		G-4	1.333						3.3		1.5		
C.D. at 5% Genotype = 1.464 Genotype= 0.734 Genotype=0.617 Treatment = 2.196 Treatments=0.101 Treatments = 0.925 Genotype X Treatments = NS Genotype X Treatments = 1.850 Genotype X Treatments=1.850 Genotype = 0.662 Genotype=0.879 Genotype=0.866 Treatment = 0.319 Treatments=1.318 Treatments = 1.299													
Treatment = 2.196 Treatments=0.101 Treatments = 0.925 Genotype X Treatments = NS Genotype X Treatments = 2.203 Genotype X Treatments=1.850 Genotype = 0.662 Genotype=0.879 Genotype=0.866 Treatment = 0.319 Treatments=1.318 Treatments = 1.299													
Treatment = 2.196 Treatments=0.101 Treatments = 0.925 Genotype X Treatments = NS Genotype X Treatments = 2.203 Genotype X Treatments=1.850 Genotype = 0.662 Genotype=0.879 Genotype=0.866 Treatment = 0.319 Treatments=1.318 Treatments = 1.299	Genotype = 1.464	pe= 0.73	4		Genotype=0.617								
Genotype X Treatments = NS Genotype X Treatments = 2.203 Genotype X Treatments=1.850 Genotype = 0.662 Genotype=0.879 Genotype=0.866 Treatment = 0.319 Treatments=1.318 Treatments = 1.299													
Genotype = 0.662 Genotype=0.879 Genotype=0.866 Treatment = 0.319 Treatments=1.318 Treatments = 1.299				rpe X Treatments = 2.203 Genotype X Treatments=1.850									
Treatment = 0.319 Treatments=1.318 Treatments = 1.299													
					=2.636					598			

Method of application of priming treatments

Sufficient number of seeds from different seed genotypes were placed over filterpaper soaked in solution of the desired treatment in a beaker and kept it at room temperature. The seeds were allowed to imbibe solution for 6 h in all the treatments. After the completion of treatment period, the seeds were dehydrated at room temperature.

Root and Shoot length (cm)

The root and shoot length of five randomly selected seedlings were measured in centimetres in all three replications at the termination of standard germination test period.

Results

Shoot length

The perusal of [Table-1] revealed that fresh seeds treated with 0.5% KNO₃ exhibited significantly higher shoot length (10.950cm) during 0 month storage. In 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 months storage duration, significantly maximum shoot length was observed in T4 (9.217cm, 7.225 cm, 6.500 cm, 4.758 cm and 3.458 cm) respectively. Maximum shoot length was observed in G-4(9.36cm) which was followed by G-1 (8.40 cm) and G-3 (7.85cm) during 0 month storage. In 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 months storage duration, significantly maximum shoot length was observed in G-4 (8.03cm, 6.763cm, 5.752cm, 4.785cm and 2.956cm) respectively. The highest shoot length was observed in T4and G-4 interaction (12.500cm) during 0 month storage. In 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 months storage duration, significantly maximum shoot length was observed in T4 and G-4 interaction (10.500 cm, 8.100 cm, 7.200 cm, 6.133 cm and 4.533 cm) respectively.

Root length

The fresh seeds treated with 0.5% KNO $_3$ exhibited significantly higher root length (4.625cm) over the other treatments during 0 month storage [Table-2]. In 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 months storage duration, significantly maximum root length was observed in T4 (4.175cm, 3.908 cm, 3.275 cm, 2.158 cm and 0.983 cm) respectively. Maximum root length was recorded in G-4(4.877cm) which was followed by G-1 (4.709 cm) and G-3 (4.350 cm) during 0 month storage. In 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 months storage duration, significantly maximum root length was observed in G-4 (3.933 cm, 3.315 cm, 2.644cm, 1.959 cm and 0.937 cm) respectively. The root length observed in T4and G-4 interaction was non significantly maximum root length was observed in T4 and G-4 interaction, significantly maximum root length was observed in T4 and G-4 interaction (5.133 cm, 2.900 cm) and 1.500 cm) respectively.

Discussion

Shoot length

Effects of priming treatments on shoot length of marigold seeds have been depicted in [Table-1]. It was observed that treatment T4 (0.5% KNO₃) and G-4 was highly significant compared to all other treatments and genotypes respectively. Similar results were observed over the storage duration. The results are in concurrent with the finding of [2] (2011) in tomato seeds. The better performance of KNO₃ might be due to lower electrical conductivity of seed leachates, higher total and reducing sugars along with increase in alpha-amylase activity. Similar results were obtained by [3] in lentil seeds.

Table-2 Effect of priming treatments on root length of marigold seeds

Storage duration	Seed genotypes	Root length (cm) Mea									Mean
		Priming treatments							(cm)		
In months		T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T ₇	T8	
0	G-1	5.1	4.223	4.5	4.8	6.5	5	4.2	4.03	4.03	4.709
	G-2	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.16	4.1	3.9	3.2	3.5	3.94
	G-3	4.7	4.43	4.2	4.3	5.9	4.1	4.22	3.5	3.8	4.35
	G-4	4.5	4.8	4.9	5.367	6.13	5.3	5.1	4.13	3.667	4.877
M	ean	4.65	4.388	4.375	4.692	5.673	4.625	4.355	3.715	3.749	
2	G-1	4.1	3.233	3.5	3.8	4.5	4	3.2	3.033	3.033	3.6
	G-2	3.3	3.1	2.9	3.3	3.167	3.1	2.9	2.3	2.5	2.952
	G-3	3.7	3.433	3.2	3.3	3.9	3.1	2.9	2.5	2.8	3.204
	G-4	4.5	3.8	3.9	4.233	5.133	4.3	3.4	3.133	3	3.933
M	ean	3.9	3.392	3.375	3.658	4.175	3.625	3.1	2.742	2.833	
4	G-1	3.4	2.9	2.867	3.1	4.2	3.6	2.9	2.8	2.3	3.119
	G-2	3	2.5	2.3	2.8	3.5	2.967	2.4	2.033	2.2	2.633
	G-3	3.2	2.5	2.5	2.8	3.533	3.333	2.4	2.133	2.5	2.767
	G-4	3.9	3.067	3.067	3.3	4.4	3.7	3	2.8	2.6	3.315
Mean		3.375	2.742	2.683	3	3.908	3.4	2.675	2.442	2.4	
6	G-1	2.4	1.9	2.3	2.7	3.4	3.2	2.6	1.7	1.5	2.411
	G-2	2	1.733	1.8	2.2	2.8	2.5	1.5	1.1	1.233	1.874
	G-3	2.1	1.7	2.2	2.4	3.2	3.1	1.8	1.4	1.167	2.119
	G-4	2.567	2.233	2.5	2.9	3.7	3.4	2.5	2.1	1.9	2.644
M	ean	2.267	1.892	2.2	2.55	3.275	3.05	2.1	1.575	1.45	
8	G-1	1.5	1.033	1.7	1.7	2.5	2	1.033	1.5	0.8	1.53
	G-2	0.533	0.333	0.7	1	1.533	0.8	0.533	0.9	0.6	0.77
	G-3	0.7	0.333	1.2	1	1.7	0.667	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.867
	G-4	1.567	1.533	2	2.3	2.9	2.7	1.3	1.833	1.5	1.959
Mean		1.075	0.808	1.4	1.5	2.158	1.542	0.917	1.233	0.9	
10	G-1	0.533	0.233	0.5	1	1.1	1.1	0.333	0.8	0.7	0.7
	G-2	0.3	0.233	0.433	0.5	0.533	0.5	0.333	0.333	0.233	0.378
	G-3	0.333	0.333	0.533	0.733	0.8	0.7	0.533	0.433	0.433	0.537
	G-4	0.5	0.333	1.333	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.033	0.8	0.533	0.937
M	ean	0.417	0.283	0.7	0.883	0.983	0.85	0.558	0.592	0.475	
C.D. at 5%											
		Genotype= 0.141 Genotype=0.144									
Treatment = 0.128		Treatments=0.212 Treatments = 0.216									
		Genotype X Treatments = NS Genotype X Treatments=NS									
Genotype =0.135		Genotype=0.130 Genotype=0.103									
Treatment = 0.203		Treatments=0.195 Treatments =0.154									
Genotype X Treatments =NS		Genotype X Treatments =0.390 Genotype X Treatments =0.308									

Root length

Effect of priming treatments on root length of marigold seeds can be observed in [Table-2]. It was observed that treatment T4 (0.5%KNO₃) was highly significant compared to all other treatments whereas genotype G-4 was highly significant compare to all other genotypes. During the storage months same trend was noticed. Results are in agreement with the finding of [3] in lentil seeds. The better performance of KNO₃ might be due to its accumulation in the embryo and during priming the embryo expands and compresses the endosperm. The compression force of embryo and hydrolytic activities on the endosperm cell walls may deform the tissues that have lost their flexibility upon dehydration, producing free space and facilitating root protrusion after rehydration and due to the presence of essential nutrients such as nitrate and potassium, priming with KNO₃ can lead to further growth of primed seedlings. Similar results were reported by [4] in calendula seeds.

Summary

Seeds were primed with various priming treatments viz 0.5 and 2% KNO₃, 2 and 4% CaCl₂, 2, 4 and 6% Mannitol, control and dehydration. Treatment T4 (0.5% KNO₃) exhibited higher shoot length and root length as compared to other treatments and Seed genotype-4 was found to be performing significantly better shoot and root length than rest of genotypes.

Conclusion

Fresh seeds were tested for various vigour and viability parameters in a completely randomized design with three replications. The statistical analysis of data for various parameters was carried out according to the standard procedure.

Application of research: The research has practical utility in the field of floriculture. The results of this study implicate that seed priming improve the germination rate and improve the root and shoot length.

Research Category: Floriculture

Abbreviations: KNO3: Potassium nitrate, CaCl2: Calcium chloride

Acknowledgement / Funding: Authors are thankful to Department of Horticulture, Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, 125004, Haryana, India

*Research Guide or Chairperson of research: Dr S K Sehrawat

University: Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, 125004, Haryana

Research project name or number: PhD Thesis

Author Contributions: All authors equally contributed

Author statement: All authors read, reviewed, agreed and approved the final manuscript. Note-All authors agreed that- Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to publish / enrolment

Study area / Sample Collection: CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar

Cultivar / Variety / Breed name: MGH208, MGH205, MGH207 and Hissar Jafri

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Ethical Committee Approval Number: Nil

References

- [1] Rao C.C., Dadlani N.K. and Dadlani M. (2003) Seed Science and Technology, 32(2), 503-509.
- [2] Nawaz A., Amjad M., Pervez M.A. and Afzal I. (2011) African Journal of Agricultural Research, 6(15), 3551-3559.
- [3] Ghassemi-Golezani K., Aliloo A.A., Valizadeh M. and Moghaddam M. (2008) *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment*, 6(2), 222.
- [4] Karimi M. and Varyani M. (2016) Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 61(3), 215-226.
- [5] Abdollahi Farzin and Jafari (2012) International J. Agriculture, Research and Review, 2(5), 573-579.
- [6] Afzal I., Ashraf S., Qasim M., Basra S.M.A. and Shahid M. (2009) Seed Science and Technology, 37(2), 436-445.
- [7] Jegathambal R. and Shanmugam K. (2007) *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 94(7/12), 189-191.
- [8] Junhaeng P., Thobunluepop P., Chanprasert W. and Nakasathien S. (2016) Journal of Developments in Sustainable Agriculture, 10(2), 115-120
- [9] Lee S.S. and Kim J.H. (2000) Korean Journal of Crop Science, 44(2), 108-111.
- [10] Selvarani K., Umarani R. and Sivasubramaniam K. (2011) *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 98(4/6), 124-128.
- [11] Siadat S.A., Moosavi A. and Sharafizadeh M. (2012) Research Journal of Seed Science, 5(2), 51-62.
- [12] Singh G.G.S.S. and Kamaljith Kaur (2001) Seed Research 29(2), 235-237.
- [13] Yan M. (2015) South African Journal of Botany, 99, 88-92.