

Case Report

MANAGEMENT OF HAEMORRHAGIC GASTROENTERITIS AND ITS ASSOCIATED COMPLICATIONS IN A POMERANIAN DOG

NITIN RAHEJA*1, DIVYA AGNIHOTRI2 AND GAURI CHANDRATRE3

¹Haryana Veterinary Surgeon, Animal Husbandry and Dairying Department, Government of Haryana, India

²Department of Veterinary Medicine, College of Veterinary Sciences, Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar, 125001, Haryana, India ³Department of Veterinary Pathology, College of Veterinary Sciences, Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar, 125001, Haryana, India *Corresponding Author: Email - nitinraheja23@gmail.com

Received: December 03, 2018; Revised: December 26, 2018; Accepted: December 27, 2018; Published: December 30, 2018

Abstract- Two and a half years old male Pomeranian dog was reported with a history of fever, haemorrhagic gastroenteritis, frequent vomition, foul smelling diarrhoea, hematochezia, profuse salivation, halitosis, inappetence and lethargy. Diagnostic protocol included haematological examination, serum bio chemical examination, routine urine examination, trans-abdominal ultrasonography (USG), plain and contrast radiography of abdomen. Hematological examination indicated viral haemorrhagic gastroenteritis with severe leucopenia. During the course of disease, urinary tract infection (UTI) was observed as a secondary bacterial complication, diagnosed through routine urine tests and urine bacteriological culture examination. Culture examination of urine revealed *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection. Serum biochemical examination revealed deviation from the normal values in the initial phase which were later on found to be normal at the time of recovery. USG and radiography reports were normal. The treatment involved administration of appropriate fluid therapy, sensitive parenteral antibiotic and supportive therapy. The condition of the dog improved gradually and complete recovery occurred three weeks after the treatment.

Keywords- Haemorrhagic gastroenteritis, Canine parvovirus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Immunosuppression

Citation: Nitin Raheja, et al., (2018) Management of Haemorrhagic Gastroenteritis and its Associated Complications in a Pomeranian Dog. International Journal of Microbiology Research, ISSN: 0975-5276 & E-ISSN: 0975-9174, Volume 10, Issue 12, pp.-1445-1447.

Copyright: Copyright©2018 Nitin Raheja, *et al.*, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Haemorrhagic gastroenteritis (HGE) can be defined as a pathological condition which is clinically characterized by sudden onset of vomition, diarrhea with hematochezia and is most often associated with depression and anorexia [1]. It has been reported to be the most common condition observed in dogs [2]. Numerous etiologies have been suspected for the occurrence of HGE in dogs which includes food allergies and various infectious agents [3]. Amongst infectious agents causing HGE in dogs, canine parvovirus (CPV) has been reported as the main causative agent [4]. During the course of CPV infection, severe leucocytopenia develops due to bone marrow infection [5]. Most of the time dog affected with HGE succumb to death due to blood loss and dehydration however the present case describes successful therapeutic management of HGE and its associated complications which is significant in relation to diagnostics and therapeutics of viral infection.

Case history and Observations

Two and half year-old pure breed male Pomeranian dog was reported to Veterinary Clinical Complex, COVS, Hisar with a history of fever, foul smelling bloody diarrhoea, frequent vomition, hematochezia, profuse salivation, halitosis, in appetence and lethargy for three days. There was no history of vaccination. The owner also reported that his other adult Rottweiler pet dog at home also suffered with HGE. Stomatitis and glossitis were so severe that the dog was resisting physical examination of the oral cavity. The dog was immediately provided with symptomatic and supportive therapy and the blood sample was sent for hematology. Transabdominal sector-array ultrasonography (equipped with 3.5 MHz transducer) was performed to monitor the status of stomach, liver, spleen, pancreas, intestine, kidney and urinary bladder. Plain and contrast radiography of abdomen was also performed.

Diagnosis, Treatment and Discussion

Day wise alterations in hematology are summarized in [Table-1] and depicted in Figure 1, 2 and 3. Day wise reports of serum biochemical parameters and routine urine examination are also presented in [Table-2] and [Table-3]. Reports of plain and contrast radiography are depicted in Figure 4 and 5. On day one of treatment, the dog was given intravenous NSS @100ml, inj. Cefotaxime @125mg, i/v, inj. Vetalgin @0.5mg/kg. b.wt., i/v, inj. vitamin C @ 200mg supplement, i/v, Inj. Metronidazole @10mg/kg b.wt. i/v, inj. pantaprazole @ 5mg, i/v, inj. Eldervit one dose, i/v, inj. Stemetil 0.2mg/Kg. b.wt., i/m and inj. atropine sulphate @0.025mg/Kg. b.wt., subcutaneously. Mecovet @0.5mg/kg. b.wt. was also advised on alternate days through i/m route. On second day excessive salivation, HGE and nausea persisted but vomitions stopped. The treatment was followed for five days and the frequency of vomition and haemorrhagic enteritis was markedly reduced but did not subsided. The reports of plain and contrast radiographs were normal. Nothing abnormal was detected in abdominal USG examination. The dog developed hematuria on fifth day of the treatment. Hematological examination, serum biochemical examination and routine urine tests were recommended [Table-1,2,3]. Considering complication, urine routine test [Table-3] and urine culture sensitivity test (CST) were advised after withholding the antibiotic for three days and continuing the supportive treatment. A 4.3mm urolith was observed in urinary bladder during USG examination. CST of urine revealed Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection sensitive to Gentamycin, Amikacin, Kanamycin, Norfloxacin, Ceftrioxone, Amoxicillin-clavulanate, Enrofloxacin and Chloramphenicol. On day eight, the treatment involved appropriate fluid therapy, sensitive parenteral antibiotic i.e., Intacef Tazo (Ceftriaxone Tazobactam) @ 25mg/kg. b.wt., i/v, antiemetics, antacids and parenteral vitamin B and C supplements. The owner was advised not to provide anything orally to the dog.

Management of Haemorrhagic Gastroenteritis and its Associated Complications in a Pomeranian Dog

| Table-1 Day wise haematological alterations in the dog having HGE | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|--|------------------------------|----|----|---------|------|---|--|
| Day | Hb (g %) | TLC x 10 ³ /mm ³ | DLC% | | | | | Significance of hematological alterations | |
| | | | N | L | М | E | В | | |
| Day 1 | 7.4 | 1150 | Not countable on blood smear | | | lood sn | near | Anaemia, Leucopenia Suspected for viral infection | |
| Day 5 | 7.4 | 1,43,500 | 94 | 04 | | 02 | - | Anaemia, severe leucocytosis with regenerative shift to left, bacterial infection | |
| Day 8 | 7.0 | 1,65,000 | 90 | 09 | | 01 | - | Anaemia, severe leucocytosis with regenerative shift to left, bacterial infection | |
| Day 14 | 8.0 | 19,000 | 72 | 22 | | 06 | - | Increase in Hb concentration, TLC and DLC in normal range | |
| Day 21 | 10 | 8200 | 45 | 42 | 01 | 12 | - | Increase in Hb concentration, Leucocyte parameters within normal range | |

| | | | | | Table-2 [| Day wise alteration | ons in urine of c | log | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|--|
| Chemical Examination | | | | | Microscopic examination (per high power field) | | | | | |
| Day | Albumin | Sugar | Ketone | Pus cells | R.B.C | Crystals | Epithelial Cells | Casts | Bacteria | Significance |
| Day 5 | Present (++) | Absent | Absent | 80-100 | 2-3 | Calcium oxalate 5-6 | 4-5 | Granular 5-6 | Present | Bacterial Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) |
| Day 8 | Present (+) | Absent | Absent | 80-90 | Rare | Calcium Oxalate | 4-5 | Granular 1-2 | Present | Bacterial UTI |
| Dav 21 | Absent | Absent | Absent | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Absent | Nil |

| Table-3 Day | vise alterations in serum | biochemical parameter | ers with their significance | e in differentiating | n disease diagnosis |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | bioononnou puruniou | no mar aron orginnourio | | y aloodoo alagiloolo |

| Parameter | Day | Result | Normal range | Significance | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Liver Function Tests | | | | | | | | |
| SGPT | Day 5 | 97.4 IU/L | 10-109 IU/L | Within the normal range | | | | |
| | Day 21 | 28.7 IU/L | | | | | | |
| SGOT | Day 5 | 115.1 IU/L | 5-55 IU/L | Increase, suspecting liver and kidney affection | | | | |
| | Day 21 | 34.7 IU/L | | Within normal range | | | | |
| Alkaline Phosphatase | Day 5 | 1635 IU/L | 1-114 IU/L | Increase, suspecting liver, kidney and GIT infection | | | | |
| - | Day 21 | 62 IU/L | | Within the normal range | | | | |
| Total Bilirubin | Day 5 | 0.30 mg/dl | 0-0.3mg/dl | Within the normal range | | | | |
| | Day 21 | 0.06 mg/dl | - | - | | | | |
| Albumin | Day 5 | 2.34 g/dl | 2.3-3.1 g/dl | Within the normal range | | | | |
| | Day 21 | 3.07 g/dl | - | | | | | |
| Kidney Function tests | | | | | | | | |
| Urea | Day 5 | 40 mg/dl | 8-28 mg/dl | Increase, suspecting uraemia | | | | |
| | Day 21 | 18.8 mg/dl | | Within the normal range | | | | |
| Creatinine | Day 5 | 4.10 mg/dl | 0.1-2 mg/dl | Increase, suspecting uraemia | | | | |
| | Day 21 | 0.48 mg/dl | | Within the normal range | | | | |
| Pancreatic function tests | | | | | | | | |
| Cholesterol | Day 5 | 178 mg/dl | 135-278 mg/dl | Within the normal range | | | | |
| | Day 21 | 150 mg/dl | | | | | | |
| Serum Lipase | Day 5 | 324.4 U/L | 0-50 U/L | Increase, suspecting GIT disorder | | | | |
| - | Day 21 | 39.8 U/L | | Within the normal range | | | | |
| Serum amylase | Day 5 | 1389U/L | 226-1063 U/L | Increase, suspecting GIT disorder | | | | |
| | Day 21 | 411 U/L | | Within the normal range | | | | |
| Glucose | Day 5 | 31.6 mg/dl | 76-119mg/dl | Decrease, suspecting GIT disorder, off-fed animal | | | | |
| | Day 21 125.1 mg/dl | | , , | Normal to marginal increase | | | | |



Fig-1 Severe neutrophilia with presence of band cells (arrow)



Fig-2 Regenerative type of anaemia indicated by presence of reticulocytes (arrow), anisocytosis and poikilocytosis (tear drop cells-arrow head)



Fig-3 Blood smear showing normal RBCs and neutrophil of recovered animal Note: no evidence of leukocytosis and regenerative anaemia



Fig-4 Plain radiograph of abdomen (Lateral view) (Normal)



Fig-5 Barium meal contrast radiograph of abdomen (Lateral view) revealed no obstruction in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{GIT}}$

The condition of the dog improved gradually and complete recovery occurred after three weeks of treatment (Day 21). No relapse was reported even after a month of the treatment and the dog was appropriately vaccinated afterwards. The case reported to VCC, COVS, Hisar, presents HGE which was probably be due to CPV infection indicated by clinical findings and results of hematological examination. Development of severe leucocytopenia in this case leads to contraction of secondary bacterial infection. Hematuria during the course of disease suspects UTI which was confirmed by routine urine tests and urine culture for bacterial infection. The presence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in urine indicated secondary bacterial complication. Nivy *et al.* [6] also observed that dogs with CPV infection undergo severe neutropenia and immunosuppression which subsequently increases the susceptibility for development of secondary bacterial infections. Suchodolski *et al.* [7] reported higher abundance of bacteria of genera Pseudomonas and others in dogs with inflammatory bowel disease. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection has been mostly observed as secondary infection specifically as an opportunist during conditions of immunosuppression. These bacteria are a primary cause of UTI in dogs [8]. Koutinas *et al.* [9] reported that pups with CPV infection are 5 times more susceptible for development of asymptomatic UTI which is probably due to contamination of external genitalia from infected fecal contents during state of neutropenia.

Application of research: Case study describes successful therapeutic management of HGE and its associated complications in a Pomeranian dog which is significant in relation to diagnostics and therapeutics of viral infection

Research Category: Veterinary Sciences

Acknowledgement / Funding: Authors are thankful to College of Veterinary Sciences, Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar, 125001, Haryana, India

*Principal Investigator or Chairperson of research: Dr Divya Agnihotri

University: Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar, 125001, Haryana

Research project name or number: Clinical case report

Author Contributions: All authors equally contributed

Author statement: All authors read, reviewed, agreed and approved the final manuscript

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Ethical approval: Clinical case study at Veterinary Clinical Complex, College of Veterinary Sciences, Hisar.

Ethical Committee Approval Number: No experimental studies were performed during the clinical case

References

- [1] Triolo A. and Lappin M.R. (2003) Diseases of the small intestine. In Handbook of Small Animal Gastroenterology. 2nd edn. Ed T. R. Tams. Philadelphia, PA.; [London]: Saunders, 195-210.
- [2] Unterer S., Strohmeyer K., Kruse B.D., Sauter-Louis C. and Hartmann K. (2011) J. Vet. Intern. Med., 25(5), 973-79.
- [3] Hall E.J. and German A.J. (2010) Diseases of the small intestine. In Textbook of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 7th edn. Eds S. J. Ettinger and E. C. Feldman. Philadelphia, Saunders, 1526-72.
- [4] Decaro N., Desario C., Elia G., Campolo M., Lorusso A., Mari V., Martella V. and Buonavoglia C. (2007) Vaccine, 25(7), 1161-66.
- [5] Stann S.E., DiGiacomo R.F., Giddens J.W. and Evermann J.F. (1984) J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc., 185(6), 651-55.
- [6] Nivy R, Hahn S, Perl S., Karnieli A., Karnieli O. and Aroch I. (2011) *Isr. J. Vet. Med.*, 66(3), 96-102.
- [7] Suchodolski J.S., Xenoulis P.G., Paddock C.G., Steiner J.M. and Jergens A.E. (2010) Vet. Microbiol., 142(3-4), 394-400.
- [8] Gatoria I.S., Saini N.S., Rai T.S. and Dwivedi P.N. (2006) J. Small Anim. Pract., 47(12), 727-32.
- [9] Koutinas A.F., Heliadis N., Saridomichelakis M.N., Leontides L., Terpsidis K. and Christodoulou C. (1998) *Vet. Microbiol.*, 63(2-4), 109-16.