



Research Article

LABORATORY STUDIES ON THE EFFECT OF SOME PLANT PROTECTION CHEMICALS AGAINST *BEAUVERIA BASSIANA*

PRASAD R.P.^{*1}, PAUL M.S.¹ AND SINGH S.K.²

¹Department of Plant Pathology & Nematology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj, 211007, Uttar Pradesh

²Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj, 211007, Uttar Pradesh, India

*Corresponding Author: Email - rpprasad79@gmail.com

Received: December 02, 2018; Revised: December 26, 2018; Accepted: December 27, 2018; Published: December 30, 2018

Abstract: Present agricultural practices encourage the use of two or more than two chemicals to achieve broad spectrum plant protection effect. Not so much is known concerning the effect of these chemicals applied singly or in combination, on the ecology of micro flora, microbe population, including pathogenic fungus and also the antagonistic fungi use of these chemicals may prove beneficial not only in weed control and insect control but also as a means of controlling certain soil borne fungi through their effect in non-target organisms.

Keywords: *Beauveria bassiana*, Plant protection chemicals, Poisoned food technique

Citation: Prasad R.P., et al., (2018) Laboratory Studies on the Effect of Some Plant Protection Chemicals Against *Beauveria bassiana*. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 10, Issue 24, pp.- 7678-7681.

Copyright: Copyright©2018 Prasad R.P., et al., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Academic Editor / Reviewer: Dr Prashant Shrivastava

Introduction

Usually farmers in India are unaware of biological control agent and their possible application in the field of controlling pests in agriculture. They have been using many synthetic pesticides such as DDT, BHC, Endosulphan, Demerom, Monocrotophos, Malathion etc. for controlling pests. Evidence of chemical pesticides poisoning in human and wild life contamination of ecosystem, high level of pesticides in food articles are becoming increasingly of great concern. The export of agricultural commodities to developed countries has also suffered due to chemical pesticides application. A large number of pesticides used are poisoning the nature, men and other warm-blooded animals and also leaves residue of pesticide. The efficiency of these methods largely depends upon crop and their varieties particularly leaf, stem fruits, climatic condition such as temperature and rainfall, pH of soil, texture of soil. The ill effect of chemical pesticides on human health and the environment, development of resistant in pest to pesticides higher level of pesticides residue in food item. There is carrying need to develop suitable alternative to chemical pesticides for use in pest control. Biological control methods are one such alternative which provide non-hazardous approach to pest control. Several species of bacteria virus fungi are being exposed on biological agents of pests. Biological control has been broadly defined as encouragement of beneficial organism already existing in locality or of the introduction of suitable new species of exotic parasite organism which are parasitic on harmful insect in the locality where pest is thriving with a view to control pests. Fungal disease insect which have been recorded in nineteen century and may have been caused by fungal belonging to Phycomycetes, Ascomycetes, Basidiomycetes and fungi imperfecti have been mostly considered for insect suppression. Genera *Beauveria* metarrhizium of fungi and imperfect, ectomophora and coelomyces of phycomycetes, cardyiceps of ascomycetes have received more attention. Attempts have been made to reduce insect population through introduction of fungi parasitic on insect. Successful experiment was conducted in America for control of chinch bug (*Blesstus luciptorous*) by white muscardine fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. Fungal spore is dispersed in water and sprayed on plants when they come in contact within the body later, they kill the insect.

The insect either producing the disease or interfering the normal function of insect through mycelial net. Sosnowska 2013 used automopathogenic fungus *B. bassiana* for control of *Leptinotorsa decunlineata* [1]. Van Lenteren et al. 2017 reported *B. bassiana* in controlling the brown plant hopper [2]. *Beauveria bassiana* mycelium i.e., white or lightly coloured with a white fluffy to powdery appearance conidiophore single irregularly grouped or inverticillate dustors, conidi a hylaine, rained avoid T called borne singly on small storigmata, parasitous insect. Biological control of pest by micro-organism has been known all over the world of but interest and increased effort in this area has gained momentum in last decade due to shift in opinion that this method can be combined in integrated pest management (IPM). IPM in management of soil borne insect pests should aim at combining all principles of pest management including bio-control agent. Among the various autogonistic micro-organism used as bio-control agent against pest control, white inuscardine fungus *Beauveria bassiana* is well known for its potential as on effective bio-pesticide. Therefore, there is urgent need to study the compatibility of this fungus with commonly used Agro-chemicals pesticides before recommending its application against the pest.

Material and Methods

The studies entitled "Laboratories studies on the effect of some plant protection chemicals against *Beauveria bassiana*" was conducted in Research Laboratory, Department of Plant Pathology & Nematology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj, 211007, Uttar Pradesh. Cleaning and sterilization of glass ware used in the experiment were thoroughly washed with the help of detergent powder and tap water and then dried. The petri dishes and pipettes were wrapped in clean paper and sterilized in an oven at temperature of 160-180°C for four hours. For isolation and growing of fungus Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) medium was used. The fungus was isolate from dead interbella quadrinotata, the guava bark coding caterpillar larva on guava tress in orchard of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj, 211007, Uttar Pradesh. Collected this culture was then purified on SDA medium and maintained for used in various experiment.

Table-1 Effect of 2-4D on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SDA medium on 7th days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.35	2.6	2.3	2.2	9.45	2.36
T ₁	1	2.22	2.5	2.3	2.12	9.14	2.28
T ₂	10	2.65	2.4	2.4	2.15	9.6	2.4
T ₃	100	2.1	2.2	2.5	2	8.8	2.2
T ₄	1000	1.1	1	0.95	0.9	3.95	0.98

Table-2 Effect of 2-4D on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SD broth medium on 15 days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	3.36	3.43	2.7	2.8	12.29	3.07
T ₁	1	2.62	2.69	2.85	2.75	10.91	2.72
T ₂	10	3.84	3.24	2.88	3.02	12.98	3.2
T ₃	100	3.46	3.33	2.46	2.55	11.8	2.95
T ₄	1000	1.82	2.95	1.59	1.75	8.11	2.02

Table-3 Effect of Butachlor on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SDA medium on 7th days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	3	2.2	3.55	2.3	11.05	2.76
T ₁	1	3.2	2.25	2.3	2.85	9.6	2.4
T ₂	10	3	2.5	2.2	2.1	9.8	2.45
T ₃	100	2.15	2.28	2.1	2.65	9.18	2.29
T ₄	1000	1.55	2	2.6	2.9	9.05	2.26

Table-4 Effect of Butachlor on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SDA medium on 15 days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.03	2.69	2.69	2.6	10.01	2.5
T ₁	1	1.96	2.76	2.86	2.25	9.083	2.45
T ₂	10	2.78	3.38	2.95	2.45	11.56	2.89
T ₃	100	2.68	2.05	2.79	2.62	10.14	2.52
T ₄	1000	2.02	1.92	1.77	2	7.71	1.92

Table-5 Effect of Captan on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SD broth medium on 7th day after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	3	2.45	2.4	2.3	10.15	2.53
T ₁	1	2.43	1.9	2.2	2.5	9.03	2.25
T ₂	10	2.45	2.6	2.15	2.45	9.65	2.41
T ₃	100	2.3	2.6	2.8	1.6	9.3	2.32
T ₄	1000	1.1	1.3	1.1	0.9	4.3	1.02

Table-6 Effect of Captan on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SD broth medium on 15 days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	4.37	4.34	4.48	4.07	17.36	4.3
T ₁	1	3.63	3.82	3.7	3.69	14.84	3.7
T ₂	10	2.29	2.93	2.53	2.61	10.36	2.6
T ₃	100	2.81	3.02	2.78	2.81	11.42	2.8
T ₄	1000	1.96	1.03	1.09	1.05	5.1	1.3

Table-7 Effect of vitavax on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SDA medium on 7th days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.8	1.9	2	2.5	9.25	2.31
T ₁	1	1.7	1.8	1.65	2	7.15	1.78
T ₂	10	1.65	1.75	1.65	1.8	6.85	1.71
T ₃	100	1.5	1.65	1.55	1.7	6.4	1.6
T ₄	1000	0.8	1.1	1	0.9	3.8	0.95

Table-8 Effect of vitavax on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SD broth medium on 15 days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.83	3.19	3.17	3.24	12.43	3.1
T ₁	1	3.37	3.13	2.95	2.87	12.32	3.08
T ₂	10	2.99	3.13	2.82	3.02	11.96	2.99
T ₃	100	2.97	3.04	2.66	3.02	11.69	2.92
T ₄	1000	0.8	1	1.1	0.8	3.7	0.92

Table-9 Effect of phorate on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SDA medium on 7th days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.25	8.55	2.1
T ₁	1	2.25	1.85	1.5	2	7.6	1.9
T ₂	10	2	2.5	1.4	1.65	7.55	1.9
T ₃	100	1.9	2.3	1.35	1.4	6.95	1.7
T ₄	1000	1.25	1.15	1.2	1.18	4.78	1.2

Table-10 Effect of phorate on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SD broth medium on 15 days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.21	2.25	2.6	2.21	9.27	2.3
T ₁	1	1.74	1.83	2.25	1.82	7.64	1.9
T ₂	10	1.69	1.65	2.01	1.72	7.07	1.7
T ₃	100	1.81	1.74	1.64	1.69	6.88	1.7
T ₄	1000	0.81	0.9	1.01	0.98	3.7	0.92

Table-11 Effect of carbofuran on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SDA medium on 7 days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.83	1.04	2.67	2.54	9.08	2.3
T ₁	1	1.6	1.05	2.7	3.73	9.08	2.3
T ₂	10	2.46	1.89	1.88	2.01	8.24	2.1
T ₃	100	2.66	2.46	1.11	1.82	8.01	2
T ₄	1000	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.2	5.1	1.3

Table-12 Effect of carbofuran on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SD broth medium on 15 days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.33	3.16	2.99	2.55	11.03	2.7
T ₁	1	2	2.95	1.59	2.12	8.66	2.1
T ₂	10	3.14	2.57	2.28	2.14	10.13	2.5
T ₃	100	2.58	3.46	3.38	3.13	12.73	3.2
T ₄	1000	4.04	3.32	2.89	2.83	13.08	3.33

Table-13 Effect of malathion on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SDA medium on 7 days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.55	2.3	2.9	2.3	9.75	2.4
T ₁	1	2	2.85	2.65	2.2	9.7	2.4
T ₂	10	1.5	1.95	1.75	2	7.2	1.8
T ₃	100	1.4	1.75	1.15	2	6.3	1.6
T ₄	1000	1.1	1.1	0.95	0.9	3.95	0.98

Table-14 Effect of malathion on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SD broth medium on 15 days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.42	2.3	2.9	2.21	8.64	2.2
T ₁	1	2.4	2.8	2.4	2.15	9.75	2.4
T ₂	10	2.08	2.3	2	2.1	9.48	2.1
T ₃	100	2.7	2.56	2.4	1.95	9.6	2.4
T ₄	1000	0.6	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.99	0.24

Table-15 Effect of endosulphan on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SDA medium on 7 days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.62	2.15	2.5	2.25	9.52	2.4
T ₁	1	1.8	1.6	1.65	2	7.05	1.8
T ₂	10	1.5	1.35	1.6	1.9	6.35	1.6
T ₃	100	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.2	5.1	1.3
T ₄	1000	0.9	1.1	0.55	0.6	3.05	0.8

The culture of *Beauveria bassiana* was purified from isolation dishes and maintained by periodic sub culturing in SDA slants at every 15 days. The required dilution of chemicals (1, 10, 100, 1000 ppm) were prepared taking an active ingredient into consideration. The various prepared pesticides were tested against *B. bassiana* by poison food technique [3]. The principle involved in this technique is to poison the nutrient medium with fungi-toxicants and then allow the test fungus to grow on such medium. In this technique both solid and broth media can be used. With the help of sterilized cork borer disc of 0.5 cm diameter were cut from actively growing culture of *B. bassiana* and placed in the centre of each disc. Four replications for each treatment including control were maintained. Inoculated dishes were incubated at 28-29°C and observation on colony diameter (in cm) were recorded at 7th days after inoculation. In broth medium, agar discs of test fungus were inoculated in conical flask. Four replicates for each treatment including control were maintained. The inoculated flask was incubated at 28-29°C for 15 days liquid out.

Result and Discussion

The Present laboratories study was conducted to study on the effect of some plant protection chemicals on growth of entomo-pathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. The fungus was isolated from dead Inderbella larvae on Guava (*Psidium guajava*) trees in orchard of Allahabad Agricultural Institute, Allahabad.

Effect of herbicides on *Beauveria bassiana*

Effect of two herbicide viz., 2-4 D and Butachlor was studied on growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SDA medium on seven days and on SD broth medium on 15 days respectively. 2-4 D on SDA medium significantly inhibited the growth of fungus of all concentration compared to control on 7th day. The growth in T₂ and T₃ treatment was not different from each other but was more than T₄. On SD broth medium on 15th day mycelium weight was significantly more in treatment T₄, T₁, T₃ and T₀ compared to T₂. Effect of butachlor on SDA medium shows that the radial growth of fungus was not significantly different between the treatment and was in the order T₀ < T₂ < T₃ < T₄ on 7th day. Effect of butachlor on 15 days mycelium weight was significantly more in treatment T₃, T₀, T₁, T₄ compared to T₂. Treatment T₀, T₁, T₂, T₃ were not significantly different from each other. These two herbicides used in present studies namely 2-4 D and Butachlor, generally inhibited the growth in both the medium. There was in general a direct relationship between increase in concentration of chemical and inhibition of fungal growth [4]. Similar results were also obtained by Majchrowicz *et al.* (1993) and Rashid *et al.* (2010) [5,6].

Effect of fungicides on *Beauveria bassiana*

Effect of two fungicide viz., captan and vitavax was studied on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana*

Effect of captan on SDA medium showed that radial growth of fungus was significantly more in treatment T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ compared to T₀. On SD broth medium on 15 days, mycelial weight was significantly more in treatment T₄, T₃, T₂ and T₁ compared to T₀. Treatment T₂ and T₃ were not differently to each other. Effect of vitavax on SDA medium showed that the radial growth of fungus was significantly different in all treatment was in the order T₀ < T₁ < T₂ < T₃ < T₄. On SD broth medium on 15 days mycelium weight was more in treatment T₄, T₃, T₂ and T₁ compared to T₀. Treatment T₂ and T₃ were not differently to each other. All the two fungicides used in the present studies generally inhibited the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* at all four concentration. There was also seen in general, a direct relationship between increase in chemical concentration and

Table-16 Effect of endosulphan on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SDA medium on 15 days after inoculation

Treatment	Concentration (ppm)	Replication				Total	Mean
		1	2	3	4		
T ₀	0	2.5	2.8	2.42	2.36	10.08	2.5
T ₁	1	2.25	2.73	2.2	2.2	9.058	2.2
T ₂	10	2.04	2.42	2.12	2.52	9.1	2.3
T ₃	100	2.4	2.48	2.46	2.2	9.54	2.4
T ₄	1000	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.2	4.1	1

inhibition in the fungus growth. Inhibition in growth of several fungi with Bavistin has been reported by Patil (2006) and Rashid *et al.* (2010) [7].

Effect of nematicide on *Beauveria bassiana*

Effect of two nematicide viz., phorate and carbofuran was studied on the growth of *Beauveria bassiana*

Effect of phorate on SDA medium shows that the radial growth of fungus was significantly different in all treatment was in the order T₀ < T₁ < T₂ < T₃ and T₄. Effect of phorate on Broth medium on 15 days mycelium weight was significantly more in treatment T₀ < T₁ < T₂ = T₃ < T₄ compared to T₀. Effect of carbofuran on SDA medium showed that the radial growth of fungus was significantly more in treatment T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₀ = T₁ on 7th day. On 15 days mycelium weight was significantly more in treatment T₁ < T₂ < T₀ < T₃ = T₄. Phorate and carbofuran a granular nematicide used in present studies inhibited the growth of *Beauveria bassiana*. Inhibitory effect of several nematicide has been reported by Faria *et al.* (2007), Majchrowicz *et al.* (1993) and Tkaczuk *et al.* (2005) [8].

Effect of insecticides on *Beauveria bassiana*

Effect of two insecticides viz., malathion and carbofuran was studied on growth of *Beauveria bassiana* on SDA medium on 7th day and SD broth medium on 15 days respectively. Effect of malathion on SDA medium shows that the radial growth of fungus was significantly more in treatment T₀ = T₁ < T₂ < T₃ < T₄. On SD broth medium on 15 days mycelium weight was significantly more in treatment T₃ < T₄ < T₁ < T₀ < T₂. Effect of endosulphan on SDA medium showed that the radial growth of fungus was significant in T₀ < T₁ < T₂ < T₃ < T₄ on 7th day. Effect of endosulphan on SD broth medium on 15 days mycelial weight was non-significant. Difference between the treatment and was in the order T₀ < T₂ < T₃ < T₁ < T₄. Malathion and Endosulphan in present studies generally inhibited the growth of *Beauveria bassiana* at all the four concentration on both medium. Similar reports were cited by Pelizza *et al.* (2013) and Sosnowska (2013) and Tkaczuk *et al.* (2012) [9,10].

Application of research: Study the compatibility of this fungus with commonly used Agro-chemicals pesticides

Research Category: Agriculture Chemistry

Abbreviations: SDA: Sabouraud Dextrose Agar

Acknowledgement / Funding: Authors are thankful to Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj, 211007, Uttar Pradesh, India

***Research Guide or Chairperson of research: Dr M.S. Paul**

University: Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj, 211007, Uttar Pradesh

Research project name or number: MSc Thesis

Author Contributions: All authors equally contributed

Author statement: All authors read, reviewed, agreed and approved the final manuscript. Note-All authors agreed that- Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to publish / enrolment

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Sample Collection: Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj, 211007, Uttar Pradesh

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.
Ethical Committee Approval Number: Nil

References

- [1] Sosnowska D. (2013) *Progress in Plant Protection* 53 (4), 747-750.
- [2] Van Lenteren J.C., Bolckmans K., Kohl J., Ravensberg J., Urbaneja A. (2017) *BioControl*, 63 (1), 155-167.
- [3] Nene Y.L. and Thapliyal P.N.(1993) *Fungicides in Plant Disease Control. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi, New Delhi, India* 579 pp.
- [4] Faria M., Wraight S. (2007) *Biol. Control*. 43,237-256.
- [5] Majchrowicz I., Poprawski T.J. (1993) *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 3 (3), 321-336.
- [6] Rashid M., Baghdadi A., Sheikhi A., Pourian H-R., Gazavi M. (2010) *Journal of Plant Protection Research* 50 (1), 22-27.
- [7] Patil S.B. (2006) *Isolation, identification and formulation of entomopathogenic fungi and its compatibility studies with paraquat (herbicide) and other chemical pesticides with special reference to western maharashtra. Dissertation Submitted to University of Pune, p. 146.*
- [8] Tkaczuk C., Miętkiewski R. (2005) *J. Plant Prot. Res.* 45 (3), 171-179.
- [9] Pelizza S.A., Scorsetti A.C., Fogel M.N., Pacheco-Marino S.G., Stenglein S.A., Cabello M.N., Lange C.E. (2015) *BioControl* 60 (1), 81-91.
- [10] Tkaczuk C., Krzyczkowski T., Głuszcak B., Król A. (2012) *Progress in Plant Protection* 52 (4), 969-974.