International Journal of Agriculture Sciences
ISSN: 0975-3710&E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 9, Issue 9, 2017, pp.-3917-3919.
Available online at http://www.bioinfopublication.org/jouarchive.php?opt=&jouid=BPJ0000217

Research Article

VITAMINS, MINERALS, PROTEIN DIGESTIBILITY AND ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF BREAD ENRICHED WITH *Spirulina platensis* POWDER

SAHARAN VATSALA* AND JOOD SUDESH

Department of Foods and Nutrition, College of Home Science, CCS Haryana Agriculture University, Hisar, 125004
*Corresponding Author: Email-vatsala.saharan@gmail.com

Received: January 14, 2017; Revised: February 04, 2017; Accepted: February 05, 2017; Published: February 24, 2017

Abstract- Bread is very popular bakery product, generally made from refined wheat flour which is limiting in nutrients. Therefore, in the present study, wheat flour was supplemented with *Spirulina* powder at 2, 4, 6 and 8% levels to improve its nutritional quality. Developed enriched breads were found organoleptically acceptable by the panelists up to 6% level. With regard to nutritional quality, among the fortified breads, 6% *Spirulina* fortified bread yielded higher contents of protein (15.43%), *in vitro* protein digestibility (69.17%), β-carotene (7.81 mg/100g), total carotenoids (28.97 mg/100g) and total lysine (2.24 g/16gN). Total and available calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, iron and zinc contents were also found higher in 6% *Spirulina* supplemented bread as compared to control bread. Antioxidant activity (16.61%) and total phenolic contents (1.89 mg GAE/g)were also found significantly higher in 6% *Spirulina* enriched bread.

Keywords- *Spirulina platensis* powder, Bread, Supplementation, Organoleptic acceptability, Nutritional quality

Citation: Saharan Vatsala and Jood Sudesh, (2017) Vitamins, Minerals, Protein Digestibility and Antioxidant Activity of Bread Enriched with *Spirulina platensis* Powder. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 9, Issue 9, pp.-3917-3919.

Copyright: Copyright©2017 Saharan Vatsala and Jood Sudesh, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

In recent years, with the increasing urbanization as well as the advancement in baking technology and changing food habits, the bakery food products are now becoming popular in urban and semi urban areas of the most developing countries [1].

Consumers' who prefer white bread their 70-80% nutrient content were found to be removed due to grinding and sieving processes and this may lead to increased incidence of diseases and disorders [2].

In terms of nutrition, bread reflects largely the nutritional value of flour and other raw materials from which it is obtained, therefore, it is necessary to continuously improve its nutritional and organoleptic attributes.

The objective of supplementing alternative ingredients in bread formulation is to improve the nutritional value of wheat flour particularly proteins, minerals, vitamins and dietary fibre [3]. Formulation of composite flour is vital for development of value added products with optimal functionality. One of such composite flour may be combination of wheat flour and *Spirulina* powder, *Spirulina* is a one-celled form of blue-green algae that thrives in warm, alkaline fresh-water bodies. The organism when it forms swirling, microscopic strands, it denotes the physical configuration [4.] *Spirulina* contains as much as 65-71% protein, chlorophyll, B-complex vitamins, vitamin E, iron, more beta-carotene than carrots and the essential fatty acid known as gamma-linolenic acid. *Spirulina* is one of the few plant sources of vitamin B12, usually found only in animal tissues [5].

Therefore, in the prospect of valuation and exploitation of *Spirulina*, it seems judicious to undertake studies about the fortification of bakery products such as bread by a dry biomass of *Spirulina* with the aim of improving its nutritional profile.

Materials and Methods

Procurement of raw materials

Spirulina platensis powder was purchased from Herbo Nutra, Wholesale Trader from New Delhi. Wheat variety (WH-1105) was procured from Wheat and Barley Section of Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCSHAU, Hisar. Other ingredients were purchased from local market. The sample of wheat grains was milled in grinding machine to obtain fine flour. The wheat flour was blended with *Spirulina platensis* powder at 2, 4, 6 and 8% levels for development of breads.

Preparation of breads

The breads formula included wheat flour and *Spirulina* powder at 98:2, 96:4, 94:6 and 92:8 (yeast 3g, sugar 10g, salt 1.75g, water ± 60 ml). The following baking schedule was adopted: mixing, optimum at room temperature; fermentation, 1 h 40 min at 30±1°C; remixing, 25 sec at room temperature; recovery (ferment), 25 min at 30±1°C; proofing, 55 min at 30±1°C; baking, 20 min at 240°C; cooling 25 min at room temperature.

Organoleptic acceptability

Organoleptic acceptability of developed breads were determined by a panel of 10 judges using a nine point Hedonic Rating Scale ranging from like moderately (9) to dislike extremely (1) for each organoleptic characteristics.

Nutritional evaluation of control and *Spirulina* supplemented breads

On the basis of organoleptic acceptability, *Spirulina* supplemented breads up to 6% were selected for further nutritional analysis. Total minerals i.e. calcium, iron, magnesium and zinc in acid digested samples were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer [15]. Whereas, phosphorus was determined colorimetrically [6]. In available minerals, iron in the samples were extracted [22]. Calcium and zinc were extracted [14]. *In vitro* protein digestibility was carried out by using the modified method [18] βeta-carotene[3]. Total carotenoids were determined by the method [29] and total lysine was estimated as per the method [17].

Statistical analysis

The data were statistically analysed in complete randomized design for analysis of variance [25].

Results and Discussion

Organoleptic acceptability

Mean scores of colour, appearance, aroma, texture and taste of breads were found 'liked very much' to 'liked moderately' by the panelists and found at par with their respective control samples up to 6 % level of incorporation but thereafter increasing the incorporation level i.e 8% resulted in significant change in sensory characteristics and found 'liked slightly' in sensory attributes by the panelists [Fig-1]. Similar results were also reported by the other workers in *Spirulina* powder incorporated bread and buns[19].



Fig-1 Mean score of organoleptic characteristics of *Spirulinaplatensis* powder supplemented breads

Total phenolic and antioxidant activity

DPPH free radical scavenging activity of wheat flour based bread was 11.90%. Whereas, on increasing the incorporation level of *Spirulina* powder i.e. 2, 4 and 6% in wheat flour showed increasing trend i.e 13.47, 15.04 and 16.61%, respectively. Maximum antioxidant activity was observed in 6% *Spirulina* supplemented bread and minimum was found in 2% *Spirulina* supplemented bread. Similar results were also reported by other workers in *Spirulina* incorporated products [13,16,21]. High quality of phycocyanin of *Spirulina platensis* may be responsible for strong antioxidant activity [1].

Table-1 *Total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of breads supplemented with Spirulina platensis powder (dry matter basis)*

Types of Breads	Total phenolic contents (mg GAE/g)	DPPH free radical scavenging activity (%)
Control (100% WF)	0.72±0.03	11.90±0.74
Supplementation level (%) WF : SP		
98 : 2	0.95±0.04	13.47±0.99
96 : 4	1.29±0.06	15.04±1.00
94 : 6	1.89±0.13	16.61±0.85
CD (P≤0.05)	0.13	0.99

Values are means ± SE of three independent determinations
WF = Wheat flour SP = *Spirulina* powder

Total phenolic contents of control bread was 0.72 mg GAE/g, as these values were found to be significantly increased on increasing the level of incorporation of *Spirulina* powder. Maximum total phenolic content was observed in 6% *Spirulina* supplemented bread and minimum in 2% supplemented bread. These results are in agreement with those reported earlier by various workers in *Spirulina* supplemented bread, biscuits and pasta [1,13,16]. Algae are an important source of numerous bioactive metabolites such as phenolic compounds, phycocyanin and β-carotenoids [1,16].

βeta-carotene and total carotenoids

β-carotene and total carotenoids were not detected in control bread, while in *Spirulina* supplemented breads, β-carotene and total carotenoids increased gradually and significantly on increasing the level of *Spirulina* powder in wheat flour. The values were found in the range of 2.31 to 7.81 and 9.34 to 28.97 mg/100g, respectively in 2, 4 and 6% *Spirulina* supplemented breads. This increase in β-carotene and total carotenoids in supplemented breads might be due to higher content of β-carotene and total carotenoids in *Spirulina* powder [7]. Other researchers also reported the similar results in *Spirulina* enriched products [2].

Total and available minerals

Spirulina fortified bread exhibited significantly higher amount of total calcium, phosphorus, magnesium and iron as compared to control bread [Table-2]. Zinc content was differed non-significantly. As on increasing the level of *Spirulina* supplementation resulted in significant improvement in mineral contents. Among the supplemented bread, 6% *Spirulina* supplemented bread had significant higher amount than 4 and 2 per cent supplemented breads. It might be due to addition of *Spirulina* powder which contained many folds higher mineral contents than wheat flour [28]. These results are also in agreement with those reported by other workers in *Spirulina* supplemented bread, muffins and biscuits [11,19,24].

Table-2 *Total mineral contents of Spirulina platensis powder supplemented breads (mg/100g, dry matter basis)*

Types of Breads	Calcium	Phosphorus	Magnesium	Iron	Zinc
Control (100% WF)	45.58±0.12	342.04±0.57	122.37±0.59	3.53±0.38	2.07±0.57
Supplementation level (%) WF : SP					
98 : 2	56.58±0.32	350.29±0.44	125.44±0.63	4.44±0.36	2.13±0.24
96 : 4	68.43±0.64	359.61±0.38	128.51±0.42	5.53±0.46	2.14±0.56
94 : 6	79.76±0.60	368.43±0.52	131.71±0.33	6.33±0.54	2.19±0.59
CD (P≤0.05)	1.56	1.61	1.68	1.47	NS

Values are means ± SE of three independent determinations
WF = Wheat flour SP = *Spirulina* powder NS = Non-significant

In vitro availability of calcium, iron and zinc were also found higher in all the three types of supplemented breads as compared to control bread [Table-3]. It might be due to absence of phytic acid in *Spirulina* powder which is known to bind the divalent cations and hence reduce their bioavailability [5].

Table-3 *Available minerals of Spirulina platensis powder supplemented breads (%, dry matter basis)*

Types of Breads	Iron	Calcium	Zinc
Control (100% WF)	47.24±1.16	53.13±3.99	54.68±5.76
Supplementation level (%) WF : SP			
98 : 2	48.44±3.94	54.69±2.78	56.21±2.13
96 : 4	50.05±5.99	56.25±4.24	57.74±3.59
94 : 6	52.65±3.22	58.82±5.70	59.27±4.85
CD (P≤0.05)	1.00	0.93	1.06

Values are means ± SE of three independent determinations
WF = Wheat flour SP = *Spirulina* powder

Protein, *in vitro* protein digestibility and total lysine

Protein content in the fortified breads found to be increased significantly with increase in the percentage of *Spirulina* powder [Table-4]. The increase in protein content could obviously be due to the significant quality of protein (65-71%) in *Spirulina* [27]. Other workers also reported significantly higher protein content in *Spirulina* supplemented biscuits and breads [9,10,16].

In vitro protein digestibility and total lysine were also found significantly higher in *Spirulina* supplemented breads, 6% *Spirulina* supplemented bread had significantly higher amount followed by 4 and 2% supplemented breads. *In vitro* digestibility i.e. 69.17, 67.32 and 65.47%, respectively were found significantly higher than their control bread. Similarly, total lysine content was also found significantly higher in all the supplemented breads than control. It might be due to

higher *in-vitro* protein digestibility (92.59%) and total lysine (5.72g/16gN) contents in *Spirulina* powder [11].

Table-4 *In-vitro protein digestibility (%) and total lysine (g/16gN) content of breads supplemented with Spirulina platensis powder (dry matter basis)*

Types of Breads	Protein	*In vitro* protein digestibility	Total lysine
Control (100% WF)	11.80±0.60	63.62±1.67	1.90±0.03
Supplementation level (%) WF : SP			
98 : 2	13.00±0.76	65.47±1.73	2.01±0.02
96 : 4	14.22±0.37	67.32±5.43	2.12±0.17
94 : 6	15.43±0.32	69.17±0.91	2.24±0.16
CD (P≤0.05)	1.80	1.17	0.10

Values are means ± SE of three independent determinations
WF = Wheat flour SP = *Spirulina* powder

They also reported that *Spirulina* incorporated products had significantly higher content of *in vitro* digestibility and total lysine as compared to control bread. Our results are also in agreement with those reported by other workers in *Spirulina* fortified products [11,16,26].

Conclusion

It may be concluded from the present study that 6% *Spirulina* incorporated bread found organoleptically acceptable by the panelists. In terms of nutritional quality, antioxidant activity, β-carotene, total carotenoids, protein, digestibility, total lysine and minerals were found significantly higher. Hence, due to unique nutritional profile and presence of bioactive compounds in *Spirulina* powder, its use in food products may be encouraged to improve the nutritional status of general population and to overcome the nutritional deficiencies.

Acknowledgement / Funding: I would like to thanks my major advisor Dr. (Mrs.) Sudesh Jood, Professor and Head, Department of Foods and Nutrition, Department of Foods and Nutrition, College of Home Science, CCS Haryana Agriculture University, Hisar-125004 for her guidance, encouragement and patience during my research work

Author Contributions: Both author equal contributed

Abbreviations: Mentioned

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Conflict of Interest: None declared

References

[1] Mohammed M.I.O., Abdelmoneim I.M. and Osman G.A.M. (2009) *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 3(4), 207-212.
[2] Dominguez H. (2013) Functional ingredients from algae for foods and nutraceuticals. *Food Science, Technology and Nutrition*. Woodhead Publishing Limited, Oxford, Cambridge Philadelphia.
[3] Fradique M., Batista A.P., Nunes M.C., Gouveia L., Bandarra N.M. and Raymundo A. (2010) *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 90, 1656-1664.
[4] Udayasree V., Manjula K. and Sowjanya M. (2013) *International Journal of Scientific Research,* 2, 2277-8179.
[5] Batista A.P., Gouveia L., Bandarra N.M., Franco J.M. and Raymundo A.A. (2013) *Algal Research*, 2, 164–173.
[6] Abd El Baky H.H., El-Baroty G.S. and Ibrahem E.A. (2015) *Nutrition Hospitalaria,* 32(1), 231-241.
[7] Abd El-Baky H.H., El-Baroty G.S. and Ibrahem E.A. (2014) *DerPharma Chemica*, 6(5), 24-34.
[8] AOAC. (2000) Official Methods of Analysis of Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, D.C.
[9] Chaudhary H. (2011) Nutritional and sensory evaluation of bakery products development from composite flour. M.Sc. Thesis, Dept. of Foods and Nutrition, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India.
[10] Chen P.S., Tosibara T.Y. and Warner H. (1956) *Anals of Chemistry,* 28, 1756-1759.
[11] Farcas A.C., Sonia A.S., Tofana M., Muresan C., Mudura E., Salanta L. and Stancuta S. (2014) *Romanian Biotechnological Letters*, 19 (5), 9705-9714.
[12] Figueira F.S., Crizel T.M., Silva C.R. and Salas-Mellado M.M. (2011) *Brazil Journal of Food Technology*, 14 (4), 308-316.
[13] Ghaly A., Hammouda A. and Hattab M. (2015) *International Journal of Bioprocess and Biotechnological Advance*, 1(2), 63-73.
[14] Hallen E., Ibsnoglu S. and Anisworth P. (2004) *Food Engineering,* 63 (2),177-184.
[15] Khan I., Yousif A., Johnson S. and Gamlath S. (2013) *Food Research International*, 54, 578-586.
[16] Kim H. and Zemel M.B. (1986) *Journal of Food Science,* 51, 957-963.
[17] Lindsey W.L. and Norwell M.A. (1969) *Agronomy Abstract,* 61, 84-89.
[18] Marco E.R.D., Steffolani M.E., Martïnez C.S. and León A.E. (2014) *Food Science and Technology,* 58, 102-108.
[19] Mertz E.T., Jambunathan R. and Misra P.S. (1975) In: Protein Quality. *Agricultural Experimental Standard Bulletin* 70, Purdue. University, USA. pp. 11-14.
[20] Mertz E.T., Kireis A.W. and Sxtell J.D. (1983) *Feed Process*, 32(5), 132-142.
[21] Minh N.P. (2014) *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Development*, 1(4), 36-44.
[22] Prabhasankar P., Ganesan P. and Bhaskar N. (2009) *Food Science and Technology International*, 15, 471-479.
[23] Rao B.S.N. and Prabhavathi T. (1978) *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 31, 169-173.
[24] Rehman S., Paterson A., Hussain S., Murtaza M.A. and Mehmood S.(2007) *Leben Smitted Wissenchaffund Technologies*, 40(1), 73-82.
[25] Shahbazizadeh S., Khosravi-Darani K. and Sohrabvandi S. (2015) *Annual Research and Review in Biology*, 7(3), 144-154.
[26] Sheoran O.P. and Pannu R.S. (1999) Statistical Package for agricultural workers. "O. P. Stat" College of Agriculture, Kaul, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar. India.
[27] Tomaselli L. (2004) The microalgal cell. In A. Richmond (Ed.), Handbook of microalgal culture: Biotechnology and applied phycology (pp. 3e19). Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
[28] Vijayarani D., Ponnalaghu S. and Rajathivya J. (2012) *International Journal of Health Science and Research*, 2(4), 42-47.
[29] Wellburn A.R. (1994) *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 144, 307-313.