



Research Article

EFFECT OF ZINC SOLUBILIZING MICROORGANISMS IN ENHANCING ENZYME ACTIVITY AND NUTRIENT AVAILABILITY IN GROUNDNUT GROWN ON VERTISOL

SABLE PRASAD*, ISMAIL SYED AND PAWAR ANURADHA

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, 431 402, MS

*Corresponding Author: Email-sable.prasad7@gmail.com

Received: June 27, 2016; Revised: July 22, 2016; Accepted: July 23, 2016; Published: October 21, 2016

Abstract- The experiment was conducted on zinc deficient soil at farmer's field in Kehal village, Taluka Jintoor, Dist. Parbhani, Maharashtra during summer season of 2014 to assess the ability of zinc solubilizing microorganisms to enhance the zinc availability and enzyme activity in soil. Bioinoculants used such as *Burkholderia cepacia*, *Burkholderia cenocepacia*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Pseudomonas striata*, *Trichoderma viride*, *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Bacillus megaterium*. Tenth day after sowing 24 hrs old fresh culture of microbial isolates was inoculated at the rate 10 ml. Activity of alkaline phosphatase and acid phosphatase was noted significantly highest in treatment RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Bacillus megaterium* and respectively. However, dehydrogenase activity and nutrient availability was found significantly highest in treatment whereas, significantly highest periodical Zn content in soil was found with *Pseudomonas striata* followed by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Trichoderma viride*, respectively.

Keywords- Enzyme activity, Groundnut, Nutrient availability, Zinc solubilizing microorganism

Citation: Sable Prasad., et al., (2016) Effect of Zinc Solubilizing Microorganisms in Enhancing Enzyme Activity and Nutrient Availability in Groundnut Grown on Vertisol. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 8, Issue 49 pp.-2099-2102.

Copyright: Copyright©2016 Sable Prasad., et al., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Academic Editor / Reviewer: Dr Nandkishor Ajab Meshram

Introduction

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is an important oil seed crop and food grain legume. Groundnut cultivation occurs in 108 countries around the world, which is grown in all tropical and subtropical countries. It is a valuable cash crop planted by millions of small farmers because of its economic and nutritional value. Oil seed crops have been the backbone of agricultural economy of India and the world. It is originated from Brazil in South America. The word *Arachis* is a Latin word meaning 'legume' and *hypogaea* meaning 'below ground' which refers to the geocarpic nature of pod formation. It is a predominant oilseed crop, of which approximately 53 per cent of total global production is crushed for high quality edible oil, 32 per cent for confectionary consumption, and the remaining 15 per cent is used for food and seed production. Groundnut is good source of dietary proteins, minerals and vitamins. It is also known as poor man's almond. Its calorific value is 349 per hundred grams of seed. The residual oil cake contains 7 to 8 per cent of N, 1.5 per cent of P₂O₅ and 1.20 per cent of K₂O and hence it is used as a fertilizer. The cake is also used for making of reconstituted food because of its high protein content. Being a legume crop it can fix atmospheric nitrogen and thereby improves soil fertility [13]. India ranks first in respect of area and second in respect of production after China, contributing about 40 per cent of world's production. According to 4th advanced estimates in India area, production and yield of groundnut during 2013-14 was 4.19 million hectares, 5.62 million tones and 1341 kg ha⁻¹ and in Maharashtra 0.29 million hectares, 0.36 million tones and 1341 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. In Maharashtra, total yield of oilseeds during the year 2012-13 was 1394 kg ha⁻¹ and during 2013-14 reduced to 1228 kg ha⁻¹ and yield of *kharif* and *rabi* oilseeds during 2013-14 was 1271 kg ha⁻¹ and 720 kg ha⁻¹ [2]. Zinc is one of such elements, which plays significant role in various enzymatic and physiological activities in the plant system. It is well

reflected with increased area being deficient in nutrients like sulphur and zinc or showing hidden hunger of these. At several places normal yield of crops could not be achieved despite judicious use of NPK fertilizers due to deficiency of micronutrients in soil, in general, that of Zn in particular. Numerous microorganisms especially those associated with roots, have the ability to increase plant growth and productivity. In soil, both macro and micronutrients undergo a complex dynamic equilibrium of solubilization and insolubilization that is greatly influenced by the soil pH and microflora and that ultimately affects their accessibility to plant roots for absorption [1]. Zinc is an essential micronutrient required for growth and metabolism of microorganisms and plants. Zinc is present in the enzyme system as co-factor and metal activator of many enzymes. The role of zinc in the nutrition and physiology of both eukaryotic and prokaryotic organisms, especially its importance for activity of many enzymes is widely studied. Many bacterial enzymes contain zinc in the active centre or in a structurally important site. Bacteria can contribute to metal immobilization by several processes such as precipitation and adsorption [3]. Zinc occurs in soil as sphalerite, olivine, hornblende, augite and biotite. However, availability of Zn from these sources is guided by many factors among which biochemical actions of rhizo micro-organisms play an important role in converting such unavailable sources into available ones. Exogenous application of soluble zinc sources similar to fertilizer application has been advocated to various crops. This causes transformation of about 96-99 per cent of applied available zinc to various unavailable forms. The zinc thus made unavailable can be reverted back to available form by inoculating a bacterial strain capable of solubilizing it [14]. A number of microorganisms are considered as challenging agents for agriculture to promote better nutrient uptake and availability for plant use particularly zinc mobilizing and

acidifying cultures may help to increase the zinc and other nutrient availability for the crops like Groundnut. Since the information on groundnut to inoculation with different zinc solubilizing (ZnS) microbial inoculants is meagre. Keeping these points in consideration, field trial was conducted to enhance the enzyme properties and nutrient availability in soil using ZnS microbial cultures in groundnut grown on Vertisol.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at farmer's field in Kehal village, Tq. Jintoor, Dist. Parbhani on Vertisol during summer season of 2014-15 on groundnut. The soil was clayey in texture, moderately alkaline in reaction, medium in available nitrogen, phosphorus and sufficient in available potassium, iron, sulphur and low in zinc. The initial soil pH was 8.0, EC- 0.28 dSm⁻¹, organic carbon- 4.86 g kg⁻¹, CaCO₃- 37.0 g kg⁻¹ available N – 133.26 kg ha⁻¹, P₂O₅– 14.0 kg ha⁻¹, K₂O - 530 kg ha⁻¹ and S – 13.90 mg kg⁻¹. The initial micronutrient status were DTPA Cu 2.33 mg kg⁻¹, Mn 6.30 mg kg⁻¹, zinc 0.53 mg kg⁻¹, iron 5.18 mg kg⁻¹. The soil was clayey in texture, low in organic carbon, available nitrogen, medium in phosphorus, sufficient in potassium and low in sulphur. As among the micronutrient status Cu, Fe and Mn were above the critical limits but Zn content in experimental soil was found to be deficient. The treatments comprising inoculation with liquid inoculants of *Bradyrhizobium* and *Bacillus megaterium* (PSB) for Groundnut seed in alone and in combinations. Total eight treatments of bioinoculants were replicated three times in RBD. The experiment consists of 8 treatments of laboratory tested P and Zn solubilizers T₁ RDF + *Rhizobium*; T₂ RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Bacillus megaterium*; T₃ RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Burkholderia cepacia*; T₄ RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Burkholderia cenocepacia*; T₅ RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*; T₆ RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Pseudomonas striata*; T₇ RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Trichoderma viride*; T₈ RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Trichoderma harzianum*. Seed treatment was done before sowing with liquid bioinoculants each @ 50 ml 10 kg⁻¹ seed. The crop was raised following recommended agronomic practices. The recommended dose of chemical fertilizers were applied @ 30:60:30 NPK kg ha⁻¹ at the time of sowing. Intercultural operations like thinning, weeding, spraying of insecticides, fertilizer application and schedule of irrigation for groundnut crop was carefully followed. The crop variety used was TAG 37A. The soil samples were collected after harvest of groundnut for analysis of enzyme activity, chemical properties and

available nutrient status as per standard procedures. The data obtained was statistically analyzed and appropriately interpreted as per the methods described in "Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers" by Panse and Sukhatme, (1985) [11].

Results and Discussion

The data noted in [Table-1] indicates that the enzymatic activity in soil after harvest of groundnut crop was influenced by different ZnS microbial inoculants. Activity of alkaline phosphatase was noted significantly highest in treatment T₂ RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Bacillus megaterium* (76.38 µg g⁻¹) and T₆ was noted at par with T₂ treatment. Enzymatic activity of acid phosphatase was also noted significantly highest in treatment T₂ (43.07 µg g⁻¹) and at par with T₆ (42.87 µg g⁻¹). However, dehydrogenase activity was found significantly highest in T₆ treatment (57.75 µg g⁻¹). The treatment T₄ (RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Burkholderia cenocepacia*) and T₅ (RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) was noted at par with treatment T₆ (RDF + *Rhizobium* + *Pseudomonas striata*). Lowest enzymatic activity was observed in treatment T₁ (RDF + *Rhizobium*). These results are corroborate with the findings of Nihorimbere et al. (2011) [9] who reported more microbial activities increased the dehydrogenase activity in rhizosphere due to more availability of food material for its growth. Whereas, Activities of both acid and alkaline phosphatases were significantly improved over control levels in the rhizosphere upon inoculation. This might be due to increased microbial and root activities as previously reported by Kaleeswari, (2007) [8]. Increase in dehydrogenase and phosphates activity in soil due to inoculation were also noticed by Panwar et al., (2003) [12] which might be due to increased microbial population in soil because of the presence of greater organic substances as a result of rhizo-deposition and leaf fall. The results presented in [Table-2] regarding changes in soil pH, EC and CaCO₃ after harvest of groundnut indicates non-significant results. However, organic carbon was recorded significantly highest in treatment T₆ (5.78 g kg⁻¹) with inoculation of RDF+ *Rhizobium* + *Pseudomonas striata* and treatment T₂ (RDF+ *Rhizobium*+ *Bacillus megaterium*), T₅ (RDF+ *Rhizobium*+ *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) and T₈ (RDF+ *Rhizobium*+ *Trichoderma harzianum*) was noted at par with treatment T₆ and lowest organic carbon was noted in treatment T₁.

Table-1 Effect of zinc solubilizing microorganisms on enzyme activities in soil after harvest of summer groundnut

Tr. no.	Treatment	Enzyme activities in soil after harvest of groundnut (µg g ⁻¹)		
		Alkaline Phosphatase	Acid Phosphatase	Dehydrogenase
T ₁	RDF+ <i>Rhizobium</i>	56.03	35.42	49.81
T ₂	T1+ <i>Bacillus megaterium</i>	76.38	43.07	54.96
T ₃	T1+ <i>Burkholderia cepacia</i>	65.33	38.85	53.03
T ₄	T1+ <i>Burkholderia cenocepacia</i>	69.25	38.66	53.75
T ₅	T1+ <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	72.76	39.67	57.43
T ₆	T1+ <i>Pseudomonas striata</i>	75.58	42.87	57.75
T ₇	T1+ <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	75.18	39.50	53.38
T ₈	T1+ <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	66.65	39.36	54.52
	S.E.±	0.16	0.19	0.10
	C.D. at 5 %	0.51	0.58	0.32
	C.V. %	4.1	8.3	3.4
	Initial	60.60	33.95	38.00

Table-2 Effect of zinc solubilizing microorganisms on chemical properties of soil after harvest of summer groundnut

Sr. No.	Treatment	pH(1:2.5)	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	CaCO ₃ (%)	Organic carbon (g kg ⁻¹)
T ₁	RDF+ <i>Rhizobium</i>	7.98	0.28	35.00	5.01
T ₂	T1+ <i>Bacillus megaterium</i>	7.97	0.25	36.10	5.69
T ₃	T1+ <i>Burkholderia cepacia</i>	7.61	0.26	36.20	5.37
T ₄	T1+ <i>Burkholderia cenocepacia</i>	7.66	0.26	36.00	5.33
T ₅	T1+ <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	7.57	0.27	36.10	5.75
T ₆	T1+ <i>Pseudomonas striata</i>	7.60	0.25	35.50	5.78
T ₇	T1+ <i>Trichoderma viride</i>	7.68	0.25	36.10	5.57
T ₈	T1+ <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	7.69	0.23	36.40	5.60
	S.E.±	0.20	0.02	0.43	0.12
	C.D. at 5 %	NS	NS	NS	0.36
	C.V. %	4.69	14.1	2.10	3.81
	Initial	8.00	0.28	37.00	4.86

The data presented in [Table-3] indicates that significant increase in nutrient availability in soil after harvest of groundnut crop. Significantly highest values of soil available N, K₂O and S after harvest of groundnut were recorded in treatment T₆ (171.3, 628.4 kg ha⁻¹ and 16.27 mg kg⁻¹) respectively receiving RDF + Rhizobium + *Pseudomonas striata* and treatment T₅ (RDF + Rhizobium + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) and T₈ (RDF + Rhizobium + *Trichoderma harzianum*) was found at par with T₆ treatment. However coming to value of P, it was found maximum in T₂ treatment (18.22 kg ha⁻¹) i.e with the inoculation of Rhizobium + *Bacillus megaterium* and treatment T₃ (17.32 kg ha⁻¹), T₅ (17.09 kg ha⁻¹) and T₈ (16.58 kg ha⁻¹) were noted at par with T₂ treatment. These results are in accordance with the reports of Singh and Yadav (2008)[16] who noticed that co-inoculation of Rhizobium + PSB significantly increased nitrogen fixation and increased soil available nitrogen as compared to initial value. Further, Bodkhe et al. (2014) [4] also found that more availability of major nutrients in dual inoculated plots as compare to inoculation of Rhizobium or PSB alone. Similar findings were also reported by Dubey et al. (1997) [6]. Whereas, Nirmal et al., (2006) [10] reported that the dual inoculation of Rhizobium and PSB resulted more availability of soil N and P because of their associative effect plus solubilization from non exchangeable to labile form. Similarly, Trivedi et al. (1997) [17, 18] found that application of PSB there was greater mobilization of insoluble phosphorus along with enhanced transport of soil nutrients within the plant system. The results presented in [Table-4] revealed that the available micronutrients in soil after harvest of groundnut crop were also influenced significantly with the microbial treatments. DTPA Zn and Fe were noted maximum in T₆ treatment (0.68 and 5.72 mg kg⁻¹) receiving inoculation of Rhizobium +

Pseudomonas striata and treatment T₃ (RDF + Rhizobium + *Burkholderia cepacia*); T₄ (RDF + Rhizobium + *Burkholderia cenocepacia*), T₅ (RDF + Rhizobium + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) and T₇ (RDF + Rhizobium + *Trichoderma viride*) were found at par with the T₆ treatment. Regarding, DTPA Mn and Cu, these were also noted maximum in T₆ (6.71 and 2.75 mg kg⁻¹) receiving inoculation of Rhizobium + *Pseudomonas striata* along with RDF and in case of Mn, T₅ (RDF + Rhizobium + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) and T₇ (RDF + Rhizobium + *Trichoderma viride*) was found at par with T₆. In Cu, treatment T₄ (RDF + Rhizobium + *Burkholderia cenocepacia*) and T₅ (RDF + Rhizobium + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*) was found at par with T₆ and the lowest was noted in treatment T₁. Our results are also concur with the reports of Saravanan et al., (2007) [15], they also studied the solubilization of insoluble zinc compound by *G. diazotrophicus* and observed that the zinc solubilization by plate assays revealed effective solubilization of insoluble zinc compounds by *G. diazotrophicus* strains. These might be due to the production of protons and organic acids, which are considered as the significant mechanism affecting the solubilization of metal compounds through excretion of other metabolites, siderophore and the like also contribute to the solubilizing process. Further, Di Simone et al., (1998) [5] considered that 5-ketogluconic acid was the major organic acid produced in the intermediary of solubilization while in *Pseudomonas* it was 2-ketogluconic acid that mediated solubilization process. Furthermore, Fasim et al. (2002) [7] studied the solubilization of zinc salts by a bacterium isolated from the air environment of tannery and results revealed that the strain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* solubilized both ZnO and Zn₃(PO₄)₂ when incorporated in solid and liquid growth medium.

Table-3 Effect of zinc solubilizing microorganisms on major nutrient availability in soil after harvest of groundnut

Tr.no.	Treatment	Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg ha ⁻¹)	Available K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹)	Available S (mg kg ⁻¹)
T ₁	RDF+Rhizobium	145.37	14.61	532.57	14.45
T ₂	T1+Bacillus megaterium	147.04	18.22	538.67	14.61
T ₃	T1+Burkholderia cepacia	151.71	17.32	555.79	14.39
T ₄	T1+Burkholderia cenocepacia	146.32	15.80	573.33	15.21
T ₅	T1+Pseudomonas fluorescens	156.44	17.09	589.33	16.15
T ₆	T1+Pseudomonas striata	171.53	16.07	628.40	16.27
T ₇	T1+Trichoderma viride	124.58	16.03	489.59	12.48
T ₈	T1+Trichoderma harzianum	153.87	16.58	588.85	14.84
	S.E.±	7.54	0.64	21.41	0.58
	C.D. at 5 %	22.88	1.97	64.95	1.77
	C.V. %	8.73	6.82	6.60	6.84
	Initial	133.26	14.00	530.00	13.90

Table-4 Effect of zinc solubilizing microorganisms on DTPA extractable micronutrient availability in soil after harvest of groundnut

Tr.no.	Treatment	Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)	Fe (mg kg ⁻¹)	Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)
T ₁	RDF+Rhizobium	0.60	5.20	6.37	2.47
T ₂	T1+Bacillus megaterium	0.57	5.25	6.34	2.54
T ₃	T1+Burkholderia cepacia	0.62	5.42	5.61	2.55
T ₄	T1+Burkholderia cenocepacia	0.62	5.22	6.26	2.73
T ₅	T1+Pseudomonas fluorescens	0.66	5.59	6.47	2.74
T ₆	T1+Pseudomonas striata	0.68	5.72	6.71	2.75
T ₇	T1+Trichoderma viride	0.64	4.43	6.44	2.60
T ₈	T1+Trichoderma harzianum	0.56	4.45	6.26	2.51
	S.E.±	0.02	0.14	0.16	0.06
	C.D. at 5 %	0.06	0.43	0.49	0.21
	C.V. %	5.68	4.81	4.44	4.64
	Initial	0.53	5.18	6.30	2.33

Conclusion

The enzyme activities in soil after harvest of groundnut crop were improved with the inoculation of zinc solubilizers. highest alkaline and acid enzyme activity and P availability were noticed with RDF + Rhizobium and *Bacillus megaterium*. However, dehydrogenase activity nutrient availability and was found maximum in RDF + Rhizobium + *Pseudomonas striata*.

Conflict of Interest: None declared

References

- [1] Altomare C., Norvell W. A., Bjorkman T. and Harman G. E. (1999) *Applied And Environmental Microbiology*, 65 (7), 2926–2933.

- [2] Anonymous (2014) All India area, production and yield of groundnut in respect of major groundnut producing states along with the coverage under irrigation at <http://www.google scholar.in.net>.
- [3] Bapiri Azadeh., Asgharzadeh Ahmad., Mujallali Hesam., Khavazi Kazem., & Pazira Ebrahim. (2012) *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage*, 16 (3), 295 – 298.
- [4] Bodkhe A.A., Syed Ismail and Javed Jani (2014) *Green farming*, 5(3), 341-345.
- [5] Di Simine C.D., Sayer J.A. and Gadd G.M. (1998) *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 28, 87-94.
- [6] Dubey S.K., Balasundaram V.R., Pant L.M., Jayasheela N., Kawalge B.R. and Mishra B. (1997) *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 45(3), 503-505.
- [7] Fasim F., Nuzhat A., Richard P. and Gadd G. M (2002) *FEMS Microbiology*, 213,1-6.
- [8] Kaleeswari R.K. (2007) *Agric. Rev.*, 28(2), 149-153.
- [9] Nihorimbere V, Ongena M, Smargiassi M., Thonart P. (2011) *Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ.*, 15(2), 327-337.
- [10] Nirmal D., Singh R.K., Kumar A. and Singh J. (2006) *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, 2(2), 618-620.
- [11] Panse U.G. and Sukhatme P.V. (1985) *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. I.C.A.R. Pub., New Delhi. pp: 600-603.
- [12] Panwar J. Aseri G.K. and Yadav B.K. (2003) *Geobios*, 30, 188-190.
- [13] Rajgopal K.K., Chandan J.B., Mishra P.K., Bhaodia and R.S. Mathur (2000) Evaluation of bold seed nut groundnut accessions for confectionery attributes. *IAN*, 20,18-19.
- [14] Saravanan V.S., Subramoniam S. R. and Raj S. A. (2003) *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 34,121-125.
- [15] Saravanan V.S., Kalaiarasan P., Madhaiyan M. and M. Thangaraju (2007) *Appli. Microbio.*, 44, 235-241.
- [16] Singh R.S. and Yadav M.K. (2008) *J. Fd. Leg.*, 21(1), 46-48.
- [17] Trivedi S.K., Singh V., Shinde C.P. and Tomar R.A.S. (1997) *Crop Res.*, 13(1), 73-79.
- [18] Suseelendra Desai, Praveen Kumar G., Uzma Sultana, Sravani Pinisetty, Mir Hassan Ahmed SK, Leo Daniel Amalraj E. and Gopal Reddy (2012) *African J. of Microbiology Research*, (17), 3924-3931.