

International Journal of Agriculture Sciences

ISSN: 0975-3710&E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 8, Issue 22, 2016, pp.-1435-1436. Available online at http://www.bioinfopublication.org/jouarchive.php?opt=&jouid=BPJ0000217

STUDY ON ADOPTION LEVEL OF BENEFICIARIES OF INLAND AQUACULTURE UNDER RKVY PROJECT

CHOUDHARY S.1*, PARMAR V.S.1, VASAVA H.M.2 AND TIMBADIA C.K.3

¹Department of Extension Education, NMCA, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, 396 450, Gujarat

²Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chaswad, Gujarat

³Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, 396 450, Gujarat

*Corresponding Author: Email-vparmar801@gmail.com

Received: April 09, 2016; Revised: April 15, 2016; Accepted: April 16, 2016

Abstract- A fishery in India is a very important economic activity and a flourishing sector with varied resources and potentials. Only after the Indian Independence, fisheries together with agriculture been recognized as an important sector. The inland aquaculture practices are being adopted by the farmers. For the present study Navsari district was selected on the basis of a project "inland aquaculture" was launched the year 2009-2010 by the Krishi Vigyan Kendra, NAU, Navsari with the assistance of Rashtriya Krishi Vikas Yojana (RKVY). It can be concluded that in overall extent of adoption, nearly (59.49 per cent) three-fifth of the beneficiaries had medium level of adoption about the inland aquaculture practices.

Keywords- Inland aquaculture, Adoption, RKVY, management.

Citation: Choudhary S., et al., (2016) Study on Adoption Level of Beneficiaries of Inland Aquaculture under RKVY Project. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 8, Issue 22, pp.-1435-1436.

Copyright: Copyright©2016 Choudhary S., et al., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Agricultural is major livelihood sector, which support Indian economy and in agricultural fish has been recognized as an important source of nutritious food as it constitutes high quality, balanced and easily digestible proteins and the much-needed essential amino acid by the human beings. Fisheries sector plays a dominant role in national economy through foreign exchange, generation of employment and providing nutritional security besides augmenting food supply. Fish provides cheap animal protein at affordable prices in interior places to a large segment of the population, *i.e.*, small and marginal farmers, agricultural and non-agricultural laborers, rural artisans and fishermen. Further, fish farming generates additional rural employment and increased income, and provide livelihood to traditional fisher folk and other unemployed youths.

The successful adoption of new technology can be considered as means to achieve higher productivity and there by improve the standard of livelihood. An innovation has little effect until it is distributed among the numbers of fishery farmers and they put it into use. The change is taking place among them or in to their social system as a result of adoption or rejection. The socio-economic-techno impact is the resultant changes occurred among the inland aquaculture owners due to adoption of inland aquaculture practices. The anticipated, direct or desirable impact due to the adoption of inland aquaculture practices which generates economic benefits and ultimate change in social and many other aspects of livelihood. Keeping this view in mind, the present study entitled "STUDY ON ADOPTION LEVEL OF BENEFICIARIES OF INLAND AQUACULTURE UNDER RKVY PROJECT".

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted in Navsari district. It was selected on the basis of a project "inland aquaculture" was launched the year 2009-2010 by the Krishi Vigyan Kendra, NAU, Navsari with the assistance of Rashtriya Krishi Vikas Yojana. This district has six talukas *viz.*, Chikhli, Gandevi, Jalalpor, Khergam, Navsari and Vansda. Considering the potentiality of Gandevi, Jalalpore and Navsari talukas and villages mentioned were selected in to for the present study.

The Krishi Vigyan Kendra, NAU, Navsari has executed the project hence the list of beneficiaries covered under project was obtained. All the 158 respondents selected from the identified villages. Ex-post facto research design was used for the study. Adoption refers as a mental process through which an individual puts all available scientific management practices in actual use.

 Adoption Level

 Sr.
 Category
 Range

 1
 Low
 < X − S.D.</td>

 2
 Medium
 In between X + S.D.

 3
 High
 > X + S.D.

For quantifying the data, "one" score was given for correct answer and "zero" score was given for incorrect answer. The total maximum score that could be secured by an individual respondent was 24 as 24 questions /practices were included in the schedule. The adoption quotient was calculated for every respondent. Later on all the respondents were classified into three categories on the basis of mean (\times) and standard deviation (S.D.). The data was collected with the help of well-structured, pre-tested, Gujarati version interview scheduled through personal contact and data were compiled, tabulated and analyzed to get answers for objectives of the study.

Result and Discussion

Adoption about inland aquaculture

The data regarding distribution of beneficiaries according to their level of adoption about inland aquaculture are presented in [Table-1].

From the [Table-1] indicated that a high percentage of beneficiaries had correct extent of adoption regarding quantity of organic manure applied (86.00 per cent),

||Bioinfo Publications|| 1297

soil composition (82.27 per cent), fertilizers should applied (80.37 per cent), health management practices (79.11 per cent), stage of water exchange and amount of water (78.48 per cent), methods of harvesting (77.84 per cent), weight of fish should at the time of harvesting (76.58 per cent), desirable colour (75.31 per cent), time of harvesting (75.31 per cent), type of aeration used for pond (75.00 per cent), ideal temperature of pond (74.05 per cent), time and quantity applied of fertilizers and manures (73.50 per cent), ideal depth of pond (72.15 per cent),

composition of ingredients in fish pond (71.25 per cent), after fertilizers application pond ready for fish seed (71.25 per cent), fish species and ratio (70.88 per cent), control of predators and undesirable fish fauna (70.00 per cent), methods of removing the weeds (69.00 per cent), recommended pH of pond (66.45 per cent), periodic netting to check to growth and health of fish (61.80 per cent), benefits of aeration (61.00 per cent), treatment of disease (60.12 per cent) and desirable C/N ratio (56.96 per cent).

Table-1 Distribution of beneficiaries according to their level of adoption about inland aquaculture

n= 158

| Sr. No. | Practices | Adopters (F) | Per cent | |
|---------|--|--------------|----------|--|
| | Pre-Stocking | | | |
| | Soil and water management | | | |
| 1 | Soil composition | 130 | 82.27 | |
| | , | | | |
| 2 | Desirable C/N ratio | 90 | 56.96 | |
| 3 | Desirable colour of fishery pond | 119 | 75.31 | |
| 4 | Ideal temperature | 117 | 74.05 | |
| 5 | Recommended pH | 105 | 66.45 | |
| 6 | Ideal depth of pond | 114 | 72.15 | |
| 7 | Soil pH maintain | 120 | 79.11 | |
| | General pond management | | | |
| 8 | Methods of removing the weeds | 109 | 69.00 | |
| 9 | Health management practices | 125 | 79.11 | |
| 10 | Control of predators and undesirable fish fauna | 110 | 70.00 | |
| 11 | Fertilizers should applied | 127 | 80.37 | |
| 12 | Time and quantity applied of fertilizers and manures | 116 | 73.50 | |
| 13 | After fertilizers application pond ready for fish seed | 113 | 71.25 | |
| 14 | Fish species and ratio | 112 | 70.88 | |
| | Post stocking management | • | | |
| | Feeding practices | | | |
| 15 | Composition of ingredients in fish pond | 113 | 71.25 | |
| | General pond management | • | | |
| 16 | Quantity of organic manure applied | 137 | 86.00 | |
| 17 | Benefits of aeration | 96 | 61.00 | |
| 18 | Major type of aeration used for pond | 118 | 75.00 | |
| 19 | Periodic netting to check to growth and health of fish | 97 | 61.80 | |
| | Water exchange | • | | |
| 20 | Stage of water exchange and amount of water | 124 | 78.48 | |
| | Disease management | 1 | | |
| 21 | Treatment of disease | 95 | 60.12 | |
| | Harvesting | | | |
| 22 | Methods of harvesting | 123 | 77.84 | |
| 23 | Time of harvesting | 119 | 75.31 | |
| 24 | Weight of fish at the time of harvesting | 121 | 76.58 | |

Overall Extent of Adoption Level of Beneficiaries of Inland Aquaculture under RKVY Project

The data regarding overall extent of adoption level of beneficiaries are presented in [Table-2].

Table-2 Distribution of beneficiaries according to their overall adoption level about inland aquaculture

n= 158

| Sr. No. | Categories | Frequency | Percent | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| 1. | Low (< 12) | 27 | 17.00 | |
| 2. | Medium (12 to 16) | 94 | 59.49 | |
| 3. | High (> 16.00) | 37 | 23.51 | |
| | Total | 158 | 100.00 | |
| (Mean= 14.04 / SD= 1.97) | | | | |

The data presented in the [Table-2] shown that three-fifth (59.49 per cent) of the beneficiaries had medium level of adoption about the inland aquaculture, while 23.51 per cent and 17.00 per cent of them high level and low level of adoption about the inland aquaculture, respectively.

This findings similar to the finding by [1 to 5]

Conclusion

This project not only increase adoption of fish culture in village ponds of selected

villages but also created awareness, encouraged and built up the confidence among the surrounding more than 30 villages and as a result about 40 ponds now are actively engaged in fish farming. On the basis of above finding it can be concluded that high percentage of beneficiaries had correct extent of adoption regarding quantity of organic manure applied, soil composition, fertilizers should applied, health management practices, stage of water exchange and amount of water, methods of harvesting and majority of the beneficiaries had medium to high level of overall adoption regarding inland aquaculture farming.

Conflict of Interest: None declared

References

- [1] Meena M.L., Singh H.C., Dudi A., Ajay Kumar and Chauhan S. (2011) *Ind. Res. J. Extn. Edu.*, 11(1), 39-43.
- [2] Sakib M.H. and Afrad M.S.I. (2014) International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research, 3 (2), 414-421.
- [3] Singh S.Y., Santhakumar R., Pandey D.K., Bharati H. and DebRoy P. (2012) Ind. Res. J. Extn. Edu., 12(1), 36-38.
- [4] Sharma H., Talukdar R.K. and Mishra P. (2013) *Ind. Res. J. Extn. Edu.*, 13(2), 35-38.
- [5] Khatun S.I., Adhikary R.K., Rahman I.M., Azim Sikder M.N. and Belal Hossain B. (2013) *Int. J. Life Sc. Bt & Pharm. Res.*, 2(1), 1-12.