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Abstract- Transliteration is mapping of pronunciation and articulation of words written in one script into another script. Transliteration should not be confused with translation, which involves a change in language while preserving meaning. CLIR is the acronym of a great variety of techniques, systems and technologies that associate information retrieval (normally from texts) in multilingual environments. Dictionaries have often been used for query translation in cross language information retrieval (CLIR). However, we are faced with the problem of translating Names and Technical Terms from English to Kannada/Telegu. The most important query words in information retrieval are often proper names. We present a method for automatically learning a transliteration model from a sample of name pairs in two languages.
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Introduction
India is a country with 22 official languages and use of computers is fast spreading not only to create employment in the IT sector but also to support productive use of IT in daily life - increase productivity and competitiveness, provide better quality of life, enable inclusiveness and strengthen democracy. Ability of different sections of people to use computers (and increasingly text and data over mobile phones) demand that the Basic Information Processing Kit for Indian languages is constantly upgraded for various hardware and software platforms, new tools added and work promoted with developers, ISVs and System Integrators and application developers to enable/support Indian languages use in different sectors/verticals. And increasingly, Indian language content in Digital form has to be created and supported for applications to be supported and reach a critical mass.

Cross-Language Information Retrieval (CLIR) is a special case of information retrieval in which retrieval is not restricted to the query language itself but extends to all languages supported by the system. CLIR [14] deals with the problem of issuing a query in one language and retrieving relevant information in other languages. It aims to help the user in finding relevant information without being limited by linguistic barriers. Proper names in general are very important in text. Since news stories especially revolve around people, places, or organizations, proper names play a major role in helping one distinguish between a general event (like a war) and a specific event.

Proper names in English are spelled in various ways. Despite the existence of one or more standard forms for someone’s name, it is common to find variations in transliterations of that name in different source texts, such as Goutham vs. Goutam. The problem is more pronounced when dealing with non-English names or when dealing with spellings by non-native speakers.

One possible method to generate transliteration is based on the use of dictionaries, which contains words in source language and their possible transliterated forms in target language. However, this is not a practical solution since proper nouns and technical terms, which are frequently transliterated, usually have rich productivity [1]. This paper discusses another approach based on machine learning to automate the process of machine transliteration.

Transliteration
The Language transliteration is one of the important area in natural language processing. Machine Transliteration is the conversion of a character or word from one language to another without losing its phonological characteristics. It is an orthographical and phonetic converting process. Therefore, both grapheme and phoneme information should be considered. Accurate transliteration of named entities plays an important role in the performance of machine translation and cross-language information retrieval processes. The transliteration model must be design in such a way that the phonetic structure of words should be preserve as closely as possible. The overall machine transliteration system architecture as
The proposed transliteration model can be applied to the tasks of the extraction of bilingual name and transliteration pairs. These tasks become more challenging for language pairs with different sound systems, we focus on the extraction of English-Kannada/Telugu name and transliteration pairs. However, the proposed framework is easily extendable to other language pairs.

For the purpose of extracting name and transliteration pairs from parallel corpora, a sentence alignment procedure is applied first to align parallel texts at the sentence level. Then, we use a part of speech tagger to identify proper nouns in the source text. After that, the machine transliteration model is applied to isolate the transliteration in the target text. In general, the proposed transliteration model can be further augmented by linguistic processing, which will be described in more detail in the next subsection. The overall process is summarized in “Fig. (1)”.

Preprocessing: This is the first layer of the proposed model which gets an English word as input and simplifies the word by performing some pre-processing steps. At the first step, an English word goes through schwa deletion algorithm.

Transliteration module: The transliteration system is trained from the lists of proper names in English and Kannada by using GIZA++ [11], an extension of GIZA, which determines the translation probability. Training is done with the help of 3800 names in the both English and Kannada in tokenized form.

Post Processing: An input in target language that is Kannada language from last unit is forwarded to the post processing unit where some post processing tasks are applied to it. Post processing tasks further improve the results using various transliteration rules.

comparative Analysis of English And Kannada languages

English is a West Germanic language that arose in the Anglo-Saxon kingdoms of England. It is one of six official languages of the United Nations. India is one of the countries where English is spoken as a second language. There are 21 consonant letters in English. These are B, C, D, F, G, H, J, K, L, M, N, P, Q, R, S, T, V, W, X, and Z. The rest of the letters of the alphabet are called vowels. The vowels are: A, E, I, O, U. As defined in the Constitution of India, English is one of the two official languages of communication (Hindi being the other) for India’s federal government and is one of the 22 scheduled languages specified in the Eighth Schedule to the Constitution. A working knowledge of English has become a requirement in a number of fields, occupations and professions such as medicine and computing; as a consequence over a billion people speak English to at least a basic level [4].

Kannada or Canarese is a language spoken in India predominantly in the state of Karnataka, Making it the 25th most spoken language in the world. It has given birth to so many Indian languages like, Tulu, Kodava etc and one of the scheduled languages of India and the official and administrative language of the state of Karnataka [5]. Telugu is also one of the widely spoken languages in India especially in the state of Andhra Pradesh and the district of Yanam. The number of consonants and vowels in Baraha Kannada/Telugu script as given in “Fig. (2)”. Both Kannada and Telugu use the “UTF-8” / western windows encode and draw their vocabulary mainly from Sanskrit.
Problems in Machine Transliteration From English To Kannada

Transliteration is difficult in both English and Kannada languages due to following reasons:
- **Character Gap**: The number of characters in both English and Kannada, character sets varies in both the language that makes the transliteration process difficult. The numbers of vowels are 5 and 14 and numbers of consonants are 21 and 35 in both English and Kannada, respectively as explained earlier. So there is character gap in both the languages that leads to problems in transliteration process.

- **One-to-Multi mapping Problem**: In this problem, single character in one script transform to multiple characters in another script. The Multi-mapping Problem is associated with following characters as shown in Table 1. For example, character 'd' in English language can be transliterated into two characters in Kannada language. Some algorithm is required to select the appropriate character at different situations. Like in technical term 'bit', two transliterations are possible but only second one is correct and first should be discarded by the system.

- **Multi-to-One map problem**: Here multiple characters in one character set leads to single character in another character set. This problem makes transliteration process difficult. This type of problem is associated with characters shown in Table 2.

- **‘Double occurrence’ of certain Characters**: This is similar to above explained problem. Here again two characters are clustered and mapped to single character in target language but clusters are made with ‘double occurrence’ of certain Characters in English language as shown in Table V. For example, ‘oo’ in word ‘cool’ are clustered to make one unit because they represent single character in target language. This type of clustering is associated with the following combination of characters.

- **Schwa sound**: Schwa deletion is an important issue in grapheme-to-phoneme conversion for Indo-Aryan languages. Schwa is defined as the mid-central vowel that occurs in unstressed syllables [9]. Simple observation of Hindi words [7] provides certain information where schwa is retained and certain contexts where it is deleted without any exception. For example, name ‘sapna’ is transliterated into ‘sappa’ whereby ‘a’ in between character ‘s’ and ‘p’ is deleted and ‘a’ after character ‘n’ is retained. To find out when to retain schwa sound is not a trivial task.

- **Multiple representation of same word**: There can be multiple ways to write a source language word into target language. For example, for person name ‘Rabindranath’
Tagore', two representations among various transliterations in target Kannada language are 'कल्पना' जो कोई ना 'कल्पना' जो कोई 'कल्पना' जो कोई. Choosing the correct one is again depends upon the perception of end user.

Experiments
In this section, we focus on the setup for the experiments and a performance evaluation of the proposed model applied to extract bilingual word pairs from parallel corpora.

Experimental Setup
Several corpora were collected to estimate the parameters of the proposed models and to evaluate the performance of the proposed approach. The corpus BUBShabdaSagara-2011[14] for training consisted of 3,500 pairs of English names and transliterations in Kannada and Telugu. The training corpus composed of a bilingual proper name list. The bilingual proper name list consists of first names, last names, and nicknames. For example, (Kalpna, कल्पना, कल्पना) and (vishwa, विश्वा, विश्वा) are first names, (khatriki, खत्रीकी, खत्रीकी) and (Rao, राव, राव) are last names, and (pinko, पिंको, पिंको) and (chakul, चकुल, चकुल) are nicknames, for males and females, respectively. Some first names are also used as last names. For instance, “Reddy” can be either a first name or a last name.

All the experiments carried out here involve the same set of English queries and the same query expansion, translation and retrieval method. The only difference between the experimental conditions is in what dictionaries are used in the query translation. Some samples from the training set BUBShabdaSagara-2011 as shown in Table 3.

In the experiment, we dealt with personal and place names as well as their transliterations from the parallel corpora. The performance of transliteration extraction was evaluated based on the precision rates of transliteration words or characters. For simplicity, we considered each proper name in the source sentence in turn and determined its corresponding transliteration independently.

Software
We perform experiment in Linux environment. The following sections describe briefly the software that was used during the project.

MOSES: Moses is a statistical machine translation system that allows to automatically train translation models for any language pair. Only translated texts (parallel corpus) is needed. An efficient search algorithm finds quickly the highest probability translation among the exponential number of choices [13].

GIZA++: GIZA++ is an extension of the program GIZA which was developed by the Statistical Machine Translation team during the summer workshop in 1999 at the Center for Language and Speech Processing at Johns-Hopkins University [12]. GIZA++ is a program for aligning words and sequences of words in sentence aligned corpus. We used it to do character alignment of word-aligned pairs.

Table-3 - Some samples from the training set BUBShabdaSagara-2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Word</th>
<th>Target Word in Kannada</th>
<th>Target Word in Telugu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aabha</td>
<td>आभा</td>
<td>आभा</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aabharsh</td>
<td>आभार्ष</td>
<td>आभार्ष</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aadesh</td>
<td>आधेश</td>
<td>आधेश</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boodal</td>
<td>बूदल</td>
<td>बूदल</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodhad</td>
<td>बोधद</td>
<td>बोधद</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chinta</td>
<td>चिंता</td>
<td>चिंता</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>otrugupata</td>
<td>ओट्रुगुपता</td>
<td>ओट्रुगुपता</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>damoder</td>
<td>दामोडर</td>
<td>दामोडर</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dakshi</td>
<td>दक्षि</td>
<td>दक्षि</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exalinga</td>
<td>इक्सलिंगा</td>
<td>इक्सलिंगा</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mAftrula</td>
<td>मअफ्तूला</td>
<td>मअफ्तूला</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhulika</td>
<td>मधुलिका</td>
<td>मधुलिका</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shalla store</td>
<td>शल्ला स्टोर</td>
<td>शल्ला स्टोर</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tapit</td>
<td>तपित</td>
<td>तपित</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ujaysri</td>
<td>उजयस्री</td>
<td>उजयस्री</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vineela</td>
<td>वीनेला</td>
<td>वीनेला</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuki</td>
<td>युकी</td>
<td>युकी</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SRILM: SRILM is a toolkit for building and applying statistical language models (LMs), primarily for use in speech recognition, statistical tagging and segmentation. SRILM is used by Moses to build statistical language models [10].

Evaluation Metric
In the experiment, the performance of transliteration extraction was evaluated based on precision and recall rates at the word and character levels. Since we considered exactly one proper name in the source language and one transliteration in the target language at a time, the word recall rates were same as the word precision rates:
The character level recall and precision rates were defined as follows:

\[
\text{Word Precision (WP)} = \frac{\text{number of correctly extracted word}}{\text{number of correct words}}
\]

\[
\text{Character Precision (CP)} = \frac{\text{number of correctly extracted Characters}}{\text{number of correct Characters}}
\]

\[
\text{Character Recall (CR)} = \frac{\text{number of correctly extracted Characters}}{\text{number of correct Characters}}
\]

Results

Cross Language Information Retrieval Tool is built by using the ASP.NET as front end and for a Database the Kannada is encrypted by using the Encoding system. The sample result as shown in “Fig. (5).”

![Sample Result](image)

Table 4 - The average rates of transliterated word extraction for overall corpora

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Desc</th>
<th>WP</th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>CR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KANNADA</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>96.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TELUGU</td>
<td>85.09%</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

We presented our English-Kannada and English-Telugu CLIR system developed for the Ad-Hoc bilingual Task. Our approach is based on query Translation using bilingual dictionaries. A new statistical modeling approach to the machine transliteration problem has been presented in this paper. The parameters of the model are automatically learned from a bilingual proper name list. Moreover, the model is applicable to the extraction of proper names and transliterations. The proposed method can be easily extended to other language pairs that have different sound systems without the assistance of pronunciation dictionaries. Experimental results indicate that high precision and recall rates can be achieved by the proposed method.
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