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#### Abstract

A cellobiohydrolase gene cbh1 was cloned from a cellulolytic fungus, Penicillum funiculosum NCL1. Nucleotide sequencing of cbh1 gene revealed that this gene was 1590 bp length encoding a putative protein consisting of 529 amino acids. The deduced amino acid sequence showed that the predicted molecular mass of the CBHI was 54.9 kDa , and showed significant homology to glycoside hydrolase family 7 cellobiohydrolases. The cbh1 gene was cloned using pET30b and expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3). The expression analysis of the recombinant E. coli BL21 (pETC7) revealed the production of cbh1 transcript; however, functional cellobiohydrolase could not be detected. Therefore, the cbh1 gene was sub-cloned into GST tagged expression vector pGEX4t-3. The GST tagged cellobiohydrolase was purified to homogeneity using affinity chromatography. The recombinant enzyme exhibited optimum catalytic activity at pH 5.0 and $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively. It was thermostable at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and retained $70 \%$ of its original activity after 30 min at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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## Introduction

Plant biomass is considered as one of the most essential source of renewable carbon and it consists of cellulose ( $40-55 \%$ ), hemicellulose ( $25-50 \%$ ) and lignin (10-40\%) [39]. Among them, cellulose serves as the most abundant and renewable biopolymer on earth and its degradation by cellulases, followed by subsequent utilizations are important for global carbon sources [12]. The degradation of cellulosic materials has gained intense research and industrial interest due to its immense potential for converting cellulose to simple sugars [20]. Cellulolysis catalyzed by cellulases represents a major carbon flow from fixed carbon sinks to atmospheric carbon and it is highly warranted in the field of agricultural and wastewater treatment sectors and it can also be used to produce biobased products to replace the depleting fossil fuel [1, 13] The microbial degradation of cellulose is achieved by cleaving of $\beta-1,4$ - glycosidic bonds between the $\beta$-D- glucose units by different enzymes. These enzymes have been grouped into 14 different families of glycoside hydrolases [5]. The cellulases are classified into endo and exoglucanases based on their mode of action. Initially, endoglucanases, (END) (E.C. 3.2.1.4) acts randomly and cleave the exposed positions of the cellulose chain in the amorphous regions to create new ends followed by the action of exoglucanases, also called as
cellobiohydrolases (E.C. 3.2.1.91), which degrade the polymeric chain of the cellulose either at the reducing or non reducing ends and produce cellobiose as final product. Finally, $\beta$ - glucosidase completes the hydrolysis process by degrading cellobiose to glucose [42]. Endoglucanases and cellobiohydrolases can be easily distinguished by their substrate specificity. Endoglucanase shows high specificity towards soluble cellulose derivatives, such as CMC and very low specificity towards microcrystalline cellulose, whereas cellobiohydrolases (CBH) are important component of the multienzyme cellulase complex which show relatively higher specificity towards microcrystalline cellulose and responsible for the conversion of cellulose to soluble sugars. The synergistic action of both enzymes is required for complete conversion of cellulose to simple sugars [43]. Glycoside hydrolases family 7 (GH7) contain both CBH and END types of enzymes. CBHI consists of a family GH7 catalytic module and a family GH1 carbohydrate-binding module (TrCBM1) connected by a proline- and threonine-rich, highly glycosylated linker peptide. The structure of CBH differs from END by loops of polypeptide chain covering the active site residue converting the active site cleft of the END into the characteristic tunnel of the CBH. The CBH belongs to three different glycoside hydrolase families namely GH6,

GH7 and GH48. The importance of two cellobiohydrolases, CBH1 and CBHII has been realized for their preferences to act on the reducing and nonreducing ends of cellulose chains of microcrystalline cellulose [14, 29, 32] In fungi, the presence of CBH1 is needed for ordered degradation of cellulose, which requires all three structural modules of the cellulase i.e., the CD, C-terminal CBD, and linker region [33].
The fungus Penicillium funiculosum is a filamentous fungus an efficient producer of cellulase [34] [27]. The $P$. funiculosum have been reported to produce high amount cellulases such as CMCase ( $13-15 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), pNPGase (10$12 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and cellobiase ( $7.5 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). Although improved strains of $P$. funiculosum have been isolated for overproduction of native enzymes, no genetically modified strains has been reported. Genes coding cellobiohydrolase GH7 have been cloned and characterized from a variety of fungal sources, including Humicola grisea [41], Trichoderma reesei [38,35], Penicillium janthinellum [21], P. chrysosporium [6, 9] and Aspergillus sp. $(4,40)$. Here, we report the cloning and expression of cbh1 gene from P. funiculosum NCL1.

## Materials and Method Strains, plasmids and media

Penicillium funiculosum NCL1 was obtained from National Chemical Laboratory, Pune, India. Stock cultures were kept on potato dextrose agar and subcultured monthly. P. funiculosum NCL1 spores were inoculated in Reese Basal medium as described by [28] at a final concentration of $10^{8} \mathrm{spores} / \mathrm{ml}$. Flasks were incubated in an orbital shaker ( 220 rpm ) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h. The mycelia were recovered by filtration on a nylon filter ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ spore) washed with $0.9 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{NaCl}$ and dried by pressing between two filter papers.
Restriction enzymes, T4 DNA ligase, Taq DNA polymerase were from MBI Fermentas (Opelstrasse, Germany). Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study and their sources are listed in Table I. E. coli DH5a (Invitrogen, CA, USA), E. coli BL21 (DE3) (Novagen, USA) were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth or agar (HiMedia).Whenever needed, the medium was supplemented with ampicillin ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ ), Kanamycin $\left(30 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}\right)$. The plasmids pTZ57R/T (MBI Fermentas, Opelstrasse, Germany), pET30b (Novagen) and pGEX4t-3 (Gift from Dr. Takashi Akiyama National Agricultural Research Center, Japan) were used in cloning and expression study. The primers used in this study are listed in Table -II.

## DNA manipulations

Plasmid DNA was isolated from E. coli using a QIA prep spin plasmid preparation kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instruction. All restriction enzymes were purchased from MBI Fermentas (Opelstrasse, Germany). Agarose gel electrophoresis was performed as described by Sambrook et al (1989). DNA fragments from agarose gels were purified using the GEL extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

PCR was performed in Mastercycler (Eppendorf, Germany) programmed for 30 cycles with one cycle of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation ( 60 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), annealing ( 60 s at $50-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and extension ( 60 s at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), with a final extension of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min. For analysis, $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of reaction product was electrophoresed on $1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ).

## Cloning and sequencing of cbh1 gene

Genomic DNA was isolated from the mycelia of $P$. funiculosum NCL1 using the method described by Murray and Thompson (1976). The DNA was resolved on agarose gel and was purified using gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer's instruction. The cbh1 gene was amplified from the genomic DNA using degenerate primers cbh7F and cbh7R, designed based on the conserved amino acid sequences of the corresponding GH families. The PCR product was then resolved on agarose gel and then purified. The purified PCR product was ligated in to pTZ57R/T and was used to transform E. coli DH5a. Both the strands of the cloned gene was completely sequenced using M13 primers (Macrogen, Seoul, Korea)

## Expression of cbh1 gene in E. coli

The cbh1 gene was PCR amplified without the leader sequence from the chromosomal DNA of $P$. funiculosum NCL1 using the forward primers pETcbh7F, pG7F and reverse primers $\mathrm{pETcbh} 7 \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pG7R}$. The cbh7 amplified product was digested with Ndel and Xhol restriction enzymes and ligated in to pET 30 b under the control of T7 promotor. Similarly, the pG7 amplified product was digested with BamHI and Notl and ligated with pGEX4t-3 digested with the same restriction enzymes. The recombinant plasmids were then used to transform $E$. coli BL21 (DE3) and the expression of cloned gene was induced with 0.4 mM of IPTG (Isopropyl- $\beta$-D-thio galacto pyranoside). The induced cells were harvested by centrifugation at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min at $12,000 \mathrm{Xg}$ and washed with 50 mM sodium acetate-buffer ( pH 4.8 ). The cells were then disrupted by sonication (five times for 30 s with 30 s interval) (Labsonic U, Germany), and centrifuged at 12000 Xg for 30 min . The pellet was rinsed with 50 mM Sodium acetate buffer ( pH 4.8 ) and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. Both the soluble and pellet fractions were analyzed for CBH1 activity.

## Enzyme assays

Cellobiohydrolase activity was measured by using avicel as substrate. One ml of the reaction mixture containing 0.5 ml of appropriately diluted enzyme and 0.5 ml of $1 \%$ avicel in 50 mM acetate buffer pH 4.8 was incubated at $55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After incubation, the reaction was terminated by addition of 1 ml DNS [30]. The absorbance was measured at 540 nm after dilution with 10 ml of distilled water. The reducing sugar released was estimated as glucose equivalents by DNS method. CBH activity was expressed as $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ ( $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ of reducing sugar
formed per min) [28]. Protein concentration in the sample was measured by the Bradford method [3].

## SDS-PAGE and zymogram staining

The proteins were resolved on SDS-PAGE ( $12 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) according to Laemmli (1970). The gel was stained with Coomassie brilliant blue R-250.The molecular mass of protein was determined in comparison with the mobility of the protein molecular weight markers (Amersham Biosciences, UK). For activity staining, the cellular proteins of recombinant strains were resolved on $12 \%$ polyacrylamide gel containing $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ Methyl-Umbelliferyl-Cellobioside. After electrophoresis, the gel was immersed in 50 mM acetate buffer for 30 min and the gel was visualized under UV for detecting proteins exhibiting cellobiohydrolase activity.

## Bioinformatics analysis

Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences were analyzed with the Blast tools (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). Signal peptide sequence was analyzed using SignalP 3.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP). Related sequences obtained from databases using the software GENSCAN online tool (www.genes.mit.edu/GENSCAN.html) were used for identification of gene features such as exon and splice sites in genomic DNA. BioEdit (version 7.0.4.1) was used for sequence editing and analysis. The structure of cbh7 was predicted using I-TASSER server (http//zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/l-TASSER) and the predicted structure was validated using protein structure validation software suite (PSVS) tool [2]. Molecular simulation of substrate Avicel with CBHI was carried out with the help of docking server using the Lamarckian genetic algorithm (www.dockingserver.com).

## RT-PCR

Fresh LB kanamycin medium was inoculated with $1 \%$ of the overnight culture of recombinant E. coli BL21 and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until culture reaches $0.5 \mathrm{OD}_{600 \mathrm{~nm} \text {. The }}$ culture was induced with different concentrations of IPTG ( 10 to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). After 6 h of induction, RNA was isolated. One step RT-PCR was performed to synthesis cDNA (Invitrogen, USA). The cbh1 gene was amplified from the cDNA using gene specific primers.

## Purification of recombinant protein

The recombinant $E$. coli BL21 was grown in LB medium for 24 h . After incubation, the cells were collected by centrifugation ( $5,000 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{g}$ for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and supernatant were concentrated by ammonium sulphate precipitation and dialyzed. The recombinant cellobiohydrolase was purified using Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ). The purification of GST-tagged protein from the culture supernatant was performed using packed with Glutathione Sepharose GST-trap column (GE Healthcare Biosciences, USA) affinity chromatography. The culture supernatant was loaded on to 5 ml GST Hi-trap
column equilibrated with 10 column volume of binding buffer (PBS; $140 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2.7 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$, $1.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 7.3$. The column was washed with the same binding buffer at a flow rate of $0.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ for 30 min to remove the unbound proteins and subsequently bound protein was eluted with elution buffer containing ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ reduced glutathione, pH 8.0 ) at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. To cleave the GST-tag from the recombinant protein thrombin (a serine protease) was used. The purified recombinant protein was digested with thrombin for 12 h at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to remove the GST region and then digested recombinant protein was loaded on to Hi-trap Benzamidine Fast Flow column chromatography. Fractions containing CBH activity were pooled and concentrated using Speed Vac concentrator (Thermo Scientific, Northridge, USA). The concentrate was dialyzed against 50 mM sodium acetate buffer ( pH 4.8 ) for 4 h . Molecular mass and purity of the enzyme was confirmed by SDS-PAGE analysis.

## Effect of pH and temperature on CBHI

The effect of pH on the CBHI activity was determined by measuring the relative activity at different pH with sodium citrate buffer ( $100 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 3-4.5$ ), sodium acetate ( $100 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 4.5-5.5$ ), and sodium phosphate ( $100 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 5.5-8.0$ ) and sodium carbonate ( $8.0-9.0$ ). The effect of temperature on the reaction rate was determined by performing the standard reaction at different temperature from 30 to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The maximum activity was considered as $100 \%$, and used as reference in determining relative activities.
The stability as a function of pH was determined by measuring their residual activity after 1 h of incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at each pH . Thermostability of CBHI was determined by incubating the enzymes at different temperatures $\left(30-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 30 min . The residual activity was assayed at optimal pH 5 and temperature 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Substrate Specificity

The substrate specificity of CBHI was determined by using, $p$-nitrophenyl- $\beta$-D-glucopyranoside (pNPG) and pNP- $\beta$-D-cellobiose (pNPC). CBH activities on polysaccharides such as, carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC), xylan, Avicel, Whatman filter paper were also tested. The $p$-nitrophenol released was determined under standard assay conditions. The activity on polysaccharides was estimated by assaying the amount of released glucose using the GOD-POD method [26]. The enzyme activity on polysaccharides was estimated by measuring released reducing sugars by the DNS method using glucose as standard.

## Effects of metals and reagents

The effects of various metal ions ( $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MnCl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ ) and reagents (2mercaptoethanol and cysteine) at 1 mM on CBH activity were determined by preincubating the enzyme with the reagents in 20 mM sodium acetate buffer ( pH 5.0 ) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Activity was then measured at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min in the presence of the metal ions or reagents.

The activity assayed in the absence of metal ions or reagents was recorded as $100 \%$.

## Determination of kinetic parameters

The Michaelis constant (Km) and the maximum velocity (Vmax) of CBHI were determined by carrying out the reactions with Avicel ( 0.5 to 50 mM ) in 100 mM sodium acetate buffer ( pH 5 ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Km and Vmax values were determined from Lineweaver-Burk plots using standard linear regression analysis.

## Results

## Cloning of cbh1 gene from $P$. funiculosum NCL1

Using P. funiculosum NCL1 genomic DNA as template, partial sequence of $c b h$ gene was amplified with Cbh7F and Cbh7R primers. An expected amplicon of cbh was eluted from the gel and cloned in to $\mathrm{pTZ57R} / \mathrm{T}$ vector. Both the strands of the insert in this plasmid were sequenced. The sequence exhibited homology towards the sequences of other fungal GH7 cellobiohydrolase in the GenBank and EMBL databases. To amplify the DNA fragments upstream and downstream of GH7 gene of $P$. funiculosum, were designed based on the sequence of GH7 partial gene and PCR amplified using the primers ORFcbh7F \& ORFcbh7R and cloned into pTZ57RT. Nucleotide sequencing of cbh gene revealed that this gene was 1590 bp length encoding a putative protein consisting of 529 amino acids. This CBH had an estimated molecular mass of 54.9 kDa and had signal sequence with 23 amino acids as predicted by the signalP. Multiple sequence alignment of this CBH with other known cellobiohydrolase sequences showed 99\% identity with CBHI of $P$. occitanis (Fig 1). At the N terminus, $P$. funiculosum CBHI consist of catalytic domain, the serine -theronine rich "hinge domain from Ser ${ }^{444}$ to Ser ${ }^{490}$ and cellulose binding domain from Gly ${ }^{491}$ to Leu ${ }^{530}$. The catalytic domain (50-60\% identity) and the cellulose binding domain (35-70\% identity) were well conserved among the CBHI, which showed that the $P$. funiculosum CBHI belongs to family GH7.

## Expression of cbh1 in E. coli

The cbh1 gene without signal sequence was amplified and cloned in to expression vector PET 30 b and the resultant recombinant plasmid was designated as pETC7. The cbh1 gene was expressed using T7 promotor in the recombinant $E$. coli BL21 (pETC7). Induction with different concentration of IPTG showed no new protein in either intracellular or extracellular fraction of the recombinant strain. However, transcript analysis revealed the expression of cbh1 gene specific transcript upon induction. Therefore, cbh1 was sub-cloned in another vector pGEX4t-3 and the resultant recombinant plasmid was transferred to $E$. coli BL21 (DE3). The cloning of the cbh1 in-frame with the GST tag allowed the formation of cloned gene product as GST fusion protein. The expression of the cbh1 gene was inducible with IPTG as this gene was cloned downstream to the tac promoter. Upon induction, the E. coli BL21 (DE3) harboring the recombinant plasmid expressed the cbh1
gene as a fusion protein with the glutathione-Stransferase ( 80.9 kDa ). The intracellular fraction of the recombinant strain showed $1.6 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ of CBHI activity. Further, resolving proteins of the cellular fraction on SDS-PAGE, a new protein appeared corresponding to the expected size of 80.9 kDa with the GST tag. The recombinant protein from E. coli BL21 (DE3) was then purified using GST trap column and was resolved on SDS-PAGE (Fig 3). The purified protein exhibited maximum activity of $3.57 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mg}$. The recombinant CBHI showed activity towards the MUC in zymogram.

## Effect of pH and temperature

The effect of different pHs and temperature on CBHI activity was determined with Avicel as substrate. The purified CBHI was incubated at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h at different $\mathrm{pH}(3-10)$. The results showed the optimum pH for the CBHI was 5.0 , with $95 \%$ and $90 \%$ of the maximum activity appearing at pH 4.5 and 5.5 respectively. An acidic pH optimum and maximal activity at about pH 4.5 are common features of similar CBH from diverse microbial organism. The isoelectric point pl , was determined to be 4.62 , which is typical for extracellular CBHs. The optimum temperature for the hydrolysis reaction was $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while $75 \%$ and $95 \%$ of the maximum activity was found at 45 and $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively (Fig 4).

## Substrate Specificity and Kinetic parameters

The activities of $P$. funiculosum CBHI with various substrates are shown in Table III. This CBHI showed maximum activity on pNPC (pNP- $\beta$-D-cellobioside) but showed only $0.2 \%, 62.2 \%$ and $75.8 \%$ activity on pNPG, Avicel, and filter paper respectively. Km value of CBHI of $P$. funiculosum was found to be 0.9 mM pNPC . CBHI did not show any activity on xylan.

## Effect of metal ions and various compounds

The effects of metal ions and other additives on the CBHI activity were examined and the results are presented in Table IV. Among several metal ions examined, only $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ stimulated the CBHI activity significantly. $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}, \mathrm{BaCl}_{2}, \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ did not affect the CBHI activity.

## Modeling and docking

Homology modeling was carried out using SWISSMODEL software and $T$. emersonii cel7 (IQ9H) as a template to predict the structural characteristics of $P$. funiculosum CBHI. The Ramachandran plot showed that $90.9 \%(479 / 527)$ of all residues were in favoured ( $98 \%$ ) regions. The quality of the predicted structure of CBHI was validated using the protein structure validation software suite (PSVS). Ramachandran plot obtained for CBHI indicated that $90.1 \%$ of the amino acids had favourable regions (Fig 2). A, B and L indicate the most favoured amino acid conformations (81.1\%), while $a, b$ and I indicate unfavourable conformations. $\sim a, \sim b, \sim 1$ and $\sim p$ denote generously allowed amino acid conformations (1.3\%). Molecular
simulation of the CBHI with substrates Avicel and CMC using docking studies revealed that, the CBHI was able interact with 12 different amino acids i.e ASN: 62, TRP: 63, ARG: 129, TYR:167, ASP: 195, GLN: 197, PRO: 199, ASP: 201, GLU: 234, TYR: 269, SER: 394, TRP: 396 for the substrate Avicel and 8 different amino acid residues i.e., TRP: 143, PRO: 230, ASP: 231, ASP: 273, ALA: 314, ASN: 315, TRP: 377, LYS: 405 for the substrate CMC with an electrostatic energy of -0.15 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for Avicel and $-0.48 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for CMC and intermolecular energy of-6.28 kcal/mol for avicel and $4.95 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for CMC with an electrostatic energy of $0.15 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and intermolecular energy of -6.28 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

## Sequence submission

The nucleotide sequence of the cbh1 gene of $P$. funiculosum NCL1 was deposited in the GenBank database under the accession number HQ615690.

## Discussion

The cellobiohydrolases (CBH) of family GH-7 are found exclusively in eukaryotic organisms. Of these, filamentous fungi seem to be the major producer of this class of enzymes. The purification and properties of CBH from several filamentous fungi have been reported but there are no reports on the genes encoding CBH from $P$. funiculosum. Therefore, cbh gene of $P$. funiculosum was cloned and expressed; further, the gene product was purified and characterized. The molecular mass of CBHI from P. chrysogenum FS010 and Penicillium occitanis was approximately $50 \mathrm{kDa}[7,45]$. The deduced amino acid sequence of $P$. funiculosum CBHI to those of other fungal CBHIs showed around $99 \%$ identity with CBHI of P. occitanis and $98 \%$ with XynA, xylanase/cellobiohydrolase of $P$. funiculosum. The multiple sequence alignment of deduced amino acid sequence of $P$. funiculosum CBH with other fungal CBH revealed that $P$. funiculosum consist of catalytic domain, the serine-theronine rich "hinge domain from Ser ${ }^{444}$ to Ser ${ }^{490}$ and cellulose binding domain from Gly ${ }^{491}$ to leu ${ }^{530}$ .The catalytic domain ( $50-60 \%$ identity) and the cellulose binding domain ( $35-70 \%$ identity) was well conserved among the CBHI, which showed that, the P. funiculosum CBHI belongs to GH7 [15]. The 3D structure of the C terminal domain of CBHI from $T$. reesei was determined by NMR spectroscopy, which revealed the amino acid residues essential for cellulose-CBM interaction. The three aromatic residues (Tyr524, Tyr525, Tyr ${ }^{498 \text { ) within the }}$ cellulose binding domain and the side chains of the three amino acids (Gln ${ }^{500}$, Asn ${ }^{522}$, $G / n^{527}$ ) which stabilizes the cellulose-CBM interaction conserved among the CBHI cellulases were also found to be present in $P$. funiculosum NCL1. A deduced signal peptide was found in the N-terminus from (Met to $\mathrm{Gl}^{27}$ ). The family GH 7 enzymes were characterized by 22 conserved cysteine residues and two glutamic acid residues in their catalytic site which were also found to be conserved in $P$. funiculosum NCL1, suggesting the enzyme as CBHI type.

To elucidate the structure of CBH and to study their interactions with Avicel, 3-D model of CBHI was constructed on the basis of the X-ray crystallographic structure of cellobiohydrolase from T. emersonii ( 1 Q 9 H ) which shares $72 \%$ identity with $P$. funiculosum. Ramachandran plot of CBHI showed that, $90.9 \%$ (479/527) of all residues of CBH1 were in favoured (98\%) regions suggesting the higher stability of the predicted structure. 1Q9H is a single-domain protein consists of $\beta$-sandwich structure the convex and concave sheets of the $\beta$-sandwich are composed of seven $\beta$ strands and the loops extending from the $\beta$-sandwich forms a tunnel, which runs the length of the concave sheet, into which the cellulose substrate can be accommodated, the $\beta$ sandwich represents the characteristics of Family GH7 [10]. The recombinant $E$. coli BL 21 (pETC7) induced with different concentration of IPTG did not over produce the CBHI. However, transcript analysis of the same recombinant strain revealed the expression of cbh1 gene specific transcript. Similar results were observed with respect to the expression of cbh1 in E. coli [15]. Few other eukaryotic proteins were expressed in $E$. coli and accumulated within the cells as insoluble aggregates [11]. The CBH specific cDNA from P. chrysosporium was cloned and expressed in E. coli using pET cloning and expression system, which required refolding of inactive protein product by in vitro chemical methods to restore its enzymatic activity [17]. In order to eliminate the refolding problems, CBHI was heterologously expressed using glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion system [18]. Similarly cbh1 was sub-cloned into GST tagged vector pGEX4t-3 and expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3). The GST tagged protein facilitated easy purification of the recombinant protein from E. coli BL21 (DE3) using GST trap column. The purified protein exhibited molecular weight of 54 kDa without GST tag in SDS-PAGE with maximum activity of $4.2 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}[44]$. Similarly, the $\beta-1,3-1,4$ glucanase has been also purified as fusion protein using GST trap FF coloumn. The CBHI enzyme showed maximum activity at pH 5 . The optimum pH reported for other fungal CBH range from 4.0 to 6.0 [18]. The optimal temperature for activity was observed at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $99 \%$ and $22 \%$ of the maximum activity at 50 and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively. In $T$. versicolor, the purified CBHI had an optimum pH and temperature of 5.0 and $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively. The enzyme was stable over a wide pH range extending from 3.0 to 9.0 and at temperatures lower than 50 C [19]. It has been reported that $F$. pinicola CBH had an optimum temperature $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 5 [37]. The pH optima of rumen fungal cellulases range from 5.5 to 6.5 and temperature from 40 to $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [25]. The relative rate of hydrolysis of the purified CBHI from P. funiculosum NCL1 against various substrate showed that, the highest activity was observed with pNPC, followed by avicel. In P. purpurogenum, the purified CBH showed broad substrate specificity with maximum activity towards $p$-nitrophenyl $\beta$-D-cellobiopyranoside [22]. The enzyme activity of purified CBHI was measured in the presence of metal ions or other additives, which showed
that $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ efficiently stimulates the enzyme activity than other metal ions. Similarly the F. pinicola cellobiohydrolase activity was not stimulated by $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$, and it was neither inhibited nor activated by EDTA [37] . The Km values of purified CBHs for pNPC from other fungi range from 0.58 to 6.8 Km value while the same of $P$. funiculosum NCL1 was slightly higher value
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Table 1- Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

| Bacterial strain/plasmid | Genotype | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. coli DH5a | fhuA2 $\Delta$ (argF-lacZ)U169 phoA glnV44 Ф80 $\Delta$ (lacZ)M15 gyrA96 recA1 relA1 endA1 thi-1 hsdR17 | Invitrogen, CA, USA |
| E. coli BL21(DE3) | $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ompT gal dcm lon hsdS $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{B}^{-}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \lambda(\mathrm{DE3}$ [lacl lacUV5T7 gene 1 ind1 sam7 nin5]) | Novagen, CA, USA |
| pTZ57R/T | T/A cloning vector, ampr | MBI, Fermentas, Germany |
| pET30b | Expression vector, ampr | Novagen, CA, USA |
| pGEX4t-3 | Expression vector, ampr | Dr .Takashi Akiyama, Japan |
| pTZC7 | pTZ57R/T carrying cbh7 ORF | This study |
| pETC7 | pET30b carrying cbh7 (6.9 kb, kan') | This study |
| pGEC7 | pGEX4t-3 carrying cbh7 ( $6.5 \mathrm{~kb}, \mathrm{amp}$ ) | This study |

Table 2- List of primers used in this study

| Primer | Sequence (5'-3') | Base pair | Tm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cbh7F | 5'- TYGAYKCSAACTGGCSHTGG-3' | 20 | 59.3 |
| Cbh7R | 5'-GCYTCCCARAYRTCCAWYTC-3' | 20 | 59.7 |
| ORFCbh7F | 5'- ATGTCTGCCTTGAACTCTTTCAATA-3' | 25 | 52.8 |
| ORFCbh7R | 5'- TTACAAACATTGAGAGTAGTAAGGG-3' | 25 | 58 |
| petCbh7F | 5'- ACATCATATGCAGCAAATTGGTACTTATACCG -3' | 32 | 59.3 |
| petCbh7R | 5'ATCTCGAGCAAACATTGAGAGTAGTAAGG 3' | 30 | 58.7 |
| pG7F | 5'ACATGGATCCTATGTACAAGAGCGCCCTCATCTTGG3' | 36 | 66.7 |
| pG7R | 5'CATGCGGCCGCTACAAACATTGAGAGTAGTAAGGGT 3' | 36 | 68.3 |

Table 3-Substrate specificity of purified cellobiohydrolase from P. funiculosum NCL1

| Substrates (10 mM) | CBH activity U/mg protein | Relative activity (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| p-Nitrophenyl- $\beta$-D-cellobioside | 3.75 | 100 |
| p-Nitrophenyl- $\beta$-D- | 0.01 | 0.2 |
| glucopyranoside | 0.03 | 0.8 |
| Cellobiose | 2.35 | 62.2 |
| 1\% Avicel | 0.8 | 21.3 |
| 1\% CMC | 0 | 0 |
| 1\% xylan |  |  |

Table 4 -Effect of metal inhibitors on purified cellobiohydrolase from P. funiculosum NCL1

| Metal inhibitors <br> $(10 \mathrm{mM})$ | Relative activity <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$ | 101 |
| $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ | 135 |
| $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ | 110 |
| $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ | 85 |
| $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}$ | 110 |
| $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ | 104 |
| Cellobiose | 100 |
| EDTA | 100 |
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Fig. 1- Protein sequence alignment with ClustalW program of cellobiohydrolases from glycoside hydrolase family 7 Residues identical in all sequences are printed in white on a red background. Residues identical or with a conservative substitution in at least four of the seven sequences are printed in red on a white background. The Tyr side chains of the CBM (Y524, Y525) are shown by blue arrows and the side chains of three amino acids (Q500, N522, and Q527) are shown in brown arrow. The CBM and linker sequences are boxed. Penicillium funiculosum NCL1 CBHI exhibited an identity of 99\%, $98 \%, 74 \%, 91 \%$ and $56 \%$ with Penicillium occitanis CBHI (AAT99321.1), Penicillium funiculosum xyn/cellobiohydrolase) (AJ312295), Talaromyces emersonii (pdb 1Q9H), Penicillium marneffi (XP_002149324.1), Talaromyces stipitatus (XP_002484839) respectively.


Fig. 2- Molecular simulation of CBH7 with substrates Avicel and CMC
Molecular simulation was carried out with the docking server using the Lamarckian genetic algorithm.


Fig. 3- SDS-PAGE and Zymogram analysis of the purified cellobiohydrolase
A) Affinity chromatography purified cellobiohydrolase was resolved on $12 \%$ SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie brilliant blue R-250. Lane 1- purified cellobiohydrolase ( 54.9 kDa ).
B) Zymogram analysis was performed on MUC incorporated separating gel. Lane 2- Zymogram analysis of purified cellobiohydrolase using MUC as substrate. Lane M- Molecular weight markers (from the top): $\beta$-galactosidase (116 kDa ), Bovine serum albumin ( 66.0 kDa ), ovalbumin ( 45.0 kDa ), lactate dehydrogenase ( 35.0 kDa ), REase Bsp981 $(25.0 \mathrm{kDa}), \beta$-lactoglobulin ( 18.0 kDa ) and lysozyme ( 14.0 kDa ).


Fig. 4- Effect of pH and temperature on the activity of cellobiohydrolase
Cellobiohydrolase activity (A) was determined in different buffers ( $\mathrm{pH} 3.0-9.0$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The stability of the enzyme at different pH levels (A) was determined by incubating the enzyme in different buffers for 1 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the residual activity was measured at $\mathrm{pH} 4.8 ; 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C})$. The Cellobiohydrolase activity was measured at different temperatures $\left(30-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)(\mathrm{B})$ at pH 4.8. The purified enzyme was preincubated at different temperatures for 30 min and the residual activity was measured at pH 4.8 and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (D) Relative activity was calculated by considering the maximum activity as $100 \%$.

