

## A FIXED POINT THEOREM IN QUASI SEMI 2- METRIC SPACES

**RAJESH SHRIVASTAVA\*, MANAVI KOHLI**

Govt. Science & Commerce College Benazir, Bhopal (MP)

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: rajeshraju0101@rediffmail.com

Received: September 10, 2011; Accepted: October 07, 2011

**Abstract** -The aim of the present paper is to establish a fixed point theorem in Quasi Semi 2- Metric Spaces introducing  $\Phi'$ - contraction.

**Keywords:** Fixed point, 2- metric spaces, Convergent Sequence, Quasi semi 2- metric space, Contraction mapping,  $\Phi'$ - contraction, Compatibility, Commuting mappings.

### Introduction

Since 1963, when S.Gahler[1,2] initially introduced the concepts of 2- metric spaces there was a spate of papers[4,8,15] dealing with this generalized space. G.Jungck [7] introduced the idea of compatibility. B.E. Rhoades, G.Jungck, S.L. Singh [7,14,10] worked on fixed point theorems for weakly commuting and compatible maps and established several fixed point theorems. Further, the work in Quasi semi 2- metric spaces was extended by M. Telsi, K. Tas [5], S. Romaguera [6], T.L. Hicks [12,13], Naidu [11], Takahashi [9].

A 2- metric space is a space  $X$  in which for each triple of points  $x, y, z$  there exists a real function  $d(x, y, z)$  such that

- (i) to each pair of distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$ , there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that  $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $d(x, y, z) = 0$ , when at least two of  $x, y, z$  are equal,
- (iii)  $d(x, y, z) = d(y, z, x) = d(z, x, y)$ ,
- (iv)  $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, w) + d(x, w, z) + d(w, y, z)$ , for all  $w \in X$ .

It is known that a 2- metric is a non-negative real-valued function. Naidu and Prasad made the following observations:

- (i) A convergent sequence in a 2- metric space need not be Cauchy.
- (ii) In a 2- metric space  $(X, d)$  every convergent sequence is Cauchy if  $d$  is continuous on  $X$ .

### Definition:

[1] *Quasi Semi 2- Metric Space* –

A nonempty set  $X$ , together with a nonnegative function  $d: X^3 \rightarrow R$  is called a quasi semi 2- metric space such that

- (i) to each pair of distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$ , there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that  $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$ .
- (ii)  $d(x, y, z) = 0$ , when at least two of  $x, y, z$  are equal.

[2]  $\Phi'$ - contraction in Quasi Semi 2- Metric Spaces: The set  $\Phi'$  of all real valued functions  $\phi': R_+^3 \rightarrow R_+$  satisfies the following properties:

- (a)  $\phi'(1, 1, 1) = h < 1$ , where  $h \in R_+$ ,
- (b) Let  $u, v \in R_+$  be such that if either  $u \leq \phi'(u, v, v)$

or  $u \leq \phi'(v, u, v)$  or  $u \leq \phi'(v, v, u)$ ,

Then  $u \leq kv$ , for some  $k \in [h, 1)$ .

Analogous to the  $p'$ - contraction by M. Telsi and K.Tas [5], we introduce  $\phi'$ - contraction mappings in quasi semi 2- metric spaces.

### Definition:

A self mapping  $T$  on a quasi semi 2- metric space  $(X, d)$  is called a  $\Phi'$ -contraction, if there exists a map  $\phi' \in \Phi'$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty, a) \leq \phi'(d(x, y, a), d(x, Tx, a), d(y, Ty, a)) \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

### Theorem:

Let  $(X, d)$  be a quasi semi 2- metric space and  $T$  a  $\Phi'$ - contraction. If there exists a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that for all  $a \in X$

$d(x_0, Tx_0, a) \leq \min \{d(x, y) + d(y, Tx, a) : x, y \in X\}$   
then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof:**

Suppose  $x_0 \neq Tx_0$ . We put  $x = x_0, y = Tx_0$  in (1).

Therefore,

$$d(Tx_0, T^2x_0, a) \leq \phi'(d(x_0, Tx_0, a), d(x_0, Tx_0, a), d(Tx_0, T^2x_0, a))$$

So by (b) we obtain

$$d(Tx_0, T^2x_0, a) \leq k \cdot d(x_0, Tx_0, a) \text{ for some } k \in [h, 1)$$

since  $k < 1$ ,

$$\text{we have } d(Tx_0, T^2x_0, a) \leq d(x_0, Tx_0, a)$$

But given that

$$d(x_0, Tx_0, a) \leq \min \{d(x, y) + d(y, Tx, a) : x, y \in X\}$$

is a contradiction.

Hence,  $Tx_0 = x_0$ .

This proves that  $x_0$  is the fixed point of  $T$ .

Uniqueness:

Let  $y_0$  be another fixed point of  $T$  i.e.  $y_0 = Ty_0$

Now,  $d(x_0, y_0, a) = d(Tx_0, Ty_0, a)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \phi'(d(x_0, y_0, a), d(x_0, Tx_0, a), d(y_0, Ty_0, a)) \\ &\leq \phi'(d(x_0, y_0, a), d(x_0, x_0, a), d(y_0, y_0, a)) \\ &\leq \phi'(d(x_0, y_0, a), 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (Definition 2, b), we obtain

$$d(x_0, y_0, a) \leq 0, \text{ or}$$

$$d(x_0, y_0, a) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_0 = y_0$$

Hence proved that fixed point is unique. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

**References**

- [1] Naidu S. V. R. (2001) *Int.J. Math. Sci.* 28625-636.
- [2] Ciric L. (1999) *Publ. Math. Debrecan* 54, no. 3-4.
- [3] Telsi M., Tas K. (1993) *Hacettepe Bull. Of Natural Sciences and Engineering*, 22, 9-16.
- [4] Takahashi W. (1993) *Nonlinear Analysis and Mathematical Economics (T. Maruyama, ed.)*, vol. 29, pp. 175-191.
- [5] Ahmad B., Rehman F. (1991) *Math. Japonica*, 36, no. 2, 9-16.
- [6] Romaguera S., Cheea E. (1990) *Math. Japonica*, 35, no. 1, 137-139.
- [7] Jungck G. (1988) *Int. J. Math. Sci.* 21 (1) 125-132.

- [8] Hicks T.L. (1988) *Math. Japonica* 33 no. 2, 231-236.
- [9] Singh S. L., Tiwari B., Gupta V. (1980) *Math. Nachr.* 95, 293-297.
- [10] Rhoades B. (1975) *Math. Nachr.* 91, 151-155.
- [11] Iseki K. (1975) *Math. Seminar Notes* XIX.
- [12] Gahler S. (1966) *Math. Pures et Appl.*, 655-664.
- [13] Gahler S. (1965) *Math. Nachr.* 28, 235-244.
- [14] Gahler S. (1963) *Math. Nachr.* 26, 115-142.