



Research Article

INVESTIGATIONS ON STORAGE POTENTIAL OF DIFFERENT VARIETIES IN FENUGREEK

LAKSHMI J.*¹, RAME GOWDA² AND PARASHIVAMURTHY³

¹Technical Assistant, Seed Technology Research Unit, AICRP-NSP (Crops), University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru, 560065, Karnataka, India

²Retired Professor and Chairman, Department of Seed Science and Technology, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru, 560065, Karnataka, India

³Professor and Head, Department of Seed Science and Technology, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru, 560065, Karnataka, India

*Corresponding Author: Email - lakshmi_jagannatha@rediffmail.com

Received: December 05, 2019; Revised: December 22, 2019; Accepted: December 24, 2019; Published: December 30, 2019

Abstract: An experiment was conducted to know the storage potential of different fenugreek cultivars. The seeds which were produced during *rabi*, 2005 and summer, 2006 at Agricultural Research Station, Balajigapade, Chikkaballapura district, Karnataka were packed in cloth bag and stored under ambient conditions of Bengaluru. Samples were drawn at three months intervals (0, 3, 6 and 9) initially and subsequently at monthly intervals (up to the end of 12 months of storage) for seed quality analysis. The results revealed the significant increase in seed moisture over storage under ambient conditions irrespective of season of production. Variety Bangalore-local recorded significantly higher germination (96.71 % and 91.21 %), seedling vigour index-I (1501 and 1651) and lower electrical conductivity (243 and 212 dSm⁻¹) which was produced in both *rabi*, 2005 and summer, 2006 respectively. The seeds produced during summer 2006 have better storage potential as compared seeds produced during *rabi* 2005 wherein the percentage reduction in germination was significantly higher.

Keywords: Seedling vigour index, Storage Potential, Fenugreek

Citation: Lakshmi J., et al., (2019) Investigations on Storage Potential of Different Varieties in Fenugreek. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 11, Issue 24, pp.- 9336-9340.

Copyright: Copyright©2019 Lakshmi J., et al., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Academic Editor / Reviewer: Dr Gitam Singh, Dr Eleonora Nistor, Belinda Vallejo-Cordoba, Mathi P. Madhu

Introduction

Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.), commonly known as methi, is cultivated for leafy vegetable, spice, medicinal and fodder purposes. It is basically grown as a cool season crop. It belongs to the family leguminosae. It is an annual herb, 40-90 cm tall, leaves are light green, pinnately trifoliate. Flowers are hermaphrodite and are self-pollinated. Seeds are small and brownish yellow in colour and they are thick and hard in texture. Fenugreek seeds are endospermic in nature, bitter in taste with a peculiar odour and flavour. Because of its high economic value, much emphasis is being given for increasing area and production under fenugreek which is possible only through the use of sufficient quantity of high quality seeds. In India, fenugreek is the third largest seed spice grown after coriander and cumin and it is now being cultivated commercially on large scale to meet its ever rising demand as a spice, condiment, medicinal and aromatic product. In order to meet our country's domestic as well as export demands; there is a need to evolve a low cost but scientific technology to produce higher quality seeds in fenugreek. The available information on the pattern of seed deterioration in relation to loss of germination and seedling vigour in fenugreek is rather scanty and hence the present study was envisaged with a view to obtain more information on the nature of storage behavior in terms of viability, vigour and its characteristics associated with loss of quality during storage under ambient conditions.

Material and methods

Fresh seeds of five varieties viz., Bangalore local (V1), Pusa Early Bunching (V2), Lam Selection-1 (V3), CO-1 (V4) and CO-2 (V5) which were produced during *rabi*, 2005 and summer, 2006 at Agricultural Research Station, Balajigapade, Chikkaballapura district, Karnataka were used for the study. The seeds were cleaned and graded to uniform size. Around 500g seeds of each variety with a moisture content of less than 12 percent were packed in cloth bag and stored

under ambient conditions at the Department of Seed Science and Technology, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore. Samples were drawn at three months intervals (0, 3, 6 and 9) initially and subsequently at monthly intervals (up to the end of 12 months of storage). They were evaluated for various quality attributes like moisture content (%), 100 seed weight (g), germination (%), seedling length (cm), seedling dry weight (mg), seedling vigour index (I & II), electrical conductivity (dSm⁻¹) of seed leachate and field emergence (%) by adopting standard methods. Seed moisture content was determined by low constant temperature oven method [1]. For 100 seed weight, from each treatment combination, sample of 100 seeds were randomly taken and the average weight of eight replicates was recorded and expressed in grams. The standard germination test was carried out in four replicates of hundred seeds by between paper method. The rolled towels were incubated at germinator maintained at 25±1°C and 90±2 percent RH as per ISTA rules [1]. The number of normal seedlings was counted on fourth and tenth day of germination test as first and final count, respectively and the average of four replications was worked out and expressed as percentage based on normal seedlings. For recording seedling length, ten normal seedlings were selected at random from the germination test on tenth day (final count). Summation of mean shoot and root lengths was considered as mean seedling length. Further seedling dry weight the seedlings used for measuring the length, were dried in a hot air oven at 82±1°C for 24h. The dry weight was recorded and expressed in milligrams per seedling. The seedling vigour indices were calculated as per the formula given by [2]. SVI-I= Germination (%) x Mean seedling length (cm) and SVI-II = Germination (%) x Mean seedling dry weight (mg). For assessing the electrical conductivity of seed leachate, twenty-five seeds of four replicates were washed with acetone for few minutes and soaked in 25 ml of double distilled water and kept in an incubator maintained at 25±1°C for 18h. Then, the steeped water (seed leachate) from the soaked seeds was collected and electrical conductivity (EC) of the leachate was measured in the Digital Conductivity meter.

Table-1 Seed moisture content (%) and 100 seed weight (g) as influenced by the varieties and storage period during rabi, 2005 and summer, 2006

Varieties	Seed moisture content (%)																	
	Rabi, 2005 produced seeds (Storage months)								Summer, 2006 produced seeds (Storage months)									
	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean		
V ₁	9.21	9.28	9.44	9.83	9.72	9.74	9.93	9.59	9.07	9.22	9.42	9.67	9.80	9.73	10.07	9.59		
V ₂	9.35	9.35	9.52	9.83	9.77	9.94	10.10	9.69	9.56	9.77	10.08	10.02	10.52	10.36	10.78	10.16		
V ₃	9.25	9.34	9.58	9.92	9.91	10.09	10.24	9.76	9.35	9.49	9.52	9.75	9.75	9.90	10.20	9.71		
V ₄	9.17	9.25	9.40	9.71	9.71	9.81	9.97	9.57	9.35	9.41	9.54	9.80	10.04	10.26	10.61	9.86		
V ₅	9.26	9.29	9.55	9.78	9.81	9.93	10.33	9.71	9.34	9.31	9.48	9.81	10.13	10.32	10.41	9.83		
Mean	9.25	9.30	9.50	9.81	9.78	9.90	10.11	9.66	9.33	9.44	9.61	9.81	10.05	10.11	10.41	9.82		
Varieties(V)	S.Em±		CD (0.05P)						S.Em±		CD (0.05P)							
Storage period(S)	0.006		0.023						CV (%) = 8.23		0.013		0.049					
Interaction(Vx S)	0.007		0.024								0.015		0.052					
	0.016		0.046								0.033		0.097					
Varieties	100 seed weight (g)																	
	Rabi, 2005 produced seeds (Storage months)								Summer, 2006 produced seeds (Storage months)									
	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean		
V ₁	1.580	1.532	1.536	1.523	1.564	1.581	1.621	1.562	1.561	1.572	1.576	1.574	1.586	1.589	1.601	1.580		
V ₂	1.297	1.361	1.347	1.228	1.389	1.402	1.401	1.346	1.258	1.263	1.268	1.264	1.425	1.284	1.292	1.293		
V ₃	1.443	1.464	1.396	1.279	1.282	1.291	1.283	1.348	1.311	1.299	1.278	1.268	1.275	1.283	1.283	1.285		
V ₄	1.147	1.138	1.237	1.239	1.254	1.290	1.291	1.228	1.166	1.143	1.202	1.199	1.199	1.198	1.200	1.187		
V ₅	1.151	1.152	1.178	1.201	1.208	1.206	1.213	1.187	1.183	1.197	1.198	1.197	1.199	1.200	1.206	1.197		
Mean	1.323	1.329	1.338	1.294	1.340	1.354	1.361	1.334	1.296	1.295	1.305	1.300	1.337	1.311	1.316	1.308		
Varieties(V)	S.Em±		CD (0.05P)						S.Em±		CD (0.05P)							
Storage period(S)	0.003		0.013						CV (%) = 5.21		0.007		0.026					
Interaction(VxS)	0.003		0.012								0.008		0.027					
	0.007		0.022								0.018		0.051					

V₁- Bangalore local, V₂-PEB, V₃-LS-1, V₄-CO-1 and V₅-CO-2

Table-2 Seed germination (%) and field emergence (%) as influenced by the varieties and storage period during rabi, 2005 and summer, 2006

Varieties	Seed germination (%)															
	Rabi, 2005 produced seeds (Storage months)								Summer, 2006 produced seeds (Storage months)							
	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean
V ₁	98.00	94.50	90.50	89.00	86.00	81.50	79.00	96.71	99.00	97.00	96.50	90.50	88.00	85.00	82.50	91.21
V ₂	98.00	94.50	92.00	91.00	91.00	84.00	77.00	91.57	98.00	98.00	99.00	91.00	89.50	85.00	82.50	91.86
V ₃	97.50	95.00	88.50	90.50	90.00	81.00	78.50	88.50	97.50	99.00	95.00	88.00	87.50	84.00	80.50	90.21
V ₄	96.50	92.50	90.50	88.50	84.00	81.00	78.00	85.14	97.00	96.50	96.00	85.00	85.50	84.50	79.00	89.07
V ₅	98.00	92.00	89.50	85.00	84.50	82.50	79.00	79.29	97.00	95.00	95.00	85.50	85.50	84.00	81.50	89.07
Mean	90.90	90.40	88.70	87.80	87.70	86.00	86.20	88.24	97.70	97.10	96.30	88.00	87.20	84.50	81.20	90.29
Varieties(V)	S.E.m± CD (0.05P)								S.E.m± CD (0.05P)							
Storage period(S)	0.283 1.111 CV (%) = 6.93								0.249 0.976 CV (%) = 8.51							
Interaction(Vx S)	0.335 1.158								0.294 1.018							
	0.749 2.185								0.658 1.921							
Varieties	Field emergence (%)															
	Rabi, 2005 produced seeds (Storage months)								Summer, 2006 produced seeds (Storage months)							
	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean
V ₁	93.00	90.50	84.00	81.00	78.00	75.50	69.00	81.57	94.50	92.00	89.00	84.00	79.00	76.50	74.00	84.14
V ₂	92.50	91.00	86.00	81.00	75.50	75.50	69.00	81.50	93.00	90.50	87.00	84.00	78.50	77.00	74.50	83.50
V ₃	94.50	89.00	86.00	80.00	76.50	75.00	70.50	81.64	95.50	91.00	87.00	79.00	77.00	77.00	75.00	83.07
V ₄	95.00	90.00	86.50	80.00	76.00	75.00	64.50	81.00	95.50	92.00	89.00	76.50	76.50	76.00	75.00	82.93
V ₅	94.00	89.50	84.50	80.50	75.50	73.00	68.00	80.71	95.00	93.00	89.00	81.50	77.50	76.00	75.50	83.93
Mean	93.80	90.00	85.40	80.50	76.30	74.80	68.20	81.29	94.70	91.70	88.20	81.00	77.70	76.50	74.80	83.51
Varieties(V)	S.E.m± CD (0.05P)								S.E.m± CD (0.05P)							
Storage period(S)	0.24 NS CV (%) = 9.55								0.21 NS CV (%) = 13.54							
Interaction(VxS)	0.29 1.00								0.25 0.87							
	0.65 1.89								0.56 1.65							

V₁- Bangalore local, V₂-PEB, V₃-LS-1, V₄-CO-1 and V₅-CO-2

The EC values due to electrolytes were expressed in dSm^{-1} [3]. The field emergence was conducted on a well-prepared raised seed bed

Table-3 Seedling length (cm) and seedling dry weight (mg) as influenced by the varieties and storage period during rabi, 2005 and summer, 2006

Varieties	Seedling length (cm)															
	Rabi, 2005 produced seeds (Storage months)								Summer, 2006 produced seeds (Storage months)							
	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean
V ₁	25.40	24.37	22.83	21.23	20.19	19.56	19.00	21.80	25.61	24.63	22.94	21.72	20.95	20.80	20.01	22.38
V ₂	22.03	21.61	18.86	21.06	21.00	20.35	20.18	20.73	23.29	23.08	21.48	21.07	20.88	19.79	19.28	21.26
V ₃	21.88	21.88	19.95	19.59	18.23	18.14	18.26	19.70	22.86	22.18	21.14	21.19	20.90	20.16	19.19	21.09
V ₄	21.58	20.62	18.06	19.23	17.42	17.35	17.09	18.76	22.70	22.59	22.46	21.40	21.10	21.00	19.28	21.50
V ₅	22.52	22.38	18.63	20.34	19.74	19.77	19.12	20.36	23.02	22.01	21.53	21.56	21.04	20.09	18.95	21.17
Mean	22.68	22.17	19.67	20.29	19.32	19.03	18.73	20.27	23.50	22.90	21.91	21.39	20.97	20.37	19.34	21.48
Varieties(V)	S.E.m±								S.E.m±							
Storage period(S)	0.080								0.074							
Interaction(Vx S)	0.095								0.088							
	0.211								0.196							
	CD (0.05P)								CD (0.05P)							
	0.314								0.291							
	0.327								0.303							
	0.617								0.572							
	CV (%) = 8.26								CV (%) = 8.69							
Varieties	Seedling dry weight (mg)															
	Rabi, 2005 produced seeds (Storage months)								Summer, 2006 produced seeds (Storage months)							
	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean
V ₁	20.28	19.53	11.68	11.55	10.25	9.30	8.83	13.06	19.23	18.80	17.80	16.75	14.03	12.03	9.60	15.46
V ₂	15.80	15.43	13.88	9.08	7.90	7.65	7.13	10.98	15.73	16.00	15.75	14.83	12.88	9.88	7.65	13.24
V ₃	15.28	15.40	12.53	8.45	7.80	6.83	7.23	10.50	16.75	16.55	16.18	14.98	13.18	10.98	8.28	13.84
V ₄	16.63	14.30	13.68	9.50	7.60	7.23	7.20	10.88	17.05	16.75	16.28	16.65	13.40	10.30	7.90	13.90
V ₅	16.13	14.50	12.35	8.63	6.58	6.80	6.78	10.25	16.95	16.65	16.40	15.55	12.93	9.98	7.58	13.72
Mean	16.82	15.83	12.82	9.44	8.03	7.56	7.43	11.14	17.14	16.95	16.48	15.55	13.28	10.63	8.20	14.03
Varieties(V)	S.E.m±								S.E.m±							
Storage period(S)	0.062								0.059							
Interaction(VxS)	0.073								0.070							
	0.164								0.157							
	CD (0.05P)								CD (0.05P)							
	0.243								0.276							
	0.253								0.243							
	0.478								0.459							
	CV (%) = 9.32								CV (%) = 6.22							

V1- Bangalore local, V2-PEB, V3-LS-1, V4-CO-1 and V5-CO-2

Table-4 Seedling vigour indices as influenced by the varieties and storage period during rabi, 2005 and summer, 2006

Varieties		Seedling vigour index I																
		Rabi, 2005 produced seeds (Storage months)							Summer, 2006 produced seeds (Storage months)									
		0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean	
V ₁	2490	2303	2066	1889	1736	1594	1501	1940	2536	2389	2214	1966	1844	1768	1651	2053		
V ₂	2159	2042	1735	1916	1911	1709	1554	1861	2282	2262	2126	1917	1869	1682	1590	1961		
V ₃	2134	2079	1766	1773	1641	1469	1434	1756	2229	2195	2008	1865	1829	1693	1545	1909		
V ₄	2082	1907	1635	1702	1463	1405	1333	1647	2202	2180	2156	1819	1804	1774	1523	1923		
V ₅	2206	2060	1667	1729	1668	1631	1510	1781	2233	2091	2045	1843	1799	1687	1545	1892		
Mean	2214	2078	1774	1802	1684	1562	1466	1797	2296	2223	2110	1882	1829	1721	1571	1947		
Varieties(V)	S.E.m±		CD (0.05P)						S.E.m±		CD (0.05P)							
Storage period(S)	9.89		38.81						CV (%) = 11.92		9.25		36.33					
Interaction(Vx S)	11.70		40.48								10.95		37.89					
	26.15		76.34								24.49		71.47					
Varieties		Seedling vigour index II																
		Rabi, 2005 produced seeds (Storage months)							Summer, 2006 produced seeds (Storage months)									
		0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean	
V ₁	1986	1845	1057	1028	881	758	697	1179	1904	1824	1718	1516	1234	1022	792	1430		
V ₂	1549	1458	1276	826	719	643	549	1003	1541	1568	1559	1349	1152	840	631	1234		
V ₃	1509	1462	1109	765	702	553	567	952	1633	1638	1537	1318	1153	922	666	1267		
V ₄	1604	1323	1238	841	638	585	562	970	1654	1616	1563	1330	1146	871	624	1258		
V ₅	1580	1334	1105	733	556	561	535	915	1644	1582	1558	1330	1105	838	618	1239		
Mean	1646	1484	1157	838	699	620	582	1004	1675	1646	1587	1369	1158	898	666	1286		
Varieties(V)	S.E.m±		CD (0.05P)						S.E.m±		CD (0.05P)							
Storage period(S)	5.96		23.40						CV (%) = 12.43		6.90		27.08					
Interaction(VxS)	7.05		24.40								8.16		28.24					
	15.77		46.03								18.25		53.27					

V1- Bangalore local, V2-PEB, V3

Table-5 Electrical conductivity (dSm^{-1}) of seed leachate as influenced by the varieties and storage period during rabi, 2005 and summer, 2006.

Rabi, 2005 produced seeds (Storage months)								
Varieties	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean
V ₁	138	138	151	177	195	212	243	179
V ₂	185	189	192	212	241	245	267	219
V ₃	168	177	187	222	230	231	267	212
V ₄	149	151	160	185	210	237	258	192
V ₅	176	179	194	206	223	244	269	213
Mean	163	167	177	200	220	234	260	203
S.Em± CD (0.05P)								
Varieties (V)	0.39 1.53			CV (%) = 7.10				
Storage period (S)	0.46 1.60							
Interaction (V x S)	1.03 3.01							
Summer, 2006 produced seeds (Storage months)								
Varieties	0	3	6	9	10	11	12	Mean
V ₁	150	154	167	185	199	208	212	182
V ₂	169	173	188	193	208	215	223	195
V ₃	154	152	171	193	204	218	219	187
V ₄	165	165	178	193	205	213	215	190
V ₅	161	162	177	192	208	214	221	190
Mean	160	161	176	191	205	213	218	189
S.Em± CD (0.05P)								
Varieties (V)	0.26 1.03			CV (%) = 7.83				
Storage period (S)	0.31 1.08							
Interaction (V x S)	0.70 2.04							

V₁- Bangalore local, V₂-PEB, V₃-LS-1, V₄-CO-1 and V₅-CO-2

Superiority of Bangalore local was observed over other varieties in both the seasons for seedling vigour index-I (1940 and 2053) and respectively for seedling vigour index-II (1179 and 1430). It was lower in CO-1 (1647 for seedling vigour index-I) and CO-2 (915 for seedling vigour index-II) in seeds produced in rabi, 2005 and in summer, 2006. Further, CO-2 (1892 for seedling vigour index-I) and Pusa Early Bunching (1234 for seedling vigour index-II) also recorded considerable seedling vigour. Similar findings were reported by [18] in French bean, [15] in rice bean and [16] in fenugreek.

Another aspect which is related to vigour and viability of seed is the electrical conductivity of seed leachates. This is attributed to the loss of membrane integrity and the extent of leakage, which is directly proportional to the conductivity of solution [19]. Many research workers reported significant but negative correlation between germination and electrical conductivity of leachates. In the present investigation also, a progressive but tremendous increase in the electrical conductivity of seed leachates over storage, irrespective of varieties. Among varieties, Bangalore local recorded lower electrical conductivity (179dSm^{-1} and 182dSm^{-1}) and it was higher in Pusa Early Bunching (219dSm^{-1} and 195dSm^{-1}) in both the seasons, respectively at the end of storage period. The increase in electrical conductivity was significant (163dSm^{-1} to 260dSm^{-1} and 160dSm^{-1} to 218dSm^{-1}) in both rabi, 2005 and summer, 2006, respectively. Similar trend in increased electrical conductivity was also observed by [20] in crimson clover, [13] in soybean and [16] in fenugreek. Varieties did not differ significantly for field emergence but the storage months and interactions of V x S, differed considerably in both the seasons. With the advance in storage period, there was a decline in field emergence of seeds produced in rabi, 2005 and summer, 2006 and it was well corroborated with the laboratory germination. The decline in field emergence was lower (93.80 to 68.20%) in rabi, 2005 compared to higher (94.70 to 74.80%) recorded in the seeds of summer, 2006. These results are in conformity with the findings of [21] and [22] in soybean who had also observed similar decline in field emergence over storage.

Conclusion

Moisture content increased with storage period under ambient conditions in the seeds of both rabi, 2005 and summer, 2006. Variety Bangalore-local recorded significantly higher germination (96.71% and 91.21%) in both the seasons. Germination declined gradually over storage (90.90 to 86.20%) in the seeds of both rabi, 2005 and summer, 2006 (97.70 to 81.20%), but it was well above the minimum certification standard (>70%) at the end of 12 months of storage. Correspondingly, seedling vigour indices also declined significantly with increase in storage period in the seeds of both the seasons and varieties.

Application of research: Fenugreek seeds with moisture content of around 9 percent and packed in cloth bag could be stored under ambient conditions for a period of at least 12 months to maintain minimum seed certification standard (>70%) of germination.

Research Category: Seed Science and Technology

Acknowledgement / Funding: Authors are thankful to University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru, 560065, Karnataka, India

***Research Guide or Chairperson of research:** Dr Rame Gowda

University: University of Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru, 560065, India

Research project name or number: PhD Thesis

Author Contributions: All authors equally contributed

Author statement: All authors read, reviewed, agreed and approved the final manuscript. Note-All authors agreed that- Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to publish / enrolment

Study area / Sample Collection: Agricultural Research Station, Balajigapade, Chikkaballapura district, Karnataka

Cultivar / Variety / Breed name: Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.)

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.
Ethical Committee Approval Number: Nil

References

- [1] Anonymous (2007) *Seed Sci& Technol.*, (Supplement), 35, 25-30.
- [2] Abdul-Baki A.A. and Anderson J.D. (1973) *Crop Sci.*, 13, 630-637.
- [3] Presley H. T. (1958) *Pl. Dis. Rep.*, 42, 52.
- [4] Jawale L.N., Deosarkar D.B., Nayeem K.A. and Patinge S.P. (2002) *Seed Tech News*, 191.
- [5] Sharma S.N., Goyal K.C., Gupta J.J., Gupta H.C., Kakralya, B.H., Sharma S.K., Mehta S.M. and Rathore A.K.S. (1998) *Seed Res.*, 26(1), 89-91.

- [6] Patra A.K., Tripathy S.K. and Samui R.C. (2000) *Seed Res.*, 28(1), 32-35.
- [7] Mohan Rao A. (1993) *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.*
- [8] Vamadevappa H. (1998) *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.*
- [9] Shamsul H., Ahmad A., Yahya M. And Khan T. (1996) *Legume Res.*, 19, 70-74.
- [10] Singh G. and Gill S.S. (1996) *Seed Res.*, 22, 137-140.
- [11] Kharb R.P.S., Deswal D.P. and Dahiya B.S. (1998) *Seed Tech News*, 28, 85.
- [12] Udai R., Bishnoi and Santosh M.M. (1997) *Seed Res.*, 25, 31-36.
- [13] Verma R.S. and Gupta P.C. (1975) *Seed Res.*, 3, 39-44.
- [14] Kumar S., Singal N.C. and Prakash S. (1997) *Indian J. Genetics and Plant Breeding*, 57, 204-209.
- [15] Singh S.N., Singh B.B. and Chowdhary N.K. (1998) *Seed Tech News*, 28, 32.
- [16] Indira K., Gunasekaran M. and Prasath D. (2000) *Orissa J.Hort.*, 28(1), 34-37.
- [17] Dharmalingam C., Ramakrishnan V. and Ramaswamy K.R. (1976) *Seed Res.*, 4, 40-50.
- [18] Simon E.W. (1974) *New Phytol.*, 73, 377-420.
- [19] Ching J.M. (1972) *Crop Sci.*, 12, 415-418.
- [20] Vasundhara S. and Bomme Gowda A. (1999) *Seed Res.*, 27(2), 223-224
- [21] Dombarmattur S. I. (2001) *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 14(1), 248-249.