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Abstract- We have proposed a recommender system UseLibrary, helping users of library to get proper journal articles, books and other library resources. Profile generation & maintenance and profile exploitation are two main tasks to develop the system. Currently in this system, dataset consists of 790 books and journal articles in PDF and TXT format. System learns the profiles of users and then provides recommendations. Information filtering is required for providing recommendations. Similarity between interest of user and available resources can be computed by different approaches. In this paper, we have compared two approaches, cosine similarity measure and ontology based measure. It is found that use of ontology based measure outperforms cosine similarity measure.
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Introduction
Recommender system is valuable software which is learning the interest of user, filtering resources according to the interest and then recommending resources to user. University library is a rich source of information for academicians. Recommender system can provide help to academicians to acquire proper resources from library. Development of such system has to generate profile of users, and then update profile whenever necessary. Then by learning profile, system can obtain interest of user. To provide recommendations to users system has to filter available resources. Content based, collaborative and hybrid are three approaches can be used. For recommendation information filtering is required. Similarity between interest of user and available resources can be computed by different approaches. Cosine similarity is generally used [18]. Use of ontology is also done in development of such systems [22]. In reference ontology there is explanation for the entities that exists in the world. Using this personal ontology is created and then recommendations are provided according to percentage of interest to different topics in ontology [21][24]. Topics of research paper can be represented in the form ontology and then recommendations are provided by calculating interest [23]. In this paper, two approaches are experimented. In first experiment, the vector of interest is compared with all available resources in library using cosine similarity measure. In second experiment, we used ontology based approach. Domain ontology for the subject computer science is developed which consists of hierarchy of different concepts, terms related to concepts and keywords. Personal ontology is not created. This paper is organized in 8 sections. In section 2 & 3, system architecture and dataset used are described. Section 4 describes recommendations approach. Section 5 and 6 are giving comparison between approaches of computing similarity such as cosine similarity measure and ontology based measure while providing recommendations. Results are given in section 7.

System Architecture
Development of recommender system for efficient use of libraries is proposed. The objectives of the proposal are to help users of
library for finding the right book, relevant research paper/s, organizing sequence of lesson plan or book plan, developing relevant student group, filtering recommendations, etc. The block diagram shows the architecture for proposed recommender system.
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**Dataset Creation**

The dataset of recommender system consists of user profile’s data, journal paper’s data, and various books’ data. We have referred the structure of dataset from ACL Anthology Reference Corpus (ACL ARC). The ACL ARC is constructed from a significant subset of ACL anthology, a digital archive of conference and journal papers in natural language processing and computational linguistics [18]. Currently, in our dataset we have 575 journal papers and 215 books related to computer science. The journal papers and books are in PDF format. In TXT format we have abstracts of journal papers and index & keywords of books. User profiles are in XML format. The general syntax of XML file is as follows:

```xml
<User ID = ""> <FirstName> </FirstName> <LastName> </LastName> <MemberType> </MemberType> <Department> </Department> <Course> </Course> <Subject> </Subject> <EmailAddress> </EmailAddress> <ResearchTopics> </ResearchTopics> <PublishedWork> </PublishedWork> </User>
```

In dataset, we also have storage of syllabi of various courses related to Computer Science subject [20].

**Recommendation Approaches**

System stores user profiles in XML form. Using XML form, a vector is created holding interest of user. Then system requires filtering from available resources according to interest of user. Currently, the system UseLibrary uses content based filtering. To find that, which resources are similar to the interest of user we use cosine similarity measure [18]. All resources of library are also stored in the form of vectors. In ontology based approach, we have used ontology representing semantic concepts and related terms [21-24].

**Cosine Similarity Measure**

We have vector J, in which interest of the user is stored. Each resource such as journal and book is represented in the form of vectors J and B respectively. Now system computes cosine similarity [18] as

\[
\text{COSSIM}(J,B) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} j_i b_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} j_i^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2}}
\]

UseLibrary then recommends the user the journals and books in decreasing values of cosine similarity. Interest of user is obtained by using published work of user if he/she is a research student. And if he/she is a postgraduate student then interest is obtained by referring syllabus of the course for which student have taken admission. By using TF-IDF scheme, we obtain journal articles and books which are containing more number of similar terms compared with interest vector. Due to this we are not able to get the resource which is semantically related to the interest of user.

**Ontology based Measure**

For this, ontology [22] is designed using Protégé editor which is representing semantic concepts and related terms. C1, C2, C3… Cm are all semantic concepts. Each semantic concept is annotated by set of related terms T1, T2, T3,…, Tn. Each term is the list of keywords K1, K2, K3,…, Ki. Now a specific list of keywords is annotated to one or more class of semantic concepts. We have vector I containing interest of user. System extracts related term/s Ti that matches with I using cosine similarity [18] such as

\[
\text{RelatedTerm} = \text{similarity}(I, Ti) = \frac{\sum w_i \cdot \sum w_j}{\sqrt{\sum w_i^2} \sqrt{\sum w_j^2}}
\]

Here I is the interest of user and Wi are keywords consists in vector I. Then concepts which are annotated by RelatedTerm and also the subclasses in the hierarchy of ontology are retrieved [20]. Once we get list of concepts, system filter those resources which are close to all those concepts. This way system is able to filter the resources which are semantically related to the interest of user.

**Results**

To evaluate the performance of both approaches we used precision, recall, and F1-measure. Precision is the fraction of the documents retrieved that are relevant to the user’s information need. Recall is the fraction of the documents that are relevant to query that are successfully retrieved. The weighted harmonic mean to precision and recall is F-measure [14]. This is known as F1-measure when recall and precision are evenly weighted.

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{Number of Relevant Retrieved Documents}}{\text{Total Number of Retrieved Documents}}
\]

\[
\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{Number of Relevant Not Retrieved Documents}}{\text{Total Number of Relevant Documents}}
\]

\[
F1 = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}
\]

To measure performance we considered sample data of 185 research journal papers and 25 books from the field of Artificial Intelligence in Computer Science. We have registered 10 users using UseLibrary. Table 1 shows data about documents retrieved and not retrieved for 10 users when only cosine similarity is used.
The approach is used. Table 2 shows data about documents when ontology based approach is used.

Table 2. Experimental results for ontology based approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Experimental results for both approaches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Only Cosine Similarity approach</th>
<th>Ontology based approach</th>
<th>Only Cosine Similarity approach</th>
<th>Ontology based approach</th>
<th>Only Cosine Similarity approach</th>
<th>Ontology based approach</th>
<th>Only Cosine Similarity approach</th>
<th>Ontology based approach</th>
<th>Only Cosine Similarity approach</th>
<th>Ontology based approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User1</td>
<td>92.31</td>
<td>91.30</td>
<td>82.76</td>
<td>72.41</td>
<td>87.27</td>
<td>80.77</td>
<td>92.31</td>
<td>91.30</td>
<td>82.76</td>
<td>72.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User2</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>87.50</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>77.78</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>87.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User3</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>73.08</td>
<td>85.71</td>
<td>90.48</td>
<td>58.57</td>
<td>80.85</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>73.08</td>
<td>85.71</td>
<td>90.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User4</td>
<td>41.94</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>81.25</td>
<td>91.30</td>
<td>55.32</td>
<td>79.25</td>
<td>41.94</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>81.25</td>
<td>91.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User5</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>81.82</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>81.82</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>81.82</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>81.82</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>81.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User6</td>
<td>41.67</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>71.42</td>
<td>64.29</td>
<td>52.63</td>
<td>69.23</td>
<td>41.67</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>71.42</td>
<td>64.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User7</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>66.67</td>
<td>78.95</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>69.77</td>
<td>72.73</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>66.67</td>
<td>78.95</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User8</td>
<td>45.45</td>
<td>64.71</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>78.87</td>
<td>47.62</td>
<td>70.97</td>
<td>45.45</td>
<td>64.71</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>78.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User9</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>64.29</td>
<td>83.93</td>
<td>79.41</td>
<td>71.49</td>
<td>71.05</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>64.29</td>
<td>83.93</td>
<td>79.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User10</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>69.23</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>64.29</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>62.50</td>
<td>69.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>59.34</td>
<td>71.69</td>
<td>71.92</td>
<td>79.50</td>
<td>63.51</td>
<td>74.87</td>
<td>59.34</td>
<td>71.69</td>
<td>71.92</td>
<td>79.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

The experiment shows that recommender system can help academicians for acquiring library resources. We are developing such recommender system for efficient use of library, UseLibrary. Currently, system initially registers users and obtains interest of users and stores in XML format. Then to filter available resources two approaches are experimented, pure cosine similarity measure and ontology based approach. Cosine similarity computes how close the journal article and book is from interest of user. System ignores some relevant papers and books due to reason that they do not contain that many numbers of terms matching with the interest vector. So some approach in which concepts should be retrieved related to interest is necessary. Ontology allows defining such hierarchy of concepts. Using this approach when system filters journal articles and books to recommend the result is 71.69% which is much better than the cosine similarity approach.
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